Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Arpad Kovacs, University of Debrecen, Hungary

REVIEWED BY

Salvatore Annunziata, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Italy Matthew Pierre Deek, Johns Hopkins Medicine, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Linda Varga Imagalinda@gmail.com Zsuzsanna Besenyi Imagabesenyi.zsuzsanna@med.u-szeged.hu

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 15 February 2023 ACCEPTED 03 July 2023 PUBLISHED 10 August 2023

CITATION

Varga L, Besenyi Z, Paczona VR, Farkas I, Urbán S, Sipka G, Pávics L, Varga Z, Fodor E, Hideghéty K, Olah J, Bajory Z and Maráz A (2023) Prostate-specific membrane antigen-based imaging for stereotactic irradiation of low-volume progressive prostate cancer: a singlecenter experience. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1166665. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1166665

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Varga, Besenyi, Paczona, Farkas, Urbán, Sipka, Pávics, Varga, Fodor, Hideghéty, Olah, Bajory and Maráz. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Prostate-specific membrane antigen-based imaging for stereotactic irradiation of low-volume progressive prostate cancer: a single-center experience

Linda Varga^{1*†}, Zsuzsanna Besenyi^{2*†}, Viktor R. Paczona¹, István Farkas², Szabolcs Urbán², Gábor Sipka², László Pávics², Zoltan Varga¹, Emese Fodor¹, Katalin Hideghéty¹, Judit Olah¹, Zoltán Bajory³ and Anikó Maráz¹

¹Department of Oncotherapy, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary, ²Department of Nuclear Medicine, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary, ³Department of Urology, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary

Introduction: Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) is a transmembrane protein that may be expressed on the surface of prostate cancer (PC) cells. It enables a more sensitive and specific diagnosis PC, compared to conventional anatomical imaging.

Aim: The integration of PSMA-based imaging in the personalized radiotherapy of PC patients and the evaluation of its impact on target volume definition if stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) is planned for locally recurrent or oligometastatic disease.

Patients and methods: The data from 363 examinations were analyzed retrospectively. Inclusion criteria were histologically verified PC and clinical data suggesting local recurrence or distant metastasis. Whole-body ^{99m}Tc-PSMA-I&S single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT)/CT or ¹⁸F-JK-PSMA-7 positron emission tomography/computer tomography (PET/CT) was carried out, and the evaluation of the scans and biological tumor volume contouring was performed at the Department of Nuclear Medicine. The target volume delineation on topometric CT (TCT) scan was performed at the Department of the two volumes was performed by image fusion and registration.

Results: From 363 PSMA isotope-based examinations, 84 lesions of 64 patients were treated with SBRT. In 50 patients, 70 lesions were examined for intermodality comparison. The target volume defined by the PSMA density was significantly smaller than the tumor size defined by the TCT scan: GTV_{CT} (gross tumor volume on the TCT), 27.58 \pm 46.07 cm³; BTV_{PSMA} (biological target volume on the PSMA-based examination), 16.14 \pm 29.87 cm³. During geometrical analyses, the Dice similarity coefficient (DSC) was 0.56 \pm 0.20

(0.07–0.85). Prostate-specific antigen (PSA) control was performed to evaluate the response: mean pre-radiotherapy (pre-RT) PSA was 16.98 ng/ml (\pm SD: 33.81), and post-RT PSA at 3 months after SBRT was 11.19 ng/ml (\pm SD: 32.85). Three-month post-therapy PSMA-based imaging was performed in 14 cases, in which we observed a decrease or cessation of isotope uptake. Conventional imaging control was performed in 42 cases (65.6% of all cases): 22 (52.4%) complete remissions, 14 (33.3%) partial remissions, four (9.5%) stable diseases, and two (4.8%) progressive diseases were described.

Conclusion: PSMA-based imaging is a promising diagnostic method for specifying the stage and detecting the low-volume progression. Our results suggest that PSMA-based hybrid imaging can influence treatment decisions and target volume delineation for SBRT.

