

A multi-center study of the MicroNET-covered stent in consecutive patients with acute carotid-related stroke: SAFEGUARD-STROKE*

Lukasz Tekieli^{1,2,3}, Andrej Afanasjev⁴, Maciej Mazgaj⁵, Vladimir Borodetsky⁶, Kolja Sievert⁷, Zoltan Ruzsa⁸, Magdalena Knapik^{2,9}, Audrius Širvinskas⁴, Adam Mazurek^{1,2}, Karolina Dzierwa¹⁰, Thomas Sanczuk¹¹, Valerija Mosenko¹², Malgorzata Urbanczyk-Zawadzka¹³, Mariusz Trystula¹⁴, Piotr Paluszek^{1,14}, Lukasz Wiewiorka¹³, Justyna Stefaniak¹⁵, Piotr Pieniazek^{2,3,14}, Inga Slautaitė¹⁶, Tomasz Kwiatkowski¹⁴, Artūras Mackevičius¹⁷, Michael Teitcher¹⁸, Horst Sievert⁷, Iris Q. Grunwald^{19,20}, Piotr Musialek^{1,2}

¹St. John Paul II Hospital in Krakow Stroke Thrombectomy-Capable Cardiovascular Centre, Krakow, Poland

²Department of Cardiac and Vascular Diseases, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland

³Department of Interventional Cardiology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland

⁴Department of Interventional Radiology, Republican Vilnius University Hospital, Vilnius, Lithuania

⁵Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, Stephan Cardinal Wyszynski Regional Hospital, Lublin, Poland

⁶Department of Interventional Radiology, Share Zedek Medical Center and Faculty of Medicine, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

⁷CardioVascular Center Frankfurt (CVC), Sankt Katharinen Hospital, Frankfurt, Germany

⁸Invasive Cardiology Division, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary

⁹Department of Radiology, Podhalanski Multispecialty Hospital, Nowy Targ, Poland

¹⁰St. John Paul II Hospital Krakow Cardiovascular Imaging Laboratory, Krakow, Poland

¹¹Department of Neurology, Stephan Cardinal Wyszynski Regional Hospital, Lublin, Poland

¹²Santaros Klinikos, Vilnius University Hospital, Vilnius, Lithuania

¹³St. John Paul II Hospital in Krakow Department of Radiology, Krakow, Poland

¹⁴Department of Vascular Surgery and Endovascular Interventions, John Paul II Hospital, Krakow, Poland

¹⁵Department of Bioinformatics and Telemedicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland

¹⁶Department of Neurology, Republican Vilnius University Hospital, Vilnius, Lithuania

¹⁷Department of Vascular Surgery, Republican Vilnius University Hospital, Vilnius, Lithuania

¹⁸Department of Neurology, Share Zedek Medical Center and Faculty of Medicine, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

¹⁹Division of Imaging Science and Technology, School of Medicine, University of Dundee, Dundee, Scotland, United Kingdom

²⁰University of Dundee Chair of Neuroradiology and Ninewells Hospital Department of Radiology, Dundee, Scotland, United Kingdom

*Acute Stroke of Carotid Artery Bifurcation Origin Treated With Use of the MicroNET-covered CGUARD Stent – SAFEGUARD-STROKE (NCT05195658)

Adv Interv Cardiol 2024; 20, 2 (76): 172–193
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5114/aic.2024.140963>

Abstract

Introduction: Acute carotid-related stroke (CRS), with its large thrombo-embolic load and large volume of affected brain tissue, poses significant management challenges. First generation (single-layer) carotid stents fail to insulate the athero-thrombotic material; thus they are often non-optimized (increasing thrombosis risk), yet their use is associated with a significant (20–30%) risk of new cerebral embolism.

Aim: To evaluate, in a multi-center multi-specialty investigator-initiated study, outcomes of the MicroNET-covered (cell area \approx 0.02–0.03 mm²) carotid stent (CGuard, InspireMD) in consecutive CRS patients eligible for emergency recanalization. Treatment, other than study device use, was according to center/operator routine.

Corresponding author:

Lukasz Tekieli, MD, PhD, Piotr Musialek, MD, DPhil, Department of Cardiac and Vascular Diseases, Jagiellonian University Medical College, John Paul II Hospital, 80 Pradnicka St, 31-202 Krakow, Poland, fax: +48 12 614 33 32, email: luk.tekieli@gmail.com, pmusialek@szpitaljp2.krakow.pl, piotr.musialek@uj.edu.pl

Received: 19.04.2024, **accepted:** 20.06.2024, **online publication:** 30.06.2024.

Material and methods: Seventy-five patients (age 40-89 years, 26.7% women) were enrolled in 7 interventional stroke centers.

Results: The median Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score (ASPECTS) was 9 (6–10). Study stent use was 100% (no other stent types implanted); retrograde strategy predominated (69.2%) in tandem lesions. Technical success was 100%. Post-dilatation balloon diameter was 4.0 to 8.0 mm. 89% of patients achieved final modified Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (mTICI) 2b-c/3. Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor use as intraarterial (IA) bolus + intravenous (IV) infusion was an independent predictor of symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage (OR = 13.9, 95% CI: 5.1–84.5, $p < 0.001$). The mortality rate was 9.4% in-hospital and 12.2% at 90 days. Ninety-day mRS0-2 was 74.3%, mRS3-5 13.5%; stent patency was 93.2%. Heparin-limited-to-flush predicted patency loss on univariate (OR = 14.3, 95% CI: 1.5–53.1, $p < 0.007$) but not on multivariate analysis. Small-diameter balloon/absent post-dilatation was an independent predictor of stent patency loss (OR = 15.2, 95% CI: 5.7–73.2, $p < 0.001$).

Conclusions: This largest to-date study of the MicroNET-covered stent in consecutive CRS patients demonstrated a high acute angiographic success rate, high 90-day patency and favorable clinical outcomes despite variability in procedural strategies and pharmacotherapy (SAFEGUARD-STROKE NCT05195658).

Key words: carotid-related stroke, carotid stenosis, mechanical reperfusion, mechanical thrombectomy, stroke endovascular treatment, carotid artery stenting, cerebral protection devices, MicroNET-covered stent, CGuard, stent optimization, clinical outcomes.

Summary

Stroke of extracranial carotid artery origin (carotid-related stroke – CRS) occurs mostly with a thrombotic transformation of carotid atherosclerotic stenosis. Less frequent mechanisms include spontaneous or traumatic dissection or a large embolic load (usually from the heart) that may be superimposed on a pre-existing carotid stenosis. Intravenous thrombolysis is not only poorly effective (recanalization likelihood $< 10\%$) but also it can enhance cerebral embolism with its carotid thrombus fragmentation. CRS is typically larger than intracranial large vessel occlusion stroke and it is often disabling. With its large thrombo-embolic load and “tandem” (extracranial carotid plus intracranial large-vessel) occlusions in about one in every 2 patients, CRS poses significant management challenges. While stenting (compared to non-stenting) generally improves outcomes, use of conventional (single-layer) stents is associated with a significant risk of new cerebral embolism and a significant risk of stent thrombosis. Single-layer stents are poorly optimized, in particular in CRS, to minimize the ‘cheese-grater’ effect. In consecutive CRS patients eligible for emergency recanalization we evaluated, in a multi-center multi-specialty investigator-initiated study, outcomes of using MicroNET-covered (cell area $\approx 0.02\text{--}0.03\text{ mm}^2$) carotid stent (CGuard, InspireMD) in otherwise routine clinical practice. Treatment, other than study device use (i.e., anticoagulation and antiplatelets doses and timing, use of other devices such as aspiration catheters vs. stentriever, use of cerebral protection devices, sequence of intracranial intervention and stent placement in “tandem” lesions, etc.), was according to centre/operator routine (real-life, all-comer study). With protocol-recommended study stent post-dilatation optimization, the rate of technical success was high (100%) and clinical success was also high (82.7%) in the context of CRS outcomes with other stents and strategies. Heparin-limited-to-flush predicted patency loss on univariate but not on multivariate analysis. Small-diameter balloon/absent post-dilatation was an independent predictor of stent patency loss. Findings from this largest to-date multi-center evaluation of the MicroNET-covered stent use in consecutive patients with CRS subjected to endovascular stroke treatment may inform selection of the management strategy in acute ischemic stroke of carotid artery origin.

Introduction

One in every four ischemic strokes is linked to extracranial carotid artery stenosis or occlusion (extracranial carotid-related stroke – CRS) [1–3]. Although in some subjects carotid occlusion may occur in absence of symptoms, once an overt neurologic deficit develops the stroke is often large and disabling [3]. CRS natural history is associated with neurologic outcomes significantly worse than those seen with isolated intracranial large vessel occlusions (LVO) [3–7]. CRS, like other LVO strokes, is a cerebral emergency [3, 8], and non-delayed revascularization equals (cerebral) resuscitation [3, 8].

With the typical large thrombus burden of CRS, any effective recanalization using intravenous thrombolysis (IVT) is highly unlikely (recanalization rates $< 10\%$) [3]. Also IVT use in CRS is associated with an increased risk of thrombus fragmentation and distal migration to a new cerebral territory, enhancing unfavorable clinical

outcomes [9, 10]. Moreover, CRS-IVT increases the risk of bleeding and bleeding-related poor clinical outcomes [11, 12]. Thus, in CRS, the overall clinical value of IVT (particularly when IVT is not followed by endovascular stroke therapy (EST)) is questionable [3].

HERMES Collaboration-aggregated randomized controlled trials (RCTs) provided level-1 evidence that acute ischemic strokes caused by occlusion of the cerebral proximal anterior circulation, require – in cerebral-eligible patients – routine EST to be performed on an emergency basis, irrespective of whether any IVT use [13]. The therapeutic effect of EST is extremely powerful, with less than 3 patients needed to be treated to reduce one stroke-related disability [13]. Regrettably, the LVO-EST RCT protocols largely excluded tandem CRS [2], while in the studies that allowed tandem CRS patient enrollment the proportions of included patients were very low [13]. Even more importantly, no RCT has enrolled non-tandem

CRS [8, 13] (i.e., carotid-only CRS, CRS in absence of concomitant intracranial LVO), a frequent CRS presentation [14]. The CRS under-representation in LVO-EST RCTs likely arises from significantly increased risks associated with the CRS revascularization procedure, including iatrogenic cerebral embolism (20–30% with conventional stent use) and challenges of addressing the carotid lesion (stent versus no stent, which stent, cerebral protection vs. no protection, associated pharmacotherapy, etc.) [2, 7, 9, 15, 16]. It is unrealistic to expect that HERMES-like trials would be repeated today for, specifically, CRS as a subtype of LVO ischemic stroke [3]. Evidence-based medicine integrates individual clinical expertise with the best available external clinical evidence [17]. In the case of absence of RCTs and meta-analyses with regard to a specific condition, evidence-based medicine decision-making is based on the best data available [17–19]. It is thus unethical to justify CRS-EST treatment denials with absence of CRS-specific RCTs [3, 20].

