

A FRACTIONAL HELLY THEOREM FOR BOXES

I. BÁRÁNY, F. FODOR, A. MARTÍNEZ-PÉREZ, L. MONTEJANO, D. OLIVEROS,
AND A. PÓR

This paper is dedicated to Javier Bracho on occasion of his sixtieth birthday.

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of n axis-parallel boxes in \mathbb{R}^d and $\alpha \in (1 - 1/d, 1]$ a real number. There exists a real number $\beta(\alpha) > 0$ such that if there are $\alpha \binom{n}{2}$ intersecting pairs in \mathcal{F} , then \mathcal{F} contains an intersecting subfamily of size βn . A simple example shows that the above statement is best possible in the sense that if $\alpha \leq 1 - 1/d$, then there may be no point in \mathbb{R}^d that belongs to more than d elements of \mathcal{F} .

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

According to the classical theorem of Helly [1], if every $d + 1$ -element subfamily of a finite family of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d has nonempty intersection, then the entire family has nonempty intersection. Although the number $d + 1$ in Helly's theorem cannot be lowered in general, it can be reduced for some special families of convex sets. For example, if any two elements in a finite family of axis-parallel boxes in \mathbb{R}^d intersect, then all members of the family intersect, cf. [2].

Katchalski and Liu [7] proved the following generalization of Helly's theorem for the case when not all but only a fraction of $d + 1$ -element subfamilies have a nonempty intersection in a family of convex sets.

Fractional Helly Theorem. (Katchalski and Liu [7]) *Assume that $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ is a real number and \mathcal{F} is a family of n convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d . If at least $\alpha \binom{n}{d+1}$ of the $(d+1)$ -tuples of \mathcal{F} intersect, then \mathcal{F} contains an intersecting subfamily of size $\frac{\alpha}{d+1}n$.*

The bound on the size of the intersecting subfamily was later improved by Kalai [6] from $\frac{\alpha}{d+1}n$ to $(1 - (1 - \alpha)^{1/(d+1)})n$, and this bound is best possible.

In this paper, we study the fractional behaviour of finite families of axis-parallel boxes, or boxes for short. We note that the boxes can be either open or closed, our statements hold for both cases. Our aim is to prove a statement similar to the Fractional Helly Theorem.

The intersection graph $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ of a finite family \mathcal{F} of boxes is a graph whose vertex set is the set of elements of \mathcal{F} , and two vertices are connected by an edge in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ precisely when the corresponding boxes in \mathcal{F} have nonempty intersection.

Recall that for two integers $n \geq m \geq 1$, the Turán-graph $\mathcal{T}(n, m)$ is a complete m -partite graph on n vertices in which the cardinalities of the m vertex classes are

This is not the same as the final published version of the paper. The paper was published in *Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications* **48** (2015), no. 3, 221–224. DOI 10.1016/j.comgeo.2014.09.007 The paper is available at <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0925772114001072?via%3Dihub> © 2015. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

as close to each other as possible. Let $t(n, m)$ denote the number of edges of the Turán graph $\mathcal{T}(n, m)$. It is known that $t(n, m) \leq (1 - \frac{1}{m})\frac{n^2}{2}$, and equality holds if m divides n . Furthermore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t(n, m)}{\frac{n^2}{2}} = 1 - \frac{1}{m}. \quad (1)$$

For more information on the properties of Turán graphs see, for example, the book of Diestel [3].

The following example shows that we cannot hope for a statement for boxes that is completely analogous to the Fractional Helly Theorem.

Example 1. Let $n \geq d + 1$ and $m, k \geq 0$ be integers such that $n = md + k$ and $0 \leq k \leq d - 1$. Let n_1, \dots, n_d be positive integers with $n = n_1 + \dots + n_d$ and $n_i = \lceil \frac{n}{d} \rceil$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $n_i = \lfloor \frac{n}{d} \rfloor$ for $k + 1 \leq i \leq d$. For $1 \leq i \leq d$, consider $n_i - 1$ hyperplanes orthogonal to the i th coordinate direction. These hyperplanes cut \mathbb{R}^d into n_i pairwise disjoint open slabs $B'_{ij}, j = 1, \dots, n_i$. Let C be a large open axis-parallel box that intersects each slab and let \mathcal{F}_i consist of the open boxes $B_{ij} = C \cap B'_{ij}$. Define \mathcal{F} as the union of the \mathcal{F}_i .

