The Pattern of Diffusion Parameter Changes in Alzheimer’s Disease, Identified by Means of Linked Independent Component Analysis
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Abstract. Several recent studies have indicated that white matter is affected in Alzheimer's disease (AD). Diffusion tensor imaging is a tool by which the white matter microstructure can be examined in vivo, and might offer a possibility for the identification of the pattern of white matter disintegration in AD. In the current analysis, we made use of a novel model-free analysis approach of linked independent component analysis to identify a motif of diffusion parameter alterations exemplifying AD. Analysis of the diffusion data of 16 AD patients and 17 age-matched healthy subjects revealed six independent components, two of which demonstrated differences between the patients and controls. Component 0 was dominated by axial diffusivity, but significant alterations in fractional anisotropy and mean and radial diffusivity were also detected. Alterations were found in regions of crossing of major white matter pathways such as forceps, corona radiate, and superior longitudinal fascicle, as well as medio-temporal white matter. These results lend support to the coexistence of white matter disintegration of the late myelinating associating fibers and wallerian degeneration-related disintegration, in accordance with the retrogenesis and wallerian degeneration hypothesis.
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INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common type of dementia in the elderly. A recent report forecasted that the prevalence of AD was set to rise to 35.6 million people globally by 2010 [1, 2], with the imposition of an enormous financial burden. The key feature of the disease is the progressive deficit in several cognitive domains [3–7], paralleled by regionally specific brain atrophy [8–11] and by white matter disintegration [12] that leads to a functional disconnection of the cortical regions [13]. Structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies were shown to have high sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of AD [14, 15], but advanced MRI approaches have recently provided further insight into the pathomechanisms of the disease. Among those, diffusion-weighted MRI permits a quantification of water diffusion in the brain in a manner that reflects the tissue microstructure. Hence, it is an emerging approach for the identification of biomarkers of various disorders that affect the central nervous system.
Various parameters of diffusion that are related to different aspects of the tissue microstructure, such as fractional anisotropy (FA), mean diffusivity (MD), and diffusivity parallel (λ1) and perpendicular (λ2 + λ3)/2 to the principal diffusion direction, are used to quantify diffusion.

A number of studies have revealed altered diffusion parameters in AD, and over the years, different approaches have been used to evaluate these parameters. Earlier investigations restricted the analysis to certain brain regions [20, 21], an approach that is highly hypothesis-driven, and cross-study comparisons are difficult. Later the analysis was extended to the whole brain using voxel-based morphometric style analysis [15, 22, 23]. However, the optimal analysis was compromised by the possible misalignment of FA images [24]. To overcome this registration issue, it was recommended that analyses should be restricted to the core of the fiber bundles, represented by the local FA maxima [12, 24].

Despite the undisputed merits of these studies, it has been argued that a combination of diffusion parameters should be evaluated together in order to identify disease-specific markers [18]. The patterns of various diffusion parameters have to be judged together with the spatial pattern of the combination of these parameters. Standard approaches based on the general linear model framework are not well suited for this because the information relating to the different diffusion parameters is combined only at the point of interpretation. Model-free, exploratory data analysis methods offer a solution, by fusing data before statistical analysis in order to characterize multimodal variances across space [25]. Linked independent component analysis (ICA) was recently employed to obtain independent components of multimodal variability [26]. Linked ICA automatically balances the information content of different modalities, finding subject loadings that produce statistically independent and non-Gaussian spatial maps across the modalities.

In the current study, we set out to identify the spatial pattern of the diffusion parameter motif characteristic of AD. We used linked ICA to decompose the data containing various diffusion parameters in the white matter skeleton representing the core of the fiber bundles.