CRS emergency mechanical treatment has been effectively carried out for over 20 years, translating into improved clinical outcomes [3, 6, 18, 21–26]. Aggregated data indicate that stenting of the carotid lesion improves overall outcomes in CRS [16, 19, 27] but – with conventional stents – there is a clinically significant risk of stent thrombosis and occlusion. The 20–30% risk of new cerebral embolism with single-layer stents translates into their poor (or absent) postdilatation optimization [7, 25, 28, 29], while poor stent embedding, residual stenosis and too small stent diameter are well-known factors of stent patency loss [30]. Registry data indicate that the risk of stent occlusion with 1st generation stent use in CRS may exceed 30% [25].

The MicroNET-covered neuroprotective carotid stent, with its free-cell area of $\approx 0.02\text{--}0.03\text{ mm}^2$ [31, 32] insulates the atherothrombotic material [33] and has level-1 evidence for a profound reduction of cerebral embolism in elective carotid artery stenting (CAS) [34] as well as evidence for effective prevention of post-procedural cerebral embolism [34, 35]. However, little is known about the MicroNET-covered stent performance in emergency carotid lesion management in CRS, as published reports are limited to small single-center series [36] of mostly non-consecutive patients [36, 37].

Aim

This investigator-initiated study was performed to evaluate, in a multi-center multi-specialty setting, peri-procedural and 90-day clinical and duplex ultrasound outcomes of use of the MicroNET-covered carotid stent (CGuard, InspireMD) – in the context of otherwise routine procedural and clinical management – in consecutive patients presenting with acute stroke in mechanistic relation to extracranial carotid artery stenosis or occlusion.

Material and methods

Consecutive CRS patients eligible for mechanical reperfusion therapy [3, 8, 18, 22, 24, 38] were enrolled in 7 interventional stroke centers, including four Comprehensive (level-3) Stroke Centers and three Thrombectomy-Capable (level-2) Stroke Centers. Enrollment occurred over a 12-month period; individual centers' recruitment ranged from 3 to 12 months.

Acute ischemic stroke definition was according to current scientific statements [8]. Patients with carotid-related crescendo transient ischemic attacks (TIA), and stroke-in-evolution or stuttering stroke were also considered eligible for enrollment, consistent with prior studies [3, 39]. Crescendo TIA was defined as repetitive TIA episodes of increasing intensity over hours or days followed by return to apparently normal neurologic status [39]. Stroke-in-evolution was defined as progression of a neurologic deficit that occurred over $\geq 24\text{ h}$ without restoration of apparently normal neurologic status [39]. Fluctuating (“stuttering”) stroke was characterized by clearly separated episodes of progressive neurologic deficit without restoration of apparently normal neurologic status between episodes over at least a 24-hour period (within the $\geq 24\text{-hour}$ period the deficit could wax and wane but never clear completely) [39].

Guideline-indicated time and cerebral tissue windows [8] were protocol-recommended to be followed if applicable; otherwise management was per local standards and team expertise. Vascular access site and, in total occlusions, the technique employed to pass the extracranial carotid artery occlusion [15, 40–43] were left to the discretion of the operator.

Since (1) stent under-expansion and malapposition are well-known contributors to the risk of thrombosis, (2) residual stenosis is an important factor of in-stent restenosis, and (3) MicroNET-covered stent optimization has been shown to be safe and effective in symptomatic patients in elective CAS [31, 33], the study device post-dilatation was encouraged to optimize the implant embedding. However, post-dilatation balloon use, along with the balloon diameter and peak pressure, was left to the operator's decision. In relevance to real-life clinical practice variability in CRS-EST [3, 21, 44–48], use of other devices (such as cerebral protection and its type, stentriever versus aspiration, sequence of recanalization and stenting in tandem lesions – antegrade vs. retrograde, etc.) and the type, timing and administration route of medications in relation to intervention (heparin, antiplatelets, others) were left to the operator discretion as per study center routine management pathway(s) and local expertise. Rather than forcing a protocol for highly selected patients, poorly corresponding to routine clinical practice [49], we intended to evaluate the MicroNET-covered stent performance in a real-life setting, relevant to all-comer CRS patients.

Procedural angiograms were submitted for assessment by an angiographic core lab technologist who evaluated the carotid culprit lesion type (atherosclerosis, dissection, proximal thromboembolism), angiographic presence of thrombus and calcifications [50], and final modified Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (mTICI) flow [8].

Technical success was defined as the study device delivery and implantation with withdrawal of the delivery system and residual stenosis < 30% on procedure completion. Clinical success was defined as technical success in absence of clinical adverse events (death, myocardial infarction, clinically relevant stroke extension, symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage – sICH) or loss of the target internal carotid artery (ICA) patency by discharge.

The study was registered with respective Ethic Committees as per local regulations and was concordant with the Declaration of Helsinki, and patients provided informed written consent to participate. The study record was registered in a public database (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT05195658 **SAFEGUARD-STROKE** – Acute Stroke of Carotid Artery Bifurcation Origin Treated With Use of the MicroNET-covered **CGUARD** Stent).

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are reported as median (Q1–Q3) unless specified otherwise; categorical data are expressed as numbers and proportions. The Mann-Whitney *U* test or Wilcoxon matched pairs test was used for comparisons. Bonferroni correction was applied for multiple comparisons. To determine predictors of symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage (sICH), unfavorable clinical outcome (modified Rankin Scale [mRS] > 2) and study stent patency loss, univariate and multivariate models including potential clinical and imaging variables were constructed. Statistical significance was defined as $p < 0.05$. SPSS v. 29 (IBM Corporation, New York, United States) was used for computations.

Results

Seventy-five consecutive CRS patients (age 40–89 years, median: 67 years, 26.7% women) were enrolled. Baseline characteristics of study subjects are provided in Table I. Median Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score (ASPECTS) was 9 (range: 6–10). Twenty-nine (38.7%) patients had received IVT. A great majority had hyperacute stroke presentation (86.7%). TIA preceded the index CRS in 18.7%; 8% of patients presented with crescendo TIA or stroke-in-evolution. Stuttering/aggravating stroke symptoms were present in 4 study subjects (5.3%), including 3 patients accepted for treatment within the study with mRS 3 or 4 in the context of progressive stroke aggravation. The majority were tandem strokes (extracranial internal carotid artery acute occlusion non-tandem stroke in 48%). Atherosclerotic stenosis dominated as the underlying mechanism (89.3%; dissection – 6.6%, embolic load from proximal circulation superimposed on carotid stenosis – 3.9%).

Key procedural data are given in Table II; typical clinical and imaging scenarios are provided in raw data figures (Figures 1–6). Cerebral protection devices were

Table I. Baseline characteristics ($n = 75$)

Parameter	Value
Age [years]:	67 (61–74)
Range	40–89
Female gender	21 (28.0)
ASPECTS on admission:	9 (8–10)
Range	6–10
NIHSS on admission:	14 (12–19)
Range	6–27
mRS prior to index stroke onset:	0 (0–1)
Range	0–3
Time from symptom onset to presentation [h]	5 (3–11)
Range	1–38
IVT	29 (38.7)
Hypertension	67 (89.3)
Coronary artery disease	26 (34.4)
Atrial fibrillation	10 (13.3)
TIA preceding index stroke	14 (18.7)
Stroke in history	7 (9.3)
Symptomatic PAD	8 (10.7)
Diabetes:	
Type 1	1 (1.3)
Type 2	19 (25.3)
Prediabetes	6 (8.0)
Smoker:	41 (54.7)
Current	25 (33.3)
Ex-smoker	16 (21.3)
Hypercholesterolemia or hypolipidemic therapy prior to stroke	62 (82.7)
History of neck/chest radiotherapy	3 (4.0)
Type of stroke (clinical):	
Hyperacute	65 (86.7)
Stroke-in-evolution or crescendo TIA	6 (8.0)
Stuttering/aggravating	4 (5.3)
Stroke side, left	39 (52.0)
Lesion/occlusion level(s):	
Tandem (extra- plus intracranial)	39 (52.0)
Isolated extracranial	36 (48.0)
ICA lesion type:	
Atherosclerosis	67 (89.3)
Dissection	5 (6.6)
Thrombo-embolic load from prox circulation*	2 (2.3)
ICA thrombus [†]	47 (62.7)
ICA highly calcific stenosis [‡]	11 (14.7)

Values are given as median (Q1–Q3) or n (%) as applicable. ASPECTS – Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score. *Superimposed on carotid stenosis, †evidence of cerebral vessel occlusion on CTA or cQA (embolic carotid-related stroke mechanism was considered applicable in case of a non-flow limiting carotid lesion), ‡angiographic thrombus presence, † calcific segment length to lesion length $\geq 2/3$, minimal calcification thickness ≥ 3 mm, circularity (≥ 3 quadrants), and calcification severity grade ≥ 3 [50].

