Evaluation and optimization of multi-lateral wells using MODFLOW unstructured grids
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ABSTRACT

Multi-lateral wells have been increasingly used in recent years by different industries including oil- and gas industry along with coal bed methane- and water production. The common purpose of these wells is to achieve a higher production rate per well. More and more sophisticated well patterns and geometries can be implemented in practice which calls for improved modelling techniques.

Complicated well geometries and small lateral diameters require high resolution models in the vicinity of the wells. With structured finite difference grids this can only be achieved by unnecessary refinements even far away from the wellbores. However the model may still suffer from orientation problems if laterals do not coincide with the rows or columns of the rectangular mesh.

In the present work, we applied unstructured grids to model multi-lateral wells and compared the results to structured models. We used the MODFLOW-USG code, which simulates groundwater flow using a generalized control volume finite-difference approach, allowing grids other than orthogonal structured grids to be applied. This offers a solution for orientation and resolution problems.

The second part of the paper aims to optimize multi-lateral well geometry by evaluating the effect of length, angle and number of laterals.
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INTRODUCTION

Multi-lateral wells are defined as wells with a common trunk from where two or more laterals are drilled. Laterals may be vertical, horizontal or deviated and are not necessarily in the same plane [1]. This suggests that the variations of multi-lateral well patterns are only limited by technology and imagination. In practice, the applied well geometry depends on drilling technology, geological environment and reservoir conditions. The common purpose of these wells is to achieve higher production rate per well. With the continuous advancement in technology more and more sophisticated well patterns and geometries are possible to be implemented in practice [2].

Complicated well geometries and the relatively small diameter of the laterals require high resolution models in the vicinity of the wells. With structured finite difference grids this can only be achieved by unnecessary refinements even far away from the wellbores causing an undesirable increase in CPU time.

In the present work, we applied unstructured grids (USG) to model multi-lateral wells using the MODFLOW-USG code which simulates groundwater flow using a generalized control volume finite-difference approach, which allows grids other than orthogonal structured grids (SG) [3]. This offers a solution for orientation problems and also allows for sufficiently small cell size around the laterals without overly increasing the number of cells in the whole model domain. The first part of the paper compares unstructured and structured models of multi-lateral wells along the above-mentioned principles. With this comparative study we managed to demonstrate the advantages of unstructured grids in modelling multi-lateral wells.

The second part of the paper aims at the optimization of multi-lateral well geometry by evaluating the effect of length, angle and number of laterals. During the optimization we considered minimizing drawdown instead of maximizing flow rates. Our findings...
regarding optimum configurations are in accordance with those who considered flow-rate maximization which supports our hypothesis that multi-lateral well patterns can be optimized by minimizing drawdown [1,4,5,6].

**METHODS**

In the paper we considered two main types of multi-lateral patterns: (i) radially distributed horizontal laterals originating from one vertical trunk and (ii) the so-called fishbone (or herringbone) wells, where horizontal laterals are drilled from a horizontal trunk (Figure 1).

Cai et al. differentiated fishbone configurations depending on whether the branches are situated on one or both sides of the main wellbore and also considered the symmetry of the lateral branches [3]. However we applied symmetric configurations where branches on the two sides of the main wellbore are symmetrically and evenly distributed (Figure 1).

For USG modelling we used the Visual Modflow Flex software (which uses Voronoi polygons for unstructured grids) while for SG we applied Processing Modflow (using square grids). Regarding the model domain we constructed a simple, “cake-type” model with 5 separately homogeneous, isotropic layers on an area of 1000 m * 1000 m. The
upper and lowermost layers have aquitard characteristics with 3 aquifers between them. The aquifers have the same parameters. The multi-lateral well produces from the middle aquifer. Pumping rate is 1000 m$^3$d$^{-1}$ equally distributed along the laterals. We applied constant head boundary condition to the top layer and run steady-state simulations in both SG and USG cases.

We present comparison of structured and unstructured models only for the radially distributed multi-lateral pattern because conclusions also apply to the fishbone pattern. However we present the optimization for both configurations:

In case of radially distributed laterals, we evaluated the effect of the number of laterals on maximum drawdown. We ran five different simulations with 5 different branch numbers (2, 4, 6, 8, 10) keeping everything else constant (ceteris paribus) (Figure 4). The conceptual model is the same as described above. Since we equiangularly distributed the radial branches, branch number (2, 4, 6, 8, 10) correlates to branch angle (180°, 90°, 60°, 45° and 36° respectively).