METHODS

Subjects

A total of 16 subjects diagnosed with AD (median age ± SD: 74 ± 8.4 y) and 17 healthy controls (median age ± SD: 74 ± 8.4 y) were enrolled in the study. Age and gender was not significantly different in the two groups: age: Mann-Whitney test: U = 84.5, z = −1.844, p = 0.065; gender: χ²(2, n = 33) = 3.51, p = 0.062. All the AD patients were recruited by a neurologist from the Memory Disorders Unit, Department of Neurology (University Hospital Motol, Prague, Czech Republic). Clinical diagnosis was made in accordance with the EFNS guidelines [27]. Participants were evaluated by a neurologist who obtained medical history from the patient and caregiver, and performed the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), Hachinski Ischemic Scale, and a neurological examination. Research assistants and study coordinators gathered other data including Geriatric Depression Scale, Activities of Daily Living, and additional personal and family history.

All participants were administered a comprehensive neuropsychological evaluation. The psychometric battery covered the following cognitive areas: 1) verbal memory measured with the Auditory Verbal Learning Test trials 1–6 and Delayed Recall trial, Free and Cued Selective Reminding Test; 2) non-verbal memory measured with the Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test-the Immediate Recall condition; 3) visuospatial function measured with the Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test-the Copy condition; 4) executive function measured with the Trail Making Test B and Controlled Oral Word Association Test; 5) attention and working memory measured with the Backward Digit Span and Trail Making Test A; and 6) language measured with the Boston Naming Test.

Most of the subjects were either on a cholinesterase blocker or NMDA receptor blocker medication (4 rivastigmine, 9 donepezil, 1 memantine; see Table 1). Control subjects with normal cognition were recruited from among the family members of the patients and from the group who responded to an advertisement. All participating subjects underwent neurological and neuropsychological evaluation. The mean MMSE score was 20.18 (range: 14–25) for patients and 29.29 (median age ± SD: 77.5 ± 6.71 y) and 17 healthy controls.

Table 1: Sociodemographic data of the subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (median)</td>
<td>75.5 (71)</td>
<td>74.8 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, male</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE, median (range)</td>
<td>21 (14–25)</td>
<td>30 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivastigmine</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memantine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MMSE: Mini-Mental State Exam; n.a., not applicable.
(range: 24–30) for controls. Concomitant diseases, such as hypertension, diabetes, and hypercholesterolemia were evenly represented in the two study groups. The exclusion criteria for patients and controls included illicit drug use and any major neurological or psychiatric disorder other than AD. All the subjects involved (or their guardians in the cases of demented patients) provided their written informed consent; approval for the study protocol was given by the local medical-ethical committee.

**Data acquisition**

MR imaging was carried out on a 3T GE MR scanner. 3D spoiled gradient echo images (FSPGR: TE: 4 ms, TR: 10.276 ms, matrix: 256 × 256, FOV: 25 × 25 cm, Flip angle: 15°, in-plane resolution: 1 × 1 mm, slice thickness: 1 mm) and 30 direction diffusion weighted images with 5 non-diffusion-weighted reference volumes (TE: 93.8 ms, TR: 16900 ms, matrix: 96 × 96, FOV: 23 × 23 cm, Flip angle: 90°, in-plane resolution: 2.4 × 2.4 mm slice thickness: 2.4 mm, b: 1000 s/m², NEX: 2, ASSET) were acquired for all subjects.

**Image analysis**

Diffusion data were corrected for eddy currents and movement artifacts by 12 degrees of freedom affine linear registration to the first non-diffusion-weighted reference image [28]. Diffusion tensors at each voxel were fitted by the algorithm included in the FMRIB’s Diffusion Toolbox (FDT) of the FMRIB’s Software Library (FSL v. 4.0, http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl; [29]). FA, MD, and diffusivity parallel (λ1) and perpendicular (λ2 + λ3)/2 to the principal diffusion direction were computed for the whole brain. In order to reduce the possible errors arising from misalignment of the images, we used the Tract-based Spatial Statistics (TBSS) method [31]. For all subjects, the FA data were aligned into a common space chosen to be the best target from all FA images, using the non-linear registration tool FNIRT [30], which uses a b-spline representation of the registration warp field [31]. A mean FA skeleton was derived from the mean FA image, which represents the centers of all tracts common to the group. The aligned FA data for each subject was then projected onto this skeleton and thresholded at 0.2 FA. Similarly to FA, the MD, axial, and radial diffusivity images were also warped to the thresholded mean FA skeleton image. For computational reasons, images were downsampled to an isotropic resolution of 2 mm. The resulting images were fed into the linked ICA.