Table II. Procedural data (*n* = 75)

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
Access site:		9 × 40	16 (20.5)
Femoral	67 (89.3)	10 × 30	6 (7.7)
Radial	5 (6.7)	10 × 40	10 (12.8)
Transcarotid [‡]	3 (4.0)	10 × 60	4 (5.1)
Anaesthesia		> 1 stent implantation, <i>n</i> (% culprit ICA):	3 (4.0)
General	41 (54.7)	Second stent reason:	
Conscious sedation	34 (45.3)	Dissection	0
Cerebral protection device:	34 (45.3)	Thrombus	0
Proximal:	29 (38.7)	Lesion length [#]	3 (4.0)
MoMa system	19 (25.3)	Post-dilatation performed	72 (96)
Mono-balloon catheter	8 (10.7)	Postdilatation balloon peak diameter [mm]	5.0 (5.0–5.5)
TCAR	2 (2.7)	Range	4.0–8.0
Distal (filter)	3 (4.0)	Postdilatation balloon peak pressure [mm Hg]	18 (12–20)
Double (Mono-balloon catheter + filter)	2 (2.7)	Range	8–24
No protection device	41 (54.7)	New cerebral embolism with stent delivery/implantation [†]	1 (1.3)
Thrombus extraction*		Final mTICI, <i>n</i> (%):	
In <i>n</i> = 47 extracranial thrombotic lesions:	45 (95.7)	0/1	3 (4.0)
Aspiration-only	42 (89.4)	2a	5 (6.7)
Large-bore ST (under aspiration)	3 (6.4)	2b/c	17 (22.7)
In <i>n</i> = 39 intracranial LVOs:	37 (94.9)	3	50 (66.7)
Aspiration-only	19 (48.7)	Procedure duration [min]:	70 (45–97)
Aspiration followed by ST	15 (38.4)	Range	33–170
ST as primary strategy	3 (7.7)	Intraprocedural heparin use	75 (100)
Intracranial MT (<i>n</i> = 37):		Intraprocedural heparin regimen:	
ICA	2 (5.4)	Catheter(s) flush only	6 (8.0)
ACA	1 (2.7)	Additional dose(s):	69 (92.0)
M1	21 (56.8)	1500–3000 IU	11 (14.7)
M2	5 (13.5)	3000–5000 IU	21 (28.0)
Multisite intracranial	8 (21.6)	ACT-adjusted dosing with ≥ 250 s target	37 (49.3)
Number of passages in intracranial MT	2 (1–4)	Peri-procedural antiplatelet administered (at least 1):	69 (92.0)
	1–9	iv ASA	7 (9.3)
Primary ('direct') stenting	29 (38.7)	Oral/nas-gastric tube ASA	59 (78.7)
Extracranial lesion predilatation	46 (61.3)	GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor:	16 (21.3)
Predilatation balloon diameter [mm]	3.5 (3.0–3.5)	IA bolus only	4 (5.3)
Range	1.0–5.0	IA bolus + IV infusion	12 (16.0)
Carotid stent strategy in tandem lesions:		Cangrelor	3 (4.3%)
Antegrade	12 (30.8)	Post-procedural antiplatelet(s):	75 (100)
Retrograde*	27 (69.2)	One (ASA or clopidogrel)	4 (5.3)
Total number of study stents used	78	Two (ASA plus clopidogrel)	71 (94.7)
Non-study stent use	0	Timing of second antiplatelet administration (<i>n</i> = 71)	
Stent size, diameter [mm] × length [mm]:		≤ 24 h	38 (53.5)
6 × 40	2 (2.6)	> 24 h	22 (46.5)
7 × 30	5 (6.4)	Delay [h]	28 (26–31)
7 × 40	5 (6.4)	Delay, range	24–48
8 × 30	9 (11.5)	Recommended DAPT duration [months]	3 (3–3)
8 × 40	7 (9.0)	range	1–12
9 × 30	12 (15.3)		

Unless specified otherwise, data are given as median (Q1–Q3) or *n* (%). [‡]TCAR use in 2 cases, transcarotid mono-balloon catheter in 1, ^{*}other than passive flow reversal in cases with balloon catheter neuroprotection, [†]in absence of cerebral protection device use, [#]60mm-long stent was not always available; in 1 (1.3%) case the 60 mm length was insufficient. ST – stentriever, MT – mechanical thrombectomy, ICA – internal carotid artery, ACA – anterior communicating artery, M1/M2 – middle cerebral artery branches, mTICI – modified Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction, ACT – activated clotting time, ASA – aspirin.




Figure 1. MicroNET-covered stent management of athero-thrombotic carotid-related non-tandem wake-up stroke. A 68-year-old woman with chronic occlusion of the left internal carotid artery (that had previously

manifested as a TIA) was admitted to a thrombectomy-capable stroke center with a wake-up stroke (National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) 17). Cerebral plain computed tomography (CT) demonstrated Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score (ASPECTS) 9. Magnetic resonance cerebral imaging showed chronic bilateral lesions (A, white arrows) with diffusion-weighted imaging acute focal lesions located mostly in the right but also in the left hemisphere (A, yellow arrows; the lesions were absent on fluid-attenuated inversion recovery imaging). Computed tomography angiography (CTA) demonstrated an occlusion of the left internal carotid artery (LICA) and a near-occlusion of the right internal carotid artery (RICA) by a thrombotic/soft lesion. Intra-arterial angiography (femoral access) demonstrated a tight, highly thrombotic (red arrowheads) lesion in the right carotid artery bifurcation (B, note that duplex imaging 11 months earlier had shown ~50–60% stenosis that, according to guidelines, had been managed with maximized medical therapy including low-dose aspirin, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI), and a high-dose statin titrated to achieving LDL-cholesterol level < 70 mg/dl). There was absence of intracranial arterial occlusions; a slow-flow supply visualized only the right cerebral anterior circulation vessels (C). Proximal cerebral protection was established with a balloon catheter (white arrows, D–G, ‘back’ pressure 42/37 mm Hg), enabling a passive transient flow reversal throughout the procedure. Dotted arrows in D–G indicate LICA/left common carotid artery (LCCA) reversed flow direction. After a small-balloon (2.0 × 20 mm) predilation (E) a MicroNET-covered CGuard 9 × 30 mm stent was implanted to sequestrate the athero-thrombotic material (F). The stent was optimized with 5 × 20 mm balloon at 18 atm (G). Active aspiration was performed despite the (increasing) clinical intolerance manifested by transient aggravation of symptoms; the total flow-reversal time was 6 min and 40 s. The thrombotic material aspirated during the procedure is shown in H–I (inset). H is the stent image; note the full correspondence to the anatomy with its self-tapering (‘SmartFit’ feature). I is the final angiographic result of the stroke culprit lesion revascularization; note the optimal endovascular reconstruction of the carotid bifurcation with absence of any in-stent material and external carotid artery branches (normal opacification). Final cerebral angiogram (J) showed resumption, as a result of the procedure, of not only a normal modified Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction scale (mTICI) 3 flow to the right cerebral hemisphere but also with a rich supply to the left hemispheric vessels. There was a nearly immediate symptom resolution, with almost complete normalization by the next day. Ninety-day duplex ultrasound follow-up (K) showed a patent stent with laminar flow, absence of any in-stent material, and slightly increased in-stent velocities (1.4/0.65 m/s; consistent with flow compensation of the contralateral occlusion). White arrows in K show hypoechogenic material sequestered by the stent. Control cerebral CT (L) demonstrated only minor vasculogenic lesions while the neurologic outcome was excellent (mRS 1)

B/L – baseline, CTO – chronic total occlusion; mRS – modified Rankin score; 90d – 90 day.

used in less than half of study procedures (45.3%), with a clear dominance of proximal systems (Table II). Thrombus aspiration was the first-line strategy in the majority of extracranial and intracranial occlusions. Intracranial and large-bore carotid stentriever were used mostly in conjunction with aspiration in the case of failure of the aspiration-alone strategy. Nevertheless, some operators preferred to start with stentriever extraction as a preferred strategy (Table II).

The primary (“direct”) stenting rate was 38.7%; the majority of lesions (61.3%) were predilated prior to stent placement. The retrograde carotid lesion stenting strategy (i.e., intracranial recanalization followed by stenting; stent placement “on the way down”) clearly dominated in tandem lesions (69.2%). Table II displays the study device matrix as per specific stent diameters and lengths used. The 9 × 40 mm study stent was selected most frequently (20.5%), followed by 9 × 30 mm, 10 × 40 mm and 8 × 30 mm. Lengths of 30 and 40 mm were used nearly equally, whereas the 60 mm length constituted a minority (5.1%) of operator-selected stent lengths. In a great majority of cases (96%) the study device was post-dilated. Postdilatation balloon diameter ranged from 4.0 to 8.0 mm (median 5.0 mm; Q1–Q3 5.0–5.5 mm); post-dila-

tation peak pressure ranged from 8 atm (nominal) to high pressures (“full optimization”) [30, 31]. Technical success rate was 100%. Acute clinical success rate was 82.7% (7 in-hospital deaths, 3 study device occlusions not related to death, 3 sICH not leading to death).

Intraprocedural unfractionated heparin was used in all cases (100%). In 8% of study patients heparin use was limited to catheter flush only. The other end of the heparin dose spectrum (Table II) reflected a strategy of activated clotting time (ACT > 250 s)-adjusted heparinization consistent with the study device instructions for use (every second study procedure, Table II). Ninety-two percent of study patients received peri-procedurally at least 1 antiplatelet agent (Table II); cangrelor use was limited to 3 (4.3%) cases. Glycoprotein (GP) IIb/IIIa inhibitor was used in 1 in every 5 patients, in the majority of cases as an intraarterial (IA) bolus + IV infusion. All study patients were on at least 1 antiplatelet agent post-procedurally. The 2nd antiplatelet agent administration was delayed by > 24 h in nearly 50% of subjects (46.5%). Final mTICI 2b/c-3 was achieved in a great majority of cases (89.4%).

Closure devices were used in 65.8% of procedures other than the surgical access site closure used in 3 cases employing the transcarotid approach. The main clin-




Figure 2. MicroNET-covered stent ‘antegrade strategy’ management of carotid-related thrombotic tandem-lesion acute stroke resulting from a spontaneous dissection of extracranial carotid artery atherosclerotic lesion.