This way we have obtained a family \mathcal{F} of n boxes with the property that two elements of \mathcal{F} intersect exactly if they belong to different \mathcal{F}_i . The intersection graph of \mathcal{F} is $\mathcal{T}(n, d)$ and thus the number of intersecting pairs in \mathcal{F} is $t(n, d)$. However, there is no point of \mathbb{R}^d that belongs to any $d + 1$ -element subfamily of \mathcal{F} . Thus, (1) shows that in a fractional Helly-type statement for boxes, the percentage α has to be greater than $1 - \frac{1}{d}$.

Let $n \geq k \geq d$ and let $T(n, k, d)$ denote the maximal number of intersecting pairs in a family \mathcal{F} of n boxes in \mathbb{R}^d with the property that no $k + 1$ boxes in \mathcal{F} have a point in common.

Theorem 1. *With the above notation,*

$$T(n, k, d) < \frac{d-1}{2d}n^2 + \frac{2k+d}{2d}n.$$

It is quite easy to precisely determine $T(n, k, d)$ when $d = 1$:

Proposition 1. $T(n, k, 1) = (k - 1)n - \binom{k}{2}$.

Theorem 1 directly implies the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Assume that $\varepsilon > 0$ is a real number and \mathcal{F} is a family of n boxes in \mathbb{R}^d . If at least $(\frac{d-1}{2d} + \varepsilon)n^2$ pairs of \mathcal{F} intersect, then \mathcal{F} contains an intersecting subfamily of size $dn\varepsilon - \frac{d}{2} + 1$.*

The proof of Corollary 1 is given in Subsection 2.2. Corollary 1 yields the next theorem, which is our main result.

Fractional Helly Theorem for boxes. *For every $\alpha \in (1 - \frac{1}{d}, 1]$ there exists a real number $\beta(\alpha) > 0$ such that, for every family \mathcal{F} of n boxes in \mathbb{R}^d , if an α fraction of pairs are intersecting in \mathcal{F} , then \mathcal{F} has an intersecting subfamily of cardinality at least βn .*

Kalai's lower bound $\beta(\alpha) = 1 - (1 - \alpha)^{1/(d+1)}$ for the size of the intersecting subfamily in the fractional Helly theorem yields that if $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, then $\beta(\alpha) \rightarrow 1$ as well. The same holds for families of parallel boxes as stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of n boxes in \mathbb{R}^d , and let $\alpha \in (1 - \frac{1}{d^2}, 1]$ be a real number. If at least $\alpha \binom{n}{2}$ pairs of boxes in \mathcal{F} intersect, then there exists a point that belongs to at least $(1 - d\sqrt{1 - \alpha})n$ elements of \mathcal{F} .*

Simple calculations show that Corollary 1 does not imply Theorem 2 so we provide a separate proof for it in Section 2.

2. PROOFS

2.1. Proof of Theorem 1. It is enough to prove that if no $k + 1$ elements of \mathcal{F} have a point in common, then there are at least $\frac{n^2 - 2(k+d)n}{2d}$ non-intersecting pairs. We may assume by standard arguments that the boxes in \mathcal{F} are all open, so $B \in \mathcal{F}$ is of the form $B = (a_1(B), b_1(B)) \times \cdots \times (a_d(B), b_d(B))$. We assume without loss of generality that all numbers $a_i(B), b_i(B)$ ($B \in \mathcal{F}$) are distinct. For $B \in \mathcal{F}$ we define $\deg B$ to be the number of boxes in \mathcal{F} that intersect B .

We prove Theorem 1 by induction on n . The starting case $n = k$ is simple since then $\frac{n^2 - 2(k+d)n}{2d} < 0$. In the induction step $n - 1 \rightarrow n$ we consider two cases.

Case 1. *When there is a box B with $\deg B \leq (1 - \frac{1}{d})n + \frac{2k+1}{2d}$.*

By induction, we have at least $\frac{(n-1)^2 - 2(k+d)(n-1)}{2d}$ non-intersecting pairs after removing B from \mathcal{F} . Since B is involved in at least $(n - 1) - (1 - \frac{1}{d})n - \frac{2k+1}{2d}$ non-intersecting pairs, there are at least

$$\frac{(n-1)^2 - 2(k+d)(n-1)}{2d} - 1 + \frac{n}{d} - \frac{2k+1}{2d} = \frac{n^2 - 2(k+d)n}{2d}$$

non-intersecting pairs in \mathcal{F} , indeed.

Case 2. *For every $B \in \mathcal{F}$ $\deg B \geq (1 - \frac{1}{d})n + \frac{2k+1}{2d}$.*

We show by contradiction that this cannot happen which finishes the proof.