**Linked independent component analysis**

Linked ICA is an exploratory data analysis approach for the fusion of information from several different imaging modalities. The approach was described in detail earlier [26]. The main aim of the analysis is to identify combined group level features of the multimodal data that reflect a biophysically plausible form of variability. The resulting components consist of subject loading, which indicates how much the given combination of modalities across space is expressed in individual subjects. The original, full version of the analysis decomposes the multimodal data from different modality groups with identical spatial organization in a modality group over modalities. In the current analysis, we used a restricted version of the approach, with only a single modality group of different diffusion parameters in the FA skeleton. The decomposition results at a trilinear factorization of the data:

\[ y_{n,t,r} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} X_{n,i} W_{i,t} H_{i,r} + E_{n,t,r} \]  

where in an n voxel space, \( X_{n,i} \) is the spatial map for component \( i \), \( W_{i,t} \) is the modality weighting for component \( i \) in modality \( t \), and \( H_{i,r} \) is the weight for component \( i \) in subject \( r \). Uncorrelated Gaussian residuals are assumed, with the modality-dependent noise precision \( \lambda_t \):

\[ E_{n,t,r} \sim N(0, 1/\lambda_t). \]

To adapt to different scalings of the signal in each modality, an automatic relevance determination [32] prior is used on the modality courses (W).

The matrices are optimized to find estimates of the generative model of Eq. 1 such that the spatial maps are maximally non-Gaussian. The spatial patterns were converted to pseudo-Z-statistics by accounting for the scaling of the variables and the SNR in that modality. Images were thresholded at the pseudo-z-value of 3.1 or 2.3.

**RESULTS**

We decomposed the combined data of 16 patients and 17 controls with linked ICA into six independent components. Out of the six components, only two showed different subject loadings in the two investigated groups (IC 0: \( p < 0.044 \), IC 3: \( p < 0.0027 \)).
The subject loadings were not correlated with the cognitive function of the patients as measured by the MMSE.

IC 0 was dominated by axial diffusivity (39%), but to a smaller degree, MD (27%), FA (14%), and perpendicular diffusivity (20%) also made significant contribution.

In the spatial map, increased axial diffusivity was found in patients in several regions where fibers are crossing: forceps major and minor and corona radiata, superior longitudinal fasciculus and corona radiata (in Table 2 both of these structures are indicated). Smaller clusters were found with similar diffusion alterations in the parahippocampal (putative cingulum bundle) and paramygdalar white matter, fornix, uncinate fasciculus, and in the thalamus. Importantly fibers of the internal capsule were spared.

Increased axial diffusivity was accompanied by increased MD in most of the regions described above. Additionally some smaller clusters of increased MD were detected in juxtacortical white matter. Similarly, increased perpendicular diffusivity was found in patients in similar regions identified with the axial diffusivity alterations. The peak of statistical significance in case of perpendicular diffusivity was in the close vicinity that of axial diffusivity, but in most of the cases not right on the same spot.

Decreased FA was detected in two larger clusters in the forceps major bilaterally (right: x = −28 mm, y = −60 mm, z = 12 mm, Z FA = −6.1, Z MD = 3.79, Z AD = 6.73; left: x = 26 mm, y = −52 mm, z = 10 mm, Z FA = −4.26, Z MD = 4.11, Z AD = 5.66). Some smaller clusters were detected in the juxtacortical white matter.

The spatial map of increased axial diffusivity indicated a small cluster in the left parahippocampal white matter (putative cingulum bundle; x = −26 mm, y = −32 mm, z = −16 mm, Z FA = 2.75, Z MD = 2.39). A few single voxel size differences were found in various bilateral, frontal, and temporal regions.