A 61-year-old left-handed man was admitted to a comprehensive stroke center 50 min after right hemispheric stroke onset (NIHSS 19). Plain CT showed hyperdense right middle cerebral artery (RMCA) “dot sign” (red arrowhead, **A**) in absence of CT early stroke changes (ASPECTS 10, **A**). CTA (not shown) demonstrated a tandem-lesion occlusion of the right cerebral anterior circulation vessels (extracranial ostial RICA occlusion and co-existing occlusion of 2 RMCA branches). This patient, with a family history of stroke, had been diagnosed a year earlier with a ‘non-significant’ RICA stenosis and was put on a low-dose aspirin and a high-dose statin. Baseline carotid angiography (femoral access) confirmed ostial RICA occlusion (**B**, RICA stump, red arrow). The occlusion was crossed with a microwire and microcatheter (contrast injection via microcatheter was performed to confirm true lumen cannulation). A MicroNET-covered antiembolic CGuard 10 × 60 mm stent (the longest available length) was implanted directly in the dissected segment (**C**). Post-implantation angiography (**C**) showed persistent occlusion at the proximal stent edge, indicating that the very proximal dissection segment remained uncovered (red arrowheads in **C**). After proximal implantation of a second CGuard stent (10 × 40 mm; overlapping stent technique) and postdilatation optimization of the two stents (5 × 20 mm balloon inflations distally, proximal inflation with a 7 × 20 mm balloon at 14 atm), the extracranial carotid lumen was effectively reconstructed (**D** and **E**; white arrows indicate edges of the top stent while blue arrows show the bottom stent edges; the segment between the blue and white arrow in the middle of **D** and **E** is the segment of overlapping stents; absence of flow to right external carotid artery (RECA) via the reconstructed lumen suggests recanalization performed via, in part, the false lumen). The intracranial lesions were then addressed (“bottom-to-top” strategy, a.k.a. “antegrade” strategy). Intra-arterial angiography confirmed occlusion of two M2 branches of the RMCA (**F**, red arrowheads). Recanalization was achieved by using a combined stentriever (Trevo 4 × 20 mm; **G**) and Sofia 6F aspiration catheter technique. One of the occluded RMCA branches was effectively opened (evacuated thrombus in **H**), whereas several attempts to open the other occluded branch were unsuccessful (**I**; red arrowhead denotes a remaining occlusion). Final mTICI flow was 2a (**J**). On clinical follow-up at 90 days, mRS was 2 and NIHSS was 5, consistent with a good clinical outcome; duplex scan demonstrated patent stents with normal flow. CTA follow-up at 6 months confirmed patent overlapping stents without any in-stent material (**K**). Despite a significant cerebral tissue loss in the right hemisphere (**L**) the clinical outcome was good (mRS 2, functional independence).

postdil – post-dilatation, RCCA – right common carotid artery, 180d – 180 days.

ical and imaging outcomes of interest are presented in Table III. Seven (9.3%) patients died in hospital. Symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage occurred in 4 (5.3%). NIH Stroke Score (NIHSS) on discharge was greatly reduced compared with that on admission (from a median of 14 to 4, $p < 0.01$). The study stent patency rate at discharge (incorporating occlusions prior to death) was 94.3%. No in-stent material was present in the stents patent at discharge, and in-stent velocities were normal (Table III). By 90 days 1 (1.5%) patient experienced a new ipsilateral stroke (study device normally healed, embolic stroke in relation to de novo atrial fibrillation) and 1 (1.5%) other patient had a posterior circulation stroke. Ninety-day patency of the study device was 92.2%, with normal in-stent velocities and absence of in-stent material (Table III).

Uni- and multivariate analysis explored predictors of fundamental outcomes of interest, including sICH (Table IV), bad clinical outcome (Table V), and stent patency loss by 90 days (Table VI). Glycoprotein (GP) IIb/IIIa inhibitor use as an IA bolus plus intravenous (IV) infusion, IVT, tandem lesion, carotid T-occlusion, and additional (defined as other than in flush) heparin dose were univariate predictors of sICH; however, GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor use as an IA bolus plus IV infusion remained as a sole sICH predictor on multivariate analysis. Large neurologic

deficit (NIHSS > 20) and significant cerebral tissue loss (ASPECTS < 8) at baseline as well as GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor use as an IA bolus plus IV infusion independently predicted absence of functional independence at 90 days. Study device post-dilatation with balloon < 5 mm diameter (including absence of post-dilatation, Table II) was the sole independent predictor of stent patency loss by 90 days.

Discussion

The principal findings from this multicenter study in consecutive patients with acute stroke of carotid artery origin undergoing emergency revascularization treatment are that – despite variability in procedural strategies and pharmacotherapy – the MicroNET-covered anti-embolic stent use in an otherwise routine procedure is associated with (1) a high acute angiographic success rate, (2) high 90-day patency and (3) favorable clinical outcomes at 90 days.

So far, there has been only limited information on the performance of MicroNET-covered stents in carotid revascularization in acute ischemic stroke. The study by de Vries *et al.* [36] included only 5 non-consecutive patients while the pilot series by Klail *et al.* [37] and Tekieli *et al.* [20] analyzed outcomes in, respectively, 33 (non-consecutive) and 43 (consecutive) CRS patients with CGuard-




Figure 3. MicroNET-covered stent management of athero-thrombotic extracranial carotid-related non-tandem acute stroke in a patient with lack of femoral arterial access and an ineffective transradial access. A 59-year-

old woman was admitted with a wake-up right hemispheric stroke (NIHSS of 14). Plain CT ASPECTS was 9. CTA imaging of the aortic arch and intracranial vessels did not demonstrate an intracranial occlusion. The RCCA was disease-free but there was a thrombotic near-occlusion in the proximal RICA. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (A) showed an acute ischemic lesion in the right ‘corona radiata’ region (note hyper-intense signal lesions on diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) that are dark on apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) maps and are invisible on fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequence (yellow arrows) – DWI/FLAIR “miss-match”; consistent with an early hyperacute stroke amenable to emergent revascularization). There was absence of femoral arterial access (Leriche syndrome). Right radial access failed due to an extremely sharp angle between the subclavian artery and common carotid artery. A “direct” common carotid artery access was established (TCAR technique [59], blue arrow in B). Baseline angiography using a 5F radial sheath (B) demonstrated a tight, highly thrombotic (red arrowheads in B) lesion in the proximal RICA (white arrow in B shows the point of radial sheath entry into the RCCA, black double-arrow denotes the tip of the 5F sheath). The 5F sheath was then exchanged for the 8F EnRoute dynamic flow reversal system [59]. Antegrade angiography confirmed a highly thrombotic RICA lesion (C) with severely impaired supply to the right hemisphere (consistent with the DWI ischemia in A) in absence of intracranial vessel(s) occlusion (D). With the TCAR dynamic flow reversal (E, ‘retrograde’ angiography with backflow of non-contrasted blood via both RICA and RECA), note partial disappearance of the thrombotic material in the RICA (visible in B and C but replaced with a column of contrast in E, green arrowheads, consistent with evacuation of part of the thrombi with the dynamic flow reversal; dotted arrows indicate flow direction). Following a small-diameter (2.5 × 20 mm) balloon inflation to further enhance the reversed flow cerebral protection, a 8.0 × 30 mm antiembolic CGuard stent was inserted, and it was post-dilatation embedded with a 5 × 20 mm balloon at 16 atm (F). The stent image is presented in G (note the fit to the anatomy) whereas H is the final angiogram (note the full patency of the RECA branches). The inset in H is a photograph of the EnRoute system external filter used in this procedure (note numerous thromboembolic pieces that were effectively evacuated). The final cerebral angiogram (I) showed a normal (mTICI-3) flow to the right hemisphere; note absence of intracranial embolism that was effectively prevented using a combination of flow reversal and the MicroNET-covered stent. Ninety-day follow-up showed a patent stent with absence of any in-stent material and normal-velocity laminar flow (J). Control MRI showed limited permanent ischemic lesions (arrows, K). The functional outcome was excellent (mRS 1)

EST. The present study is the first multi-center series of consecutive CRS patients treated with the MicroNET-covered stent.

The majority of CRS originate from carotid artery atherosclerosis [2, 7, 19, 22, 24, 51], with the platelet-rich lytic-resistant clot arising from the eroded or ruptured carotid plaque [52]. Part(s) of the clot may separate and cause “downstream” artery-to-artery embolism [51]. Less frequent CRS mechanisms involve (spontaneous or traumatic) dissection and (cardiogenic) embolism [2, 3, 7]. CRS lesions are either isolated extracranial (“non-tandem” stroke) or are “tandem” (extracranial carotid stenosis/occlusion plus intracranial artery occlusion). Epidemiologic data and clinical series of consecutive patients show that ~50% CRS are “non-tandem” while the other ~50% present as “tandem” occlusions [3, 14, 23].

Clinical manifestations of acute ICA occlusion are very variable [3]. This variability is related essentially to an interplay of three factors: the characteristics of occlusion (including its pace – gradual or abrupt), anatomic collateral circulation (and its functional susceptibility to exhaustion as a function of cerebral vasoreactivity) and hemodynamic factors [3]. Intracranial circulation involves a variable network connecting both anterior-posterior and deep-shallow circulation systems. Thus the compensation mechanisms in ICA occlusion are influenced by patient-specific anat-

omy and other preexisting factors. As a result, the clinical spectrum of ICA occlusion varies from a total absence of symptoms, through mild transient deficit, to a fully developed CRS in 40–50% of occlusions [3]. CRS, whether tandem or non-tandem, are often large [3] and are associated with poor clinical outcomes [3, 20]. CRS outcomes, whether tandem or non-tandem, are generally worse than those seen with isolated intracranial LVO [3–7, 20].

In some instances the extracranial lesion, rather than the primary stroke cause, is a bystander of cardiogenic thromboembolism [3, 53]; it adds significant hindrance to EST [3]. Fundamental challenges of CRS-EST include decision-making on at least ballooning the carotid stenosis (vs. leaving it unaddressed on an emergency basis) and/or stenting (vs. non-stenting) as well as the sequence and timing of the intracranial and extracranial intervention (antegrade vs. retrograde strategy). Operator decisions on procedure strategy and devices have important consequences for (administered or abandoned) pharmacologic therapy that, in turn, affect the cerebral and clinical outcomes [3, 16, 24]. Fundamental concerns of CRS EST are thus not only those shared with intracranial LVO EST, such as embolization to a new vascular territory and stroke extension (which are greater in CRS-EST than in intracranial LVO-EST) [7, 15, 25] and risk of symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage that is aggravated




Figure 4. MicroNET-covered stent management of carotid-related tandem-lesion acute stroke resulting from extracranial carotid artery thrombosis on the basis of a highly calcific lesion. A 73-year-old man with chronic