KEYWORDS

recurrent/oligometastatic prostate cancer, radiation therapy, stereotactic irradiation, PSMA-PET/CT, PSMA-SPECT/CT

Introduction

Prostate cancer is the second most common malignancy among men worldwide (1). Its treatment has vastly improved due to adjuvant androgen deprivation treatment and the increased efficacy of radiotherapy (RT) (2). Recently, as a consequence of the new isotopic modalities, the accuracy of diagnosis and treatment has continued to improve.

An increasing number of studies prove that prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA)-based positron emission tomography/ computer tomography (PET/CT) provides excellent accuracy and can be a suitable replacement for conventional imaging methods (CT and bone scintigraphy) in primary staging, as well as in restaging of patients with biochemical recurrence. PSMA-based molecular imaging is emerging as the most promising tool in this field (3).

There is growing interest in using PSMA-based imaging in gross target volume delineation for radiation therapy planning since molecular imaging enables the delineation of the biologically active tumor—based on increased PSMA expression on specific information—essential for effective treatment. It also allows the potential identification of small lymph nodes and small bone or hidden soft tissue metastases, missed by conventional CT and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Nevertheless, PSMA PET/CT is still under evaluation for the RT target definition (4).

Although PSMA-PET tracers have extensively been investigated and an increasing number of studies prove the efficacy of PSMA-PET, fewer data exist on investigations with PSMA-single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) radiopharmaceuticals, but several studies have demonstrated that ^{99m}Tc-PSMA-SPECT/CT could be a reasonable and cost-effective alternative to PSMA-PET/CT (5–8).

The basic principles of PSMA imaging are the same. The same target molecule (PSMA) is labeled with ^{99m}Tc for SPECT and with positron-emitting isotopes (⁶⁸Ga and ¹⁸F) for PET imaging. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study

to investigate the role of ^{99m}Tc-PSMA SPECT/CT for radiotherapy planning.

In an earlier pilot study, we analyzed data from 19 patients. In 52.6% (10 cases), the PSMA-SPECT/CT revealed a more advanced disease than conventional imaging modalities, and in 15.8% (three cases), osseal and/or lymphatic metastases suggested on CT examination could be excluded. The target volume was unaffected by the PSMA-SPECT/CT findings in only 31.6% (six cases). Definitive radiotherapy was carried out in 15 cases (78.9%). In four cases (21.1%), we had to opt for systemic treatment due to disseminated disease. If only conventional imaging techniques were used to define the target volume, the volume would be on average 2.2 times larger (1.3–4.6) than the one based on PSMA-SPECT/CT (9).

In the last 5 years, the number of prostate cancer patients treated at our Institute is steadily increasing. In 2021 and 2022, 210 and 201 new subjects with histologically verified prostate adenocarcinoma were taken into care, respectively.

Aim

Our aim was the integration of PSMA-based imaging in the personalized process of radiation therapy of prostate cancer patients and the evaluation of its impact on target volume definition, especially for stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) in the case of postoperative local recurrence and oligometastatic prostate tumors.

The study was approved by the Regional Committee for Human Medical Research Council (229/2017-SZTE).

Materials

PSMA-based examinations were carried out between 14 November 2017 and 4 October 2022, from which patients who received definitive radiotherapy at the Department of Oncotherapy, University of Szeged, were selected for our present study. PSMA-positive lesions were irradiated using a stereotaxic technique, the delivered dose was prescribed according to international guidelines, and the dose per fraction was always higher than 5 Gy (10).

Inclusion criteria were histologically verified prostatic adenocarcinoma and clinical data (prostate-specific antigen (PSA) level and conventional imaging) suggesting local recurrence after radical prostatectomy (RP) or distant metastasis. If the clinical data, such as PSA level and conventional imaging findings (chestabdomen-pelvis CT scan, bone scintigraphy) suggested that residual, recurrent, or metastatic tumor tissue might be present, PSMA-based nuclear imaging was carried out. The findings were later used in the target volume definition.