The spatial map of the MD (increased in patients) depicted a left precuneal juxtacortical white matter cluster (x = −18 mm, y = −62 mm, z = 30 mm, Z MD = 2.83), a left cluster in the left cingulum bundle—the same spot as indicated by the axial diffusivity. Small clusters of increased MD were found in the anterior temporal white matter (possibly inferior longitudinal fascicule) bilaterally (left: x = −40 mm, y = −10 mm, z = −30 mm, Z MD = 2.4; right: x = 40 mm, y = −10 mm, z = −28 mm, Z MD = 2.54). A few single voxel differences were detected in the frontal, parietal, temporal, occipital white matter, bilateral anterior commissure, and in the left thalamus.

The component did not indicate differences in FA or perpendicular diffusivity.

DISCUSSION

In the current study we used multivariate analysis to identify the motif of diffusion parameter changes.

Table 2

Significant clusters in component #0. Side of the cluster (L-left, R-right), standard space coordinates in mm, z values for fractional anisotropy (FA), mean diffusivity (MD), axial (L1) and perpendicular (L23) diffusivity is given in the consecutive columns. The indicated peak statistical significances are based on axial diffusivity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Axial diffusivity</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>FA</th>
<th>MD</th>
<th>L1</th>
<th>L23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forceps minor, anterior corona radiata</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−22</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>−32</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forceps minor, anterior corona radiata</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forceps major, posterior corona radiata</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forceps major, posterior corona radiata</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td>−38</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>−2.87</td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corona radiata</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>−8.09</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corona radiata</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>−8.09</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−30</td>
<td>−32</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>−4.95</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>−30</td>
<td>−32</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>−4.95</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior longitudinal fasciculus corona radiata</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−36</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior longitudinal fasciculus corona radiata</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>−14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>−4.91</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External capsule</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>−12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>−4.87</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External capsule</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>−12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>−4.87</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior longitudinal fasciculus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>−38</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>−3.1</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior longitudinal fasciculus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td>−44</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>−3.35</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior longitudinal fasciculus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−4.85</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior longitudinal fasciculus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−4.85</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior limb internal capsule</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>−6</td>
<td>−3.63</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior limb internal capsule</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>−6</td>
<td>−3.63</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraamygdalar white matter</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−28</td>
<td>−12</td>
<td>−8</td>
<td>−3.92</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraamygdalar white matter</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>−28</td>
<td>−12</td>
<td>−8</td>
<td>−3.92</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cingulum</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−28</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>−8</td>
<td>−14.1</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cingulum</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>−28</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>−8</td>
<td>−14.1</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fornix</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−2</td>
<td>−3.46</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in AD. One of the most crucial finding of this analysis was that diffusion alterations in AD are dominated by increased axial diffusivity. The increased axial diffusivity, which was paralleled by increased mean and perpendicular diffusivity, was found in the intersection of major white matter fiber bundles such as forceps major and minor, corona radiate, and superior longitudinal fasciculus. Importantly the alterations spared the internal capsule. Similar changes were found in the medio-temporal structures.

There are two models of white matter disintegration in AD. The retrogenesis model posits that white matter disintegration is the reverse of the myelogenesis [33]. The small-diameter fibers that are myelinated last in the neocortical association and the allocortical fibers are the first to be affected during the progression of the disease [34]. An alternative hypothesis considers that the white matter disintegration is related to the Wallerian degeneration due to cortical neuronal degeneration [35]. Our results, which revealed white matter disintegration in the association fibers as well as in parahippocampal white matter, indicate that the two hypotheses might exist in parallel.

Similar data on AD patients were earlier analyzed by Groves and colleagues [26] with linked ICA in the seminal paper describing the method. However, that analysis was different in additionally considering the gray matter atrophy besides the microstructural alterations measured with diffusion MRI. Hence, in their analysis, the components described complex variations of the gray and white matter, which were expressed in the same way in individual subjects. With that, they hypothesized that white matter disintegration is related to cortical atrophy or at least co-occurs with similar dynamics in patients (Wallerian degeneration model). While this is reasonable, it might also be necessary to consider gray matter atrophy independent microstructural changes (retrogenesis model). In their analysis component #2 of the flat, concatenated and linked ICA identified widespread cortical atrophy and co-occurring white matter disintegration. In contrast, component #11 of the Groves analysis identified FA and MD alterations mainly in the callosal fibers without cortical atrophy. In our analysis, neocortical association fibers and the medial temporal white matter were also affected, which may point to the validity of both models. However, it should be emphasized that late myelinating fibers connect to the medio-temporal structures [36].