CAD and a chronic LCCA/LICA occlusion was admitted to a level-3 (i.e., thrombolysis-capable) stroke center 80 min after right hemispheric stroke onset (admission NIHSS was 22). Plain CT showed a hyperdense middle cerebral artery (MCA) sign on the right (**A**, red arrowhead) with ASPECTS 10. CTA demonstrated an occlusion of the RICA (red arrow, **B**) on a massively calcific lesion (yellow arrowheads, **B**). Cerebral CTA demonstrated RMCA thrombotic occlusion (**C**, red arrowhead), consistent with the hyperdense MCA sign in **A**. Intravenous thrombolytic therapy was immediately initiated. Two hours later, in absence of a clinical improvement (NIHSS 20) the patient was transferred to a level-2 (thrombectomy-capable) stroke center. Cerebral CT was repeated (**D**) to exclude cerebral bleed and evaluate the cerebral parenchyma; ASPECTS was 9. Carotid catheter angiography (femoral access) confirmed a massively calcific lesion in the RCCA bifurcation (**E**, yellow arrowheads indicate the 'stone of calcium'; note a dotted line around the calcium conglomerate) and proximal RICA near-occlusion (non-subtracted image in **E**, subtracted image in **F**). A highly angulated narrow flow canal was visible inside the calcium conglomerate; sluggish antegrade flow was consistent with an effect of thrombolysis (recanalized RICA in **E–F**, compare absence of antegrade flow in **B**). Intracranial angiogram (**G**) showed limited, sluggish flow to the right hemispheric cerebral vessels but no longer evidence of intracranial occlusion (thrombolysis partly effective). Severe calcifications prohibited insertion of a double-balloon catheter (note that the ECA balloon did not cross the distal RCCA heavy calcifications); this was replaced with a mono-balloon catheter (reversed flow direction is denoted by a dotted arrow in **H** to **K**). Attempts to insert a $\varnothing 2.0$ mm or $\varnothing 1.5$ mm balloon through the lesion failed, and predilatation (**I**) was thus started with a $\varnothing 1.0$ mm CTO-dedicated coronary balloon (**I-1**). This was followed by use of a $\varnothing 2.0$ mm and $\varnothing 3.0$ mm Scoring Balloon (SB, **I-2**); then $\varnothing 3.5$ mm and $\varnothing 4.0$ mm cutting-balloon inflations were performed (CB, **I-3**). Final lesion preparation involved $\varnothing 4.0$ - 5.5 NC balloons at high pressures (**I-4**). Then, to minimize the risk of perforation and post-stenting embolism, a dual-layer MicroNET-covered CGuard 10 \times 40 mm stent was used (the stent was inserted under continued flow reversal, **J** – note a continually inflated low-pressure balloon of the balloon catheter, white arrow). The anti-embolic stent was then optimized with $\varnothing 6.0$ mm non-compliant (high-pressure) balloon (NC balloon) sequential inflations up to 24 atm (**K**). This led to a fully reconstructed RCCA bifurcation, with a normal lumen restoration in RCCA/RICA and a normal RECA flow (**L**). The total flow reversal time was 28 min. Reversed flow, ensuring cerebral protection against plaque-related embolism, was intentionally maintained throughout the procedure despite increasing intolerance. The final cerebral angiogram (**M**) showed a normal (mTICI-3) flow to the right hemisphere vessels in absence of any distal embolism and a vivid intracranial collateral supply to the left hemispheric vessels; inset in **M** shows the scoring balloon (SB) and cutting balloon (CB) that permitted this very highly calcific stroke culprit lesion effective predilatation, and enabled (together with a dual-layer stent) fully endovascular management. Note that combining surgical endarterectomy with intracranial mechanical thrombectomy may not be optimal [3], while the MicroNET-covered stent can be effectively used in intra-arterial treatment of highly calcific carotid bifurcation lesions [50]. Ninety-day follow-up showed a patent stent with laminar flow and normal velocities (**N**). Control CT showed only limited permanent ischemic lesions in the basal ganglia on the right (**O**, white arrows). Clinical outcome was excellent (mRS 1)

occl – occlusion.

in CRS in relation to the cerebral infarct size and anti-platelet/antithrombotic therapies with stent use.

Aggregated data indicate that stenting of the carotid lesion (rather than balloon angioplasty only) improves overall outcomes in CRS [16, 19, 27]; thus stenting is today a preferred strategy. In a recent systematic review and meta-analysis comparing functional outcomes, reperfusion, sICH, and 90-day mortality of CAS versus balloon angioplasty alone in patients with tandem CRS, acute CAS and the retrograde approach had higher odds of successful reperfusion and good functional outcome [27]. Acute CAS was associated with higher odds of an mRS score ≤ 2 (odds ratio [OR] = 1.95, 95% CI: 1.24–3.05) and successful reperfusion (OR = 1.89, 95% CI: 1.26–2.83), with no differences in mortality or symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage rates [27]. Moreover, the retrograde (vs. antegrade) approach was sig-

nificantly associated with a modified Rankin Scale score ≤ 2 (OR = 1.72, 95% CI: 1.05–2.83) [19]. A subsequent larger-scale meta-analysis, including 46 observational studies, confirmed a higher good functional outcome of acute CAS compared with the no-stenting approach (OR = 1.52, 95% CI: 1.19–1.95), and higher successful recanalization rate (OR = 1.91, 95% CI: 1.29–2.85), with a lower restenosis rate in the acute CAS group than in the no-stenting group (2% vs. 9%, $p = 0.001$). Overall, the recanalization rate was higher in retrograde than antegrade CAS (OR = 0.51, 95% CI: 0.28–0.93) [16]. In the third recent meta-analysis, after adjustment for confounders, the odds of a favorable functional outcome (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] = 1.67; 95% CI: 1.20–2.40; $p = 0.007$), favorable shift in mRS scores (aOR = 1.46; 95% CI: 1.02–2.10; $p = 0.04$), and successful reperfusion (aOR = 1.70; 95% CI: 1.02–3.60; $p = 0.002$) were




Figure 5. MicroNET-covered stent use to treat a stuttering carotid-related athero-thrombotic non-tandem stroke. A 53-year-old woman was first admitted to a local hospital with TIA manifesting as a recurring transient

blurred vision. Initial cerebral plain CT (**A**) was normal but on the next the symptoms progressed to a minor stroke (NIHSS 4). During continued hospitalization in a neurology ward, on day 5 the patient developed right eye vision loss with right hemiparesis and total aphasia. Repeated CT showed an infarct area in the left occipital lobe (**B**) in the left main coronary artery (LMCA) territory (ASPECTS 9). CTA demonstrated highly thrombotic LICA stenosis. Following a telephone consultation in a thrombectomy-capable stroke center with extensive CAS experience, the patient was put on heparin anticoagulation in addition to the antiplatelet treatment and was transferred to the revascularization center (level-2 stroke center). Baseline angiography (femoral access) demonstrated a highly thrombotic lesion with a mobile thrombus in the LICA (**C** and **D**, red arrowheads) and impaired flow to the left hemispheric vessels in absence of a cerebral vessel occlusion (**E**). Proximal protection was established with a mono-balloon catheter (white arrows in **D** and **F-H**); this enabled flow reversal throughout the procedure (dotted arrows indicate reversed flow direction). A MicroNET-covered antiembolic CGuard 10 × 40 mm stent was implanted directly in the LICA/LCCA (**F**, **G**) under the flow-reversal cerebral protection, excluding the thrombus from the lumen. Under continued flow reversal the stent was post-dilatation optimized with a 6 × 20 mm balloon (**H**) at up to 20 atm. Optimal reconstruction of the carotid bifurcation was achieved (**I** and **J**; note the stent full adaptation to the internal carotid artery/common carotid artery (ICA/CCA) anatomy in **I**, 'SmartFit' characteristics, and non-compromised patency of the external carotid artery (ECA) branches). Final cerebral angiogram (**K**) demonstrated normal (mTICI-3) flow to the left hemispheric vessels in absence of any embolism. Ninety-day follow-up showed patent stent with laminar flow and normal velocities (**L**). CT follow-up was performed at 6 months (**M**), demonstrating absence of any new ischemic changes relative to the preprocedural imaging in **B** (in **M** note a glial scar in the left occipital lobe, white arrows)

significantly higher for the CAS group compared with the non-stenting group. Both groups had similar odds of sICH (aOR = 0.90; 95% CI: 0.46–2.40; $p = 0.87$) [19].

Although stenting (vs. no stenting) is associated with improved outcomes of CRS-EST, with conventional carotid stents there is a clinically significant risk of stent thrombosis and occlusion. The 20–30% risk of new embolism with single-layer stents translates into their poor (or absent) postdilatation optimization [7, 25, 28, 29], while poor stent embedding, residual stenosis and too small stent diameter are well-known factors of patency loss [30]. Intravascular imaging confirms that conventional carotid stents may fail to insulate the athero-thrombotic material in elective CAS [54, 55]; thus they are challenging to optimize. This limitation is even more relevant with the large thrombo-embolic load typical for CRS. Stent under-expansion and malapposition, along with the challenges of antiplatelet and antithrombotic management in CRS, result in increased rates (in relation to elective stenting) of symptomatic thrombosis and re-occlusion. Registry data show that with 1st generation stent use in CRS the risk of stent occlusion may exceed 30% [25]. Data from the Endovascular Treatment In Stroke (ETIS) Registry of CRS-EST in tandem strokes show that stent post-dilatation (even if not optimized by our criteria) increases the likelihood of stent patency at 24 h by 2.42-fold (95% CI: 1.24–4.67) [28]. Although the success of intracranial LVO revascularization (final TICI flow) plays a fundamental role, optimal stent embedding may be highly relevant because post-procedural loss of stent patency in CRS-EST is associated with a significant negative impact on the likelihood of a good functional outcome at 3 months [56].