We evaluated fishbone wells along the same principle as radially distributed multi-lateral wells but branch number and branch angle had to be evaluated separately. Authors dealing with multi-lateral well optimization aimed at maximizing cumulative production or well productivity [1,4,5,6]. In this paper we aim at the minimization of drawdown near the wellbore at unchanged flow rates. Considering that drawdown is highest near the wellbore the optimization task is to find the configuration(s) which give(s) the lowest value for maximum drawdown.
RESULTS

Comparison of structured and unstructured models

On Figure 2 it is clear that the USG has much higher resolution near the wellbores, however further away from the wellbore this resolution decreases. Near the wellbores there are cells in every one-meter. To achieve the same resolution with the SG we would need 160,000 cells/layer just around the wellbore (and many more in uninteresting areas) while the USG has only about 25,000 cells/layer on the full model domain.

Figure 2: 6-branch multi-lateral well in structured (left) and unstructured (right) grid

On the structured grid simulation result, orientation problems are clearly indicated by deviations in the equipotentials near the laterals extending north and south while these do not appear near the west and east laterals, because there the orthogonal cells coincided with the laterals (Figure 3). This error cannot be observed on the USG grid.

The hydraulic gradient near the common point (centre) of the laterals is significantly different in the two model results with the gradient on SG grid being much smaller. This is caused by the too large cells near the wellbore, which cannot resolve the steep gradients. On the other hand the USG model gives a smoothly steeping, completely symmetric gradient profile near the centre.
Figure 3: Hydraulic head distribution with structured (upper figure) and unstructured (lower figure) grid.
Optimization of Radially Distributed Multi-Lateral Wells

It can be observed that by increasing branch number the drawdown is decreasing around the distal part of the laterals while the depressed area around the centre is increasing (Figure 4).

![Figure 4: Hydraulic head distribution around different branch numbers](image)

With regards to maximum drawdown it can be observed that it decreases with increasing number of laterals up to 8 branches and then starts to increase which is probably due to the growing effect the laterals have on each other near the centre (Figure 5).
Figure 5: Maximum drawdown vs. branch number

Optimization of Fishbone-Type Multi-Lateral Wells

First we assessed the effect of lateral number. As mentioned before we used symmetric laterals that were distributed evenly along the main trunk. Laterals’ angle is 45° from the main trunk in each case. Maximum drawdown decreases with the number of branches but the rate of decrease is declining which again suggests the laterals’ increasing effect on each other (Figure 6).

Figure 6: Maximum drawdown vs. lateral pair number

The main effect of increasing the number of branches on the hydraulic head distribution pattern is decreasing drawdown on the ends of the main trunk of the fishbone well.
however drawdown increases in the middle. Hydraulic distribution changes as the number of side-pairs is increased from 3 to 5 (Figure 7).

Figure 7: Distribution pattern of 3 (upper figure) and 5 (lower figure) pairs of laterals

In order to investigate the impact of changing the angle between the main and the side laterals we ran simulations for 6 different angles (20°, 30°, 45°, 60°, 75°, 90°) keeping the number of branches constant (4 pairs of laterals). The maximum drawdown decreases with an increased angle between the main trunk and side branches of the fishbone well (Figure 8). This can be due to the “opening” of the fishbone, meaning that main and side laterals have less influence on each other.

Figure 8: Maximum drawdown versus angle between main and side laterals
DISCUSSION

In the first part we evaluated the applicability of unstructured grids for modelling multi-lateral wells as compared to orthogonal structured grids. We conclude that unstructured grids are powerful to model multi-lateral well patterns, because they provide high resolution keeping cell number relatively low by only refining around the wells. However structured grids require very large number of cells to give the desired resolution and suffer from orientation effects if the direction of multilaterals does not coincide with rows or columns of the model.

The second part aimed to find the optimal configurations for multi-lateral wells by minimizing drawdown near the wellbores. We considered the effect of branch angle and branch length for radial-type and fishbone-type multi-lateral wells. Results suggest that increasing branch length or branch angle will drive configurations towards the optimum as maximum drawdown decreases and the hydraulic head or pressure distribution will be more balanced resulting in lower gradients especially in the close vicinity of the wellbores. Nevertheless we also found that these parameters cannot be “over-increased” as it will give very small incremental advantage. These conclusions are actually in agreement with the results of other authors although they optimized their models for cumulative production.[1,4,5,6].
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