While it is generally accepted that the primary pathology is in the gray matter, it has also become clear that the cognitive dysfunction in AD is also related to disconnection [37]. This has been confirmed in several in vivo human diffusion [12] and functional MRI studies [13, 38], and in human [39] and animal [40] histological investigations. Moreover, it is known that amyloid-β protein aggregates can also be found in the white matter [41] and regionally specific myelination abnormalities can be detected prior to the development of tau and amyloid pathology in an animal model of AD. It was reported recently that the amyloid-β oligomer inhibits myelin formation in vivo [42]. Oligodendrocytes have been demonstrated to be susceptible to amyloid-β [43] and oxidative stress [44], factors that are crucial in the pathogenesis of AD [45].

Thus, diffusion MRI-detected parameter alterations have frequently been described in AD. While the reported spatial distribution of such alterations is variable, most probably the consequence of methodological differences; callosal and medio-temporal disintegration are often reported features [22, 46–50]. Furthermore, correlation of cognitive performance with diffusion parameters was recently investigated with various approaches [51–53]. In a recent TBSS study investigating several cognitive measures, only memory composite was correlated with FA when AD and mild cognitive impairment patients were analyzed together but not for AD patients separately [51]. Similarly, in our study no correlation was found between the expression of the components in individual subjects (subject loadings) and the MMSE scores. It is important to point out that white matter alterations are crucial in the pathogenesis of AD [45].

Previous studies have indicated that the different patterns of the diffusion parameter alterations may be associated with different pathological changes in the white matter. The alterations of axial and radial diffusivity in mouse models of multiple sclerosis have been suggested to relate to axon or myelin damage, respectively [54–56]. One mouse model study revealed a decreased FA in transected nerves, the FA returning toward the normal in the course of axonal regeneration. Additionally, the FA and axial diffusivity correlated significantly with the total axon count [57]. In the optic nerve of mice, a significantly decreased radial diffusivity was observed 3 days after retinal ischemia without any detectable changes in radial diffusivity, which was consistent with histological finding of significant axonal degeneration without demyelination. Consistent with the histological finding of myelin degeneration, an increase in radial diffusivity was observed 5 days after ischemia [58]. The myelin content in the postmortem human brain, prior to and...
Fig. 1. Summary graph of component #0. Subject loadings were different between AD patients and healthy controls ($p < 0.044$, higher in patients, top-right boxplot). The component was mainly driven by the axial diffusivity (top-left barplot). Statistical images are overlaid on MNI152 standard brain. Blue-to-light blue color signifies a reduction, red-to-yellow an increment of the given parameter (FA, fractional anisotropy; MD, mean diffusivity; L1, axial diffusivity; L23, perpendicular diffusivity). Images are thresholded at $z = 3.1$. Color bars reflect pseudo-$z$ values.

After fixation, was predicted by the changes in radial diffusivity, together with FA and MD [59]. A further possibility behind the increased axial diffusivity might be the selective degeneration of the weaker of the crossing fibers [60]. In the current study, the identified components were most strongly influenced by the axial diffusivity. This might suggest that axonal loss is the key pathological process. On the other hand, other diffusion parameter changes were also significantly included in the independent components. At this stage, the pathological relevance of these findings cannot be unanimously concluded. While it is crucially important to understand the pathological relevance of the diffusion alterations...
Fig. 2. Summary graph of component #3. Subject loadings were different between AD patients and healthy controls ($p < 0.0027$, higher in patients, top-right boxplot). The component was mainly driven by the axial diffusivity (top-left boxplot). Statistical images are overlaid on MNI152 standard brain. Blue-to-light blue color signifies a reduction, red-to-yellow an increment of the given parameter (FA, fractional anisotropy; MD, mean diffusivity; L1, axial diffusivity; L23, perpendicular diffusivity). Images are thresholded at $z = 2.3$. Color bars reflect pseudo-z values.
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