There is increasing understanding of the role of cerebral protection when performing EST in LVO strokes;

this appears to be particularly relevant to CRS due to its generally large thrombus burden [57]. The STRATIS registry of over 500 patients indicated that the use of a balloon guide catheter versus a conventional catheter was an independent predictor of first-pass effect, modified first-pass effect (mTICI ≥ 2b) and functional independence [58]. The recent propensity score-matched analysis of a series of tandem CRS by the Buffalo Group [57] demonstrated that the patients with a balloon guide catheter had a significantly shorter procedure duration, lower discharge NIHSS score, and higher odds of 90-day mRS 0-2. On multivariate regression, the balloon guide catheter cohort had a significantly higher first pass effect rate and lower periprocedural sICH rate. Dynamic flow reversal in transcarotid artery revascularization (TCAR) is very effective in removing atherothrombotic debris from the treated lesion. There is evidence for an additive neuroprotective effect of the TCAR robust flow reversal and the MicroNET-covered anti-embolic stent that limits intra-procedural embolism and prevents post-procedural plaque-related embolism [59]. The present study indicates that TCAR can be effectively used in patients with acute CRS, in particular in cases of failure of transfemoral/transradial access (Table II, Figure 3). To be able to use the transcarotid access on an emergency basis the team needs to be proficient in gaining this access safely and swiftly; IVT received by the patient is an important limiting factor. Recent data in elective procedures of carotid revascularization suggest an additive benefit of TCAR dynamic flow reversal (allowing a safe procedure of stent implantation and optimization) and the anti-embolic stent whose protection against the atherothrombotic plaque-related embolism extends to the post-procedural period. In the present study a cerebral protection




Figure 6. MicroNET-covered stent ‘retrograde strategy’ in managing carotid-related thrombotic tandem-lesion stroke resulting from extracranial carotid artery dissection with a large thrombus burden. A 51-year-

old man was admitted to an interventional radiology-run stroke center in the 2nd hour of right hemispheric stroke onset. Plain CT showed a right hyperdense MCA sign (left image in **A**, red arrowhead); ASPECTS was 10 (**A**, image on the right. CTA (not shown) demonstrated a RICA-RMCA ‘tandem’ occlusion (totally occluded RICA, totally occluded and RMCA-M1). Baseline carotid angiography (femoral access) demonstrated RICA proximal occlusion (**B**); this was crossed using a microwire and a microcatheter set. Then a reperfusion catheter (JET 7) was inserted and ICA aspiration was performed, yielding a volume of thrombi. Intracranial angiography (**C**) confirmed RMCA thrombotic occlusion in the M1 segment (**C**, red arrowhead). This was treated by JET 7 aspiration (first pass recanalization effect). Gentle injection through a guide catheter showed a long proximal ICA dissection (**D**); an intra-arterial bolus of integrelin was administered, followed by an IV infusion. The dissection culprit lesion was resolved with a 6 × 40 mm MicroNET-covered stent (direct stenting). The stent was then optimized with a 5.5 × 20 mm balloon (inflations at 10–14 atm); there was an optimal angiographic result with no detectable cerebral embolism. Full reconstruction of the ICA lumen was achieved (**E** and **F**; the stent edges are indicated by white arrows; note a mild spasm at both edges of the stent, blue arrows; this resolved spontaneously). The final cerebral angiogram (**G**) demonstrated a normal (mTICI-3) flow to the right cerebral hemisphere with no detectable distal embolization. Ninety-day follow-up showed a patent stent with laminar flow and normal velocities (**H**) whereas control CT (**I**) demonstrated a normal brain

Table III. Main outcomes of interest

Parameter	Results
In-hospital/by discharge (n = 75)	
Any intracranial hemorrhage:	12 (16)
sICH	4 (5.3)
asICH	8 (10.7)
In-hospital death	7 (9.3)
NIHSS [#] on discharge:	4 (2–8)
Range	0–23
mRS at discharge:	1 (1–3)
Range	0–6
Stent patent [#] on discharge	66 (94.3)
DUS in-stent velocities:	
PSV [cm/s]	69 (53–91)
EDV [cm/s]	20 (12–26)
Any in-stent material	0 (0)
90-day outcomes [†] (n = 66)	
New stroke by 90 days, any:	2 (3)
Ipsilateral	1 (1.5)
Contralateral	0
Posterior circulation	1 (1.5)
90-day death (total [*])	9 (12.0)
NIHSS at 90 days	3 (0–5)
mRS [‡] at 90 days	1 (1–2)
Stent patent [*] by 90 days	59 (92.2)
Any in-stent material [§]	0 (0)
Stent occluded by 90 days	5 (7.8)
DUS in-stent velocities:	
PSV [cm/s]	64 (55–84)
EDV [cm/s]	24 (21–30)

sICH – symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage, asICH – asymptomatic intracranial hemorrhage, NIHSS – National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale Score, mRS – modified Rankin scale, DUS – Duplex ultrasound, PSV – peak-systolic velocity, EDV – end-diastolic velocity. [†]In patients alive at 90 days, unless specified otherwise, [§]in patent stents, ^{*}8 deaths as stroke consequence; one cardiac death, [‡]in alive patients and those showing stent occlusion on DUS prior to death (n = 70 eligible), ^{*}including last available DUS in patients who died, ^{*}in patients alive at 90 days, unless occlusion diagnosed prior to death between discharge and 90 days; (n = 64 eligible at 90 days).

device was applied in 45.3% of procedures, with proximal system use in 38.7% of study participants (Table II), potentially contributing to favorable clinical outcomes. On univariate analysis, balloon guide cerebral protection significantly reduced the likelihood of bad clinical outcome at 90 days (OR = 0.68, 95% CI: 0.21–0.89, *p* < 0.005); however, it was not an independent predictor on multivariate analysis (Table V).

While stent use (according to instructions for use and clinical experience) requires both anticoagulation and antiplatelets, any antithrombotic regimen is believed to increase the likelihood of stroke hemorrhagic transformation and is intensively debated [60]. Heparin and antiplatelet use in CRS-EST are not standardized. For heparin, the doses range from zero (i.e., not even in catheter flush) to a “full” (ACT-adjusted) dose [21, 46, 48]. Recent analysis from the TITAN Collaboration indicated that periprocedural unfractionated heparin did not modify the safety and efficacy results of emergency CAS in tandem strokes [61] but Da Ros *et al.* [48] suggested that heparin dosage ≥ 3000 IU increased the sICH rate in CRS-EST when the initial ASPECTS was ≤ 7 and intracranial mechanical thrombectomy required more than one passage for complete recanalization. In our study, the minimal heparin use was that limited to catheter flush; otherwise it reflected the practice variability (Table II). Heparin other than in flush was a predictor of sICH on univariate analysis but it did not constitute an independent predictor (Table IV).

Absence of double antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) and of ACT-adjusted heparinization may be particularly relevant for stent thrombosis in thrombus-containing lesions and with post-recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rtPA) rebound thrombogenicity in CRS. In addition, stent undersizing and underdeployment (major stent thrombosis contributors [30]) are more likely to occur in a highly thrombotic milieu because of the difficulty in true vessel diameter determination (note increased likelihood of the

Table IV. Predictors of sICH

Univariate	Multivariate
GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor IA bolus + IV infusion OR = 6.4 (1.8–24.5), $p < 0.001$	GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor IA bolus + IV infusion OR = 16.9 (4.8–44.3), $p < 0.001$
T-occlusion OR = 3.9 (1.9–15.1), $p < 0.001$	
Tandem lesion OR = 3.4 (1.3–35.9), $p = 0.010$	
IVT OR = 1.9 (1.1–20.6), $p < 0.001$	
Additional dose of heparin [#] OR = 1.4 (1.1–18.7), $p = 0.020$	

95% CIs are provided in parentheses, [#]other than in flush.

Table V. Predictors of bad clinical outcome (mRS > 2) at 90 days

Univariate	Multivariate
GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor IA bolus + IV infusion OR = 23.8 (5.3–94.5), $p < 0.001$	NIHSS > 20 OR = 14.7 (2.1–78.2), $p = 0.006$
ASPECT < 8 OR = 11.2 (3.2–38.9), $p < 0.001$	GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor IA bolus + IV infusion OR = 13.9 (5.1–84.5), $p < 0.001$
NIHSS > 20 OR = 8.3 (2.4–32.6), $p < 0.001$	ASPECT < 8 OR = 12.8 (2.0–81.6), $p = 0.007$
Tandem lesion OR 6.1 (1.8–20.8), $p = 0.004$	
Postdilatation balloon < 5 mm or absent* OR = 4.6 (1.2–17.6), $p = 0.020$	
Peri-procedural DAPT initiation OR = 0.77 (0.41–0.92), $p = 0.006$	
Balloon catheter use for cerebral protection OR = 0.68 (0.21–0.89), $p = 0.003$	

95% CIs are provided in parentheses. *Study device postdilatation was not performed in 3 (4%) cases.

Table VI. Predictors of stent patency loss by 90 days

Univariate	Multivariate
Heparin limited to flush OR = 14.3 (1.5–53.1), $p = 0.007$	Postdilatation balloon < 5 mm OR = 15.2 (5.7–72.3), $p < 0.001$
mTICI < 2b OR = 12.7 (4.9–97.9), $p = 0.001$	mTICI < 2b OR = 6.3 (0.98–45.2), $p = 0.080$
Tandem lesion OR = 9.2 (1.1–28.4), $p = 0.030$	
Postdilatation balloon < 5 mm* OR = 7.1 (5.4–57.9), $p = 0.002$	
ASPECT < 8 OR = 6.2 (1.3–14.1), $p = 0.024$	

*Includes lack of postdilatation (see Table II).

internal carotid artery under-filling/constriction) and the concern of a potential increase of the thrombus intraluminal migration with stent diameter optimization by up-sized balloon postdilatation(s) [62]. In the extremely challenging CRS-EST setting, a happy medium may not exist between providing the appropriate CAS-required heparinization level and early DAPT administration while, in turn, enhancing the risk of stroke hemorrhagic transformation [62].

According to a recent meta-analysis by Diana *et al.* [16] stenting (vs no-stenting) in tandem CRS significantly increased risk of sICH (OR = 1.97, 95% CI: 1.23–3.15). However, intraprocedural antiplatelet use during emergency CAS was associated with a higher rate of good functional outcome (60% vs. 46%, $p = 0.016$) and lower rate of sICH (7% vs. 11%; $p = 0.08$) compared with GP IIb/IIIa inhibitors, indicating that GP IIb/IIIa inhibitors might play a significant role in the increase in sICH. This is con-

sistent with our data (Table IV). With conventional carotid stents, high-intensity antiplatelet regimens (DAPT or GP IIb/IIIa inhibitors) are likely to positively affect result in stent patency compared with low-intensity regimens [16, 45, 48]. Preliminary data suggest that low-dose intravenous cangrelor has similar safety and a higher rate of complete reperfusion compared to IV GP-IIb/IIIa inhibitors while it may be safer (elimination half-life 3–6 min) [47]. Recent evidence indicated that – in the case of CGuard use with an optimized implant embedding – delayed administration of the second antiplatelet may not translate into any increase in the risk of stent thrombosis [63]. The CGuard self-tapering feature ('SmartFit') [31, 64] (Figures 1, 2, 5) may, by the device natural fit to the anatomy and thus a reduced risk of malapposition (particularly when post-dilatation is performed), play an important role in minimizing the risk of stent thrombosis.

Evidence shows that MicroNET-covered stent, an important development in the evolution of carotid revascularization devices and techniques [32, 64–66], contributes to increasing the safety and quality of elective CAS [67]. Findings from the present study suggest that the device combining the most “open-cell” (nitinol frame) design with the ultra-thin (20 μm) most “closed cell” design (MicroNET wrap with pore size of $\approx 150\text{--}180\ \mu\text{m}$, i.e., the range of the pore size in filters used for cerebral protection in CAS) may be similarly likely to contribute to an increased penetration of quality emergency endovascular recanalization in carotid-related stroke, significantly reducing the burden of disability, dependence and mortality [3]. Optimized embedding of the neuroprotective MicroNET-covered stents into the vessel wall reduces the risk of implant thrombosis [30, 31, 33, 62].