We define d distinct boxes $B_1, \dots, B_d \in \mathcal{F}$ the following way. Set

$$c_1 = \min\{b_1(B) : B \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

and define B_1 via $c_1 = b_1(B_1)$. The box B_1 is uniquely determined as all $b_1(B)$ are distinct numbers. Assume now that $i < d$ and that the numbers c_1, \dots, c_{i-1} , and boxes B_1, \dots, B_{i-1} have been defined. Set

$$c_i = \min\{b_i(B) : B \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{B_1, \dots, B_{i-1}\}\}$$

and define B_i via $c_i = b_i(B_i)$ which is unique, again.

Let $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F} \setminus \{B_1, \dots, B_d\}$. We partition \mathcal{F}' into $d + 2$ parts. Let \mathcal{F}_0 be the set of all boxes of \mathcal{F}' that intersect every B_i . For $i = 1, \dots, d$ let \mathcal{F}_i be the set of all boxes in \mathcal{F}' that intersect every B_j for $j \neq i$ but do not intersect B_i . Let \mathcal{F}^* be the set of all boxes of \mathcal{F}' that intersect at most $d - 2$ of the B_i boxes. As this is a partition of \mathcal{F}' we have

$$|\mathcal{F}_0| + \sum_{i=1}^d |\mathcal{F}_i| + |\mathcal{F}^*| = |\mathcal{F}'| = n - d.$$

Note that $|\mathcal{F}_0| \leq k$ since every box in \mathcal{F}_0 contains the point (c_1, \dots, c_d) .

Let N be the number of intersecting pairs between $\{B_1, \dots, B_d\}$ and \mathcal{F}' . Each B_i intersects at least $\deg B_i - (d - 1)$ boxes from \mathcal{F}' as B_i may intersect B_j for all

$j \in [d], j \neq i$. Since every $\deg B_i \geq (1 - \frac{1}{d})n + \frac{2k+1}{2d}$ we have

$$d \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{d}\right)n + \frac{2k+1}{2d} - (d-1) \right) \leq N$$

Every box in \mathcal{F}_0 intersects every $B_i, i \in [d]$, every box in \mathcal{F}_i intersects every B_j except for B_i and every box in \mathcal{F}^* intersects at most $(d-2)$ of the B_i . Consequently

$$N \leq d|\mathcal{F}_0| + (d-1) \sum_{i=1}^d |\mathcal{F}_i| + (d-2)|\mathcal{F}^*|.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} d \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{d}\right)n + \frac{2k+1}{2d} - (d-1) \right) &\leq d|\mathcal{F}_0| + (d-1) \sum_{i=1}^d |\mathcal{F}_i| + (d-2)|\mathcal{F}^*| \\ &= |\mathcal{F}_0| + (d-1) \left(|\mathcal{F}_0| + \sum_{i=1}^d |\mathcal{F}_i| + |\mathcal{F}^*| \right) - |\mathcal{F}^*| \\ &= |\mathcal{F}_0| + (d-1)(n-d) - |\mathcal{F}^*|. \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying the inequality and using $|\mathcal{F}_0| \leq k$ give

$$k + \frac{1}{2} \leq |\mathcal{F}_0| - |\mathcal{F}^*| \leq k - |\mathcal{F}^*|$$

implying $|\mathcal{F}^*| \leq -\frac{1}{2}$, which is a contradiction.

2.2. Proof of Corollary 1. If no point of \mathbb{R}^d belongs to $dn\varepsilon - \frac{d}{2} + 1$ elements of \mathcal{F} , then by Theorem 1 the number of intersecting pairs of \mathcal{F} is smaller than

$$\frac{d-1}{2d}n^2 + \frac{2(dn\varepsilon - \frac{d}{2}) + d}{2d}n = \left(\frac{d-1}{2d} + \varepsilon \right) n^2,$$

which yields a contradiction.

2.3. Proof of Theorem 2. Let π_i denote the orthogonal projection to the i th dimension in \mathbb{R}^d , that is, $\pi_i(B) = (a_i(B), b_i(B))$ for $B \in \mathcal{F}$. Set $\varepsilon = 1 - \alpha$. Define $T_i = \{\pi_i(B) : B \in \mathcal{F}\}$; this is a family of n intervals, and all but at most $\varepsilon \binom{n}{2}$ of the pairs in T_i intersect. According to the sharp version of the fractional Helly theorem (cf. [6]), T_i contains an intersecting subfamily T'_i of size $(1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon})n$, let c_i be a common point of all the intervals in T'_i . Define $D_i = \{B \in \mathcal{F} : c_i \notin \pi_i(B)\}$. Then $\mathcal{F} \setminus \bigcup_1^d D_i$ consists of at least $(1 - d\sqrt{\varepsilon})n = (1 - d\sqrt{1-\alpha})n$ boxes and all of them contain the point (c_1, \dots, c_d) .