A recent large-scale meta-analysis of clinical data with new para (single-layer) and “mesh” stents indicated that marked differences in the mechanical properties of the distinct “mesh” stent types may translate into clinically relevant differences in 30-day and 12-month outcomes [67]. In elective CAS, CGuard clinical outcomes are superior to 1st-generation (single-layer) carotid stents at 30 days (reduction in death and stroke) and 12 months (reduction in both ischemic stroke and in-stent restenosis) [67].

Clinical and angiographic outcomes in this multi-center study of CGuard stent use in CRS-EST appear superior to those recently published by Klail *et al.* [37], who reported results in 33 non-consecutive patients with tandem and non-tandem CRS. In that study, the mortality rate was 24% with a favorable clinical outcome (mRS ≤ 2) in 36% of patients at 90 days [37]. However, ASPECTS on admission (median: 7) was lower than that in our patients (median: 9). Also, the MicroNET-covered stent size matrix of devices used in that study includes stent size diameter of 4 mm [37] while the smallest CGuard stent diameter is 6 mm, indicating the potential use of stent types other than CGuard within the series reporting

CGuard outcomes [37]. Reduction in the risk of unfavorable outcome at 90-days with the use of balloon guide catheters for cerebral protection in CRS-EST (Table V) is consistent with the benefit of proximal cerebral protection in elective CAS [68]. Because the anti-embolic stent exerts its neuroprotective action only from the point of the device full implantation (but with neuroprotection against lesion-related extending into the stent healing period [34]) the benefit of cerebral protection prior to stent placement and optimization is additive to the anti-embolic stent cerebral benefit.

Limitations: Our protocol recommended, on the basis of CGuard evidence in elective CAS [31, 33], post-dilatation device embedding in the artery wall, but other elements of the procedural strategy, such as the sequence of stenting (antegrade/retrograde) and antiplatelet regimens, were not standardized. Yet, with routine post-dilatation optimization the study device performed well (high 90-day patency rate, high rates of good and excellent clinical outcome) despite variability in procedural strategies and pharmacotherapy. As < 50% of stroke patients eligible for mechanical reperfusion may be eligible for inclusion in clinical studies with strict protocols [49], our all-comer eligible patient enrollment and the operators' freedom to use (apart from the study device implantation technique that recommended post-dilatation) their routine devices and strategies translate into an important advantage of our study in relation to its relevance to routine clinical practice. Nevertheless, larger multi-center series and longer follow-up data [67] are warranted.

Conclusions

This MicroNET-covered stent study in consecutive CRS patients eligible for mechanical reperfusion – the largest such study to date – demonstrated a high acute angiographic success rate, high 90-day patency and favorable clinical outcomes despite differences in procedural strategies and pharmacotherapy, reflecting real-life variability in approaches by different operators and centers. The MicroNET-covered stent was beneficial in ICA recanalization and re-establishing cerebral perfusion with minimized iatrogenic cerebral embolism, and was associated with a high rate of functional independence. Study stent post-dilatation with a small-diameter balloon (or absence of post-dilatation) independently predicted patency loss; this – taken together with the minimal in-stent restenosis rate with the device post-dilatation optimization strategy in elective CAS [31] – calls for a strategy of MicroNET-covered routine post-dilatation optimization in emergency carotid revascularization. In anticipation of larger-scale data, findings from this study may inform selection of the management strategy in patients with acute ischemic stroke of carotid artery origin.

Acknowledgments

Lukasz Tekieli, Andrej Afanasjev, and Maciej Mazgaj have equally contributed to work reported in this article.

Funding

Supported by Jagiellonian University Medical College (K/ZDS/007819), St. John Paul II Hospital in Krakow Research Fund, and National Committee for Scientific Research (2022/06/X/NZ5/00583, 2023/07/X/NZ5/00256).

Conflict of interest

PM has been proctoring and/or consulting for Abbott Vascular, Balton, Gore, InspireMD, and Medtronic. PM has served as the Polish Cardiac Society Board Representative for Stroke and Vascular Interventions and serves on the ESC Stroke Council Scientific Documents Task Force. PM is Global Co-Principal Investigator in the CGUARDIANS FDA IDE Trial of the MicroNET-covered carotid stent system. HS has proctored and advised to manufacturers of interventional cardiovascular medicine equipment and devices. IQG was the Principal Investigator on the first study of thrombus aspiration in acute ischemic stroke and has proctored and advised to neurointerventional equipment and device manufacturers. IQG is Vice-President of the World Federation for Interventional Stroke Treatment (WIST). The other authors report no conflicting interests.

References

- Di Donna A, Muto G, Giordano F, et al. Diagnosis and management of tandem occlusion in acute ischemic stroke. *Eur J Radiol Open* 2023; 11: 100513.
- Díaz-Pérez J, Parrilla G, Espinosa de Rueda M, et al. Mechanical thrombectomy in acute stroke due to carotid occlusion: a series of 153 consecutive patients. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2018; 46: 132-41.
- Dzierwa K, Capoccia L, Knapik M, et al. Saving the brain in carotid-related stroke: patient pathways, treatment strategies. *J Cardiovasc Surg [in press]* 2024.
- Linfante I, Llinas RH, Selim M, et al. Clinical and vascular outcome in internal carotid artery versus middle cerebral artery occlusions after intravenous tissue plasminogen activator. *Stroke* 2002; 33: 2066-71.
- Rubiera M, Ribo M, Delgado-Mederos R, et al. Tandem internal carotid artery/middle cerebral artery occlusion: an independent predictor of poor outcome after systemic thrombolysis. *Stroke* 2006; 37: 2301-5.
- Riegler C, von Rennenberg R, Bollweg K, et al. Endovascular therapy in patients with internal carotid artery occlusion and patent circle of willis. *J Neurointerv Surg* 2024; 16: 644-51.
- Ni H, Zhou C, Hang Y, et al. Endovascular treatment for acute ischaemic stroke caused by isolated internal carotid artery occlusion: treatment strategies, outcomes, and prognostic factors. *Clin Radiol* 2023; 78: 451-8.
- Powers WJ, Rabinstein AA, Ackerson T, et al. Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: 2019 update to the 2018 guidelines for the early management of acute ischemic stroke: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke* 2019; 50: e344-418.
- Koge J, Matsumoto S, Nakahara I, et al. Impact of thrombus migration on clinical outcomes in patients with internal carotid artery occlusions and patent middle cerebral artery. *J Neurol Sci* 2020; 412: 116737.
- Itabashi R, Saito T, Kawabata Y, et al. Impact of patency of the carotid terminus and middle cerebral artery on early clinical outcomes in patients with acute internal carotid artery occlusion and mild symptoms. *Cerebrovasc Dis Extra* 2023; 13: 1-8.
- Romoli M, Mosconi MG, Pierini P, et al. Reperfusion strategies in stroke due to isolated cervical internal carotid artery occlusion: systematic review and treatment comparison. *Neurol Sci* 2021; 42: 2301-8.
- Vellimana AK, Washington CW, Yarbrough CK, et al. Thrombolysis is an independent risk factor for poor outcome after carotid revascularization. *Neurosurgery* 2018; 83: 922-30.
- Goyal M, Menon BK, van Zwam WH, et al. Endovascular thrombectomy after large-vessel ischaemic stroke: a meta-analysis of individual patient data from five randomised trials. *Lancet* 2016; 387: 1723-31.
- Yeo LLL, Kong WY, Paliwal P, et al. Intravenous thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke due to cervical internal carotid artery occlusion. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2016; 25: 2423-9.
- Jadhav A, Panczykowski D, Jumaa M, et al. Angioplasty and stenting for symptomatic extracranial non-tandem internal carotid artery occlusion. *J Neurointerv Surg* 2018; 10: 1155-60.
- Diana F, Romoli M, Toccaceli G, et al. Emergent carotid stenting versus no stenting for acute ischemic stroke due to tandem occlusion: a meta-analysis. *J Neurointerv Surg* 2023; 15: 428-32.
- Sackett DL, Rosenberg WM, Gray JA, et al. Evidence based medicine: what it is and what it isn't. *BMJ* 1995; 312: 71-2.
- Mokin M, Kass-Hout T, Kass-Hout O, et al. Intravenous thrombolysis and endovascular therapy for acute ischemic stroke with internal carotid artery occlusion: a systematic review of clinical outcomes. *Stroke* 2012; 43: 2362-8.
- Farooqui M, Zaidat OO, Hassan AE, et al. Functional and safety outcomes of carotid artery stenting and mechanical thrombectomy for large vessel occlusion ischemic stroke with tandem lesions. *JAMA Netw Open* 2023; 6: e230736.
- Tekieli L, Dzierwa K, Grunwald IQ, et al. Outcomes in acute carotid-related stroke eligible for mechanical reperfusion: SAFE-GUARD-STROKE [Acute Stroke of Extracranial Carotid Origin Treated With Use of the MicronEt-Covered CGUARD Stent] Registry. *J Cardiovasc Surg* 2024; 65. [in press]
- Jovin TG, Gupta R, Uchino K, et al. Emergent stenting of extracranial internal carotid artery occlusion in acute stroke has a high revascularization rate. *Stroke* 2005; 36: 2426-30.
- Papanagiotou P, Haussen DC, Turjman F, et al. Carotid stenting with antithrombotic agents and intracranial thrombectomy leads to the highest recanalization rate in patients with acute stroke with tandem lesions. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2018; 11: 1290-9.
- Park SE, Choi DS, Baek HJ, et al. Emergent carotid artery stenting in patients with acute ischemic stroke due to cervical internal carotid artery steno-occlusive lesion: Comparison of tandem intracranial occlusion and isolated cervical internal carotid artery occlusion. *Interv Neuroradiol* 2020; 26: 425-32.
- Baig AA, Pmr L, Turner RC, et al. Hyperacute stenting and angioplasty for acute extracranial non-tandem internal carotid artery