2.4. Proof of Proposition 1. Let $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ be an integer, and let \mathcal{F} be the family of open intervals $(i, i+k)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus \mathcal{F} consists of n intervals, no $k+1$ of them have a point in common, and there are $(k-1)n - \binom{k}{2}$ intersecting pairs in \mathcal{F} . Consequently $T(n, k, 1) \geq (k-1)n - \binom{k}{2}$.

Next we show, by induction on n that $T(n, k, 1) \leq (k-1)n - \binom{k}{2}$. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of n intervals such that no $k+1$ of them have a common point. We assume that these intervals are closed which is no loss of generality. The statement is clearly true when $n = k$. Let $[a, b] \in \mathcal{F}$ be the interval where b is minimal. Since any interval intersecting $[a, b]$ contains b , there are at most $k-1$ intervals intersecting $[a, b]$. Removing $[a, b]$ from \mathcal{F} and applying induction, we find there

are at most $(k-1)(n-1) - \binom{k}{2}$ intersecting pairs in $\mathcal{F} \setminus \{[a, b]\}$. That is, there are at most $k-1 + (k-1)(n-1) - \binom{k}{2} = (k-1)n - \binom{k}{2}$ intersecting pairs in \mathcal{F} .

3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge the support of this research by the Hungarian-Mexican Intergovernmental S&T Cooperation Programme grant TÉT_10-1-2011-0471 and NIH B330/479/11 “Discrete and Convex Geometry”. The first and the last authors were partially supported by ERC Advanced Research Grant no. 267165 (DISCONV), and the first author by Hungarian National Research Grant K 83767, as well. The second author was supported by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences. The third author was partially supported by MTM 2012-30719. The fourth and fifth authors acknowledge partial support from CONACyT under project 166306 and PAPIIT IN101912.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ludwig Danzer, Branko Grünbaum, and Victor Klee, *Helly’s theorem and its relatives*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. VII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1963, pp. 101–180.
- [2] Ludwig Danzer and Branko Grünbaum, *Intersection properties of boxes in \mathbf{R}^d* , *Combinatorica* **2** (1982), no. 3, 237–246.
- [3] Reinhard Diestel, *Graph theory*, 4th ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 173, Springer, Heidelberg, 2010.
- [4] Jürgen Eckhoff, *A survey of the Hadwiger-Debrunner (p, q) -problem*, Discrete and computational geometry, Algorithms Combin., vol. 25, Springer, Berlin, 2003, pp. 347–377.
- [5] Jürgen Eckhoff, *Helly, Radon, and Carathéodory type theorems*, Handbook of convex geometry, Vol. A, B, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993, pp. 389–448.
- [6] Gil Kalai, *Intersection patterns of convex sets*, Israel J. Math. **48** (1984), no. 2-3, 161–174.
- [7] M. Katchalski and A. Liu, *A problem of geometry in \mathbf{R}^n* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1979), no. 2, 284–288.
- [8] Jiří Matoušek, *Lectures on discrete geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 212, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.

61. BÁRÁNY, F. FODOR, A. MARTÍNEZ-PÉREZ, L. MONTEJANO, D. OLIVEROS, AND A. PÓR

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PO BOX 127, H-1364 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY,
AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON, GOWER STREET, LONDON,
WC1E 6BT, U.K.

Email address: `barany@renyi.hu`

DEPARTMENT OF GEOMETRY, BOLYAI INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF SZEGED, ARADI VÉRTANÚK
TERE 1, H-6720 SZEGED, HUNGARY, AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNI-
VERSITY OF CALGARY, CANADA

Email address: `fodorf@math.u-szeged.hu`

UNIVERSIDAD DE CASTILLA- LA MANCHA DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS ECONÓMICO Y FINANZAS.
UNIVERSIDAD DE CASTILLA-LA MANCHA. AVDA. REAL FÁBRICA DE SEDA, s/n. 45600 TALAVERA
DE LA REINA. TOLEDO. SPAIN.

Email address: `alvaro.martinezperez@uclm.es`

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO, ÁREA DE LA
INVESTIGACIÓN CIENTÍFICA, CIRCUITO EXTERIOR, CU. COYOACAN 04510, MÉXICO D.F., MÉXICO

Email address: `luis@matem.unam.mx`

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO, ÁREA DE LA
INVESTIGACIÓN CIENTÍFICA, CIRCUITO EXTERIOR, CU. COYOACAN 04510, MÉXICO D.F., MÉXICO

Email address: `dolivero@matem.unam.mx`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WESTERN KENTUCKY UNIVERSITY, BOWLING GREEN, KY
42101, USA

Email address: `attila.por@wku.edu`