- strokes within the first 48h: a 20-year experience and a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Interv Neuroradiol* [Online ahead of print]; 2023. doi: 10.1177/15910199231164510.
25. Ter Schiphorst A, Peres R, Dargazanli C, et al. Endovascular treatment of ischemic stroke due to isolated internal carotid artery occlusion: ETIS registry data analysis. *J Neurol* 2022; 269: 4383-95.
 26. Mizowaki T, Fujita A, Inoue S, et al. Outcome and effect of endovascular treatment in stroke associated with acute extracranial internal carotid artery occlusion: Single-center experience in Japan. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2020; 29: 104824.
 27. Zevallos CB, Farooqui M, Quispe-Orozco D, et al. Acute carotid artery stenting versus balloon angioplasty for tandem occlusions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2022; 11: e022335.
 28. Allard J, Delvoe F, Pop R, et al. 24-hour carotid stent patency and outcomes after endovascular therapy: a multicenter study. *Stroke* 2023; 54: 124-31.
 29. Renú A, Blasco J, Laredo C, et al. Carotid stent occlusion after emergent stenting in acute ischemic stroke: incidence, predictors and clinical relevance. *Atherosclerosis* 2020; 313: 8-13.
 30. Serruys PW, Di Mario C. Who was thrombogenic: the stent or the doctor? *Circulation* 1995; 91: 1891-3.
 31. Musialek P, Mazurek A, Trystula M, et al. Novel PARADIGM in carotid revascularisation: prospective evaluation of All-comer perCutaneous cArOTiD revascularisation in symptomatic and Increased-risk asymptomatic carotid artery stenosis using CGuard MicroNet-covered embolic prevention stent system. *EuroIntervention* 2016; 12: e658-70.
 32. Wissgott C, Schmidt W, Brandt-Wunderlich C, et al. Clinical results and mechanical properties of the carotid CGUARD double-layered embolic prevention stent. *J Endovasc Ther* 2017; 24: 130-7.
 33. Musialek P, Capoccia L, Alvarez CA, et al. Carotid artery endovascular reconstruction using micronet-covered stents in patients with symptoms or signs of cerebral ischemia (CGuard OPTIMA Trial Investigators; OPTimal sequestration of high-risk carotid lesions with effective lumen reconstruction using MicroNet-covered stents And the endovascular route, NCT04234854). TCT Featured Research <https://d18mqtxkrsjgmh.cloudfront.net/public/2022-09/a355a7ab-6d71-44de-8ecf-6712bd763300.pdf>
 34. Karpenko A, Bugurov S, Ignatenko P, et al. Randomized controlled trial of conventional versus MicroNet-covered stent in carotid artery revascularization. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2021; 14: 2377-87.
 35. Schofer J, Musialek P, Bijuklic K, et al. A prospective, multicenter study of a novel mesh-covered carotid stent: the CGuard CARENET Trial (Carotid Embolic Protection Using MicroNet). *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2015; 8: 1229-34.
 36. de Vries EE, Vonken EJ, Kappelle LJ, et al. Short-term double layer mesh stent patency for emergent or elective carotid artery stenting. *Stroke* 2019; 50: 1898-901.
 37. Klail T, Kurmann C, Kaesmacher J, et al. Safety and efficacy of carotid artery stenting with the CGuard double-layer stent in acute ischemic stroke. *Clin Neuroradiol* 2023; 33: 237-44.
 38. Rodriguez-Calienes A, Galecio-Castillo M, Farooqui M, et al. Safety outcomes of mechanical thrombectomy versus combined thrombectomy and intravenous thrombolysis in tandem lesions. *Stroke* 2023; 54: 2522-33.
 39. Ferrero E, Ferri M, Viazzo A, et al. A retrospective study on early carotid endarterectomy within 48 hours after transient ischemic attack and stroke in evolution. *Ann Vasc Surg* 2014; 28: 227-38.
 40. Amuluru K, Sahlein D, Al-Mufti F, et al. The dilator-dotter technique: a modified method of rapid internal carotid artery revascularization in acute ischemic stroke. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2020; 41: 1863-8.
 41. Tekieli L, Banaszkiwicz K, Moczulski Z, et al. Novel large-diameter controlled-expansion stentriever, embolic-prevention stent and flow reversal in large-thrombus-burden ICA proximal occlusion stroke. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2021; 14: e287-91.
 42. Nagy C, Héger J, Balogh G, et al. Endovascular recanalization of tandem internal carotid occlusions using the balloon-assisted tracking technique. *Clin Neuroradiol* 2022; 32: 375-84.
 43. Cheng Z, Rajah GB, Gao J, et al. Passing Extracranial Artery occlusion by intermediate Catheter with Expanding microballoon (PEACE): a novel endovascular therapy in acute tandem occlusion stroke. *J Endovasc Ther* 2022; 29: 790-7.
 44. Diana F, Abdalkader M, Behme D, et al. Antithrombotic regimen in emergent carotid stenting for acute ischemic stroke due to tandem occlusion: a meta-analysis of aggregate data. *J Neurointerv Surg* 2024; 16: 243-7.
 45. Marnat G, Finistis S, Moreno R, et al. Aspirin versus aggressive antiplatelet therapy for acute carotid stenting plus thrombectomy in tandem occlusions: ETIS Registry results. *J Neurointerv Surg* 2023; 15: e248-54.
 46. Pop R, Severac F, Hasiu A, et al. Conservative versus aggressive antiplatelet strategy for emergent carotid stenting during stroke thrombectomy. *Interv Neuroradiol* 2023; 29: 268-76.
 47. Jumaa MA, Rodriguez-Calienes A, Dawod G, et al. Low dose intravenous cangrelor versus glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors in endovascular treatment of tandem lesions. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2023; 32: 1074.
 48. Da Ros V, Scaggiante J, Sallustio F, et al. Carotid stenting and mechanical thrombectomy in patients with acute ischemic stroke and tandem occlusions: antithrombotic treatment and functional outcome. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2020; 41: 2088-93.
 49. Sheth SA, Saver JL, Starkman S, et al. Enrollment bias: frequency and impact on patient selection in endovascular stroke trials. *J Neurointerv Surg* 2016; 8: 353-9.
 50. Mazurek A, Partyka L, Trystula M, et al. Highly-calcific carotid lesions endovascular management in symptomatic and increased-stroke-risk asymptomatic patients using the CGuard dual-layer carotid stent system: Analysis from the PARADIGM study. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2019; 94: 149-56.
 51. Molina CA, Montaner J, Arenillas JF, et al. Differential pattern of tissue plasminogen activator-induced proximal middle cerebral artery recanalization among stroke subtypes. *Stroke* 2004; 35: 486-90.
 52. Spagnoli LG, Mauriello A, Sangiorgi G, et al. Extracranial thrombotically active carotid plaque as a risk factor for ischemic stroke. *JAMA* 2004; 292: 1845-52.
 53. Musialek P, Monteiro A, Siddiqui AH. Atrial fibrillation and stroke: more than a story of a villain and a victim. *Pol Arch Intern Med* 2022; 132: 16213.
 54. Kotsugi M, Takayama K, Myouchin K, et al. Carotid artery stenting: investigation of plaque protrusion incidence and prognosis. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2017; 10: 824-31.
 55. Okazaki T, Sakamoto S, Shinagawa K, et al. Detection of in-stent protrusion (ISP) by intravascular ultrasound during carotid stenting: usefulness of stent-in-stent placement for ISP. *Eur Radiol* 2019; 29: 77-84.

56. Zapata-Arriaza E, Medina-Rodriguez M, Ortega-Quintanilla J, et al. Relevance of carotid reocclusion in tandem lesions. *J Atheroscler Thromb* 2023; 30: 636-48.
57. Baig AA, Waqas M, Turner RC, et al. A propensity score-matched comparative study of balloon guide catheters versus conventional guide catheters for concurrent mechanical thrombectomy with carotid stenting in tandem strokes: comparison of first pass effect, symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage, and 90-day functional outcomes. *J Neurointerv Surg* 2024; 16: 124-30.
58. Zaidat OO, Mueller-Kronast NH, Hassan AE, et al. Impact of balloon guide catheter use on clinical and angiographic outcomes in the STRATIS stroke thrombectomy registry. *Stroke* 2019; 50: 697-704.
59. Trystula M, Van Herzele I, Kolvenbach R, et al. Next-generation transcarotid artery revascularization: TransCarotid fLOW Reversal Cerebral Protection And CGUARD MicroNET-Covered Embolic Prevention Stent System To Reduce Strokes – TOPGUARD Study. *J Cardiovasc Surg* 2024; 65 [in press]
60. Goyal M, Yoshimura S, Milot G, et al. Considerations for anti-platelet management of carotid stenting in the setting of mechanical thrombectomy: a Delphi Consensus Statement. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2020; 41: 2274-9.
61. Zhu F, Bracard S, Anxionnat R, et al. Impact of emergent cervical carotid stenting in tandem occlusion strokes treated by thrombectomy: a review of the TITAN Collaboration. *Front Neurol* 2019; 10: 206.
62. Mazurek A, Bugurov S, Musialek P. Regarding article “Short-term double layer mesh stent patency for emergent or elective carotid artery stenting: a single center experience”. *Stroke*. 2019; 50: e433-4.
63. Dzierwa K, Kedziora A, Tekieli L, et al. Endovascular carotid revascularization under open-chest extracorporeal circulation combined with cardiac surgery in unstable patients at increased risk of carotid-related stroke: SIMultaneous urgent cardiac surgery and MicroNet-covered stent carotid revascularization in extreme-risk patients-SIMGUARD Study. *J Cardiovasc Surg* 2023; 64: 591-607.
64. Wisgott C, Brandt-Wunderlich C, Kopetsch C, et al. Initial clinical results and in vitro testing of the new CGuard MicroNet-Covered “One-Size-Fits-All” Carotid Stent. *J Endovasc Ther* 2019; 26: 578-82.
65. Musialek P, Langhoff R, Stefanini M, Gray WA. Carotid stent as cerebral protector: the arrival of Godot. *J Cardiovasc Surg* 2023; 64: 555-60.
66. Lehmann MF, Musialek P. MicroNET-covered stent use to seal carotid artery perforation. *Adv Interv Cardiol* 2023; 19: 284-8.
67. Mazurek A, Malinowski K, Rosenfield K, et al. Clinical outcomes of second- versus first-generation carotid stents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Med* 2022; 11: 4819.
68. Musialek P. Proximal embolic protection with the Mo.Ma Ultra device: a “must know how” for competent carotid artery stenting. *Endovasc Today* 2024; 23: 30-2.
69. Musialek P, Mazurek A, Kolvenbach R, et al. 5-year clinical and ultrasound outcomes in CARENET prospective multicenter trial of CGuard MicroNET-covered carotid stent. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2022; 15: 1889-91.