Patients with multiple metastases and cases of palliative radiotherapy were excluded from this study. Those who were not suitable for stereotaxic radiotherapy (performance status) or did not accept the treatment were also left out.

Methods

Imaging

In 2017, we started our work with SPECT-CT, and in 2022, we switched to PET/CT.

PSMA PET/CT

The PET/CT scans were performed on a GE Discovery IQ Gen 2 PET/CT System (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA), and the acquisitions were performed 90 min post-injection of 3.7 MBq/kg of intravenous [¹⁸F]fluoro-JK-PSMA ((2S)-2-({{(1S)-1-carboxy-5-[(6-[¹⁸F]fluoro-2-methoxypyridin-3-yl)formamido]pentyl}carbamoil} amino)pentanedioicacid). The PET scan was performed in three-dimensional (3D) modes for 2.5 min per bed position, the field of view was 20 cm with 30% overlap, and the data collection was completed by plain low-dose CT (120 kV and 70 mA·s) mapping). The routine whole-body mapping was performed extending from the skull base to the upper third of the thighs.

PSMA SPECT/CT

Mean activity of 668 ± 95 MBq ^{99m}Tc-mas3-y-nal-k(Sub-KuE) (Institute of Isotopes Co. Ltd., Budapest, Hungary) was administered intravenously. Prior to imaging, patients were given oral contrast material (1,000 ml of polyethylene glycol solution) to drink continuously, starting 60 min before the examination. Scans were performed on an integrated whole-body SPECT/CT system (Mediso AnyscanTRIO, Mediso Medical Imaging Systems Ltd., Budapest, Hungary). The whole-body SPECT imaging was carried out 6 h after the administration of the radiopharmaceutical (360°; 96 projections, 10 s/frame, matrix 128 × 128, reconstructed pixel size 4.22 mm). SPECT data collection was completed by low-dose CT (120 kV and 70 mA-s) acquisition (Figures 1, 2).

Treatment planning CT

During patient preparation, the target region was positioned and immobilized with All-in-One (AIO) Solution (ORFIT, Wijnegem, Belgium), with individual immobilization system and six-point thermoplastic mask fixation (Pelvicast system, ORFIT, Wijnegem, Belgium) depending on the target area. Treatment planning CT (topometric CT (TCT)) was carried out according to institution protocols and was performed on a Somatom Emotion 6 CT simulator (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) with CT slices being acquired every 3 mm. Target volumes and organs at risk were delineated after image fusion in the ARIA Oncology Information System (Varian Oncology Systems, Palo Alto, CA, USA) with the review of an experienced radiologist in all cases, based on the recommendations of RTOG GU Radiation Oncology Specialists Reach Consensus (11). For treatmentdesign, the Eclipse planning system was used (Varian Oncology Systems), and the isocentric intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) technique was carried out with inverse planning according to the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) recommendations. During therapy (image-guided radiotherapy (IGRT)), online and offline monitoring and data recording were performed by cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT).

Whole-body ^{99m}Tc-PSMA SPECT/CT (N = 51) or ¹⁸F-JK-PSMA-7 PET/CT (N = 14) was completed in some cases by a multiparametric MRI of the lesser pelvis for validation purposes. The evaluation of the scans and metabolic tumor volume contouring was performed at the Department of Nuclear Medicine, University of Szeged, whereas target volume delineation on the planning CT scan was performed at the Department of Oncotherapy, taking into account the PSMA-based imaging. Then, the comparison of the two volumes was performed by image fusion (Figure 3).

The PSMA isotope-based examination and the topometric CT were performed in different laying positions. While the TCT is on a straight table, the isotopic examination is on a concave one, and during the fusion of the two images, differences in size and geometry may occur. Information provided by conventional imaging was used to plan the radiation treatment, but PSMA isotope imaging was also taken into account.

Visual analysis of PSMA imaging

The reconstructed SPECT/CT and PET/CT images were interpreted based on the reporting guidelines (12) by consensus reading of two experienced nuclear medicine and radiology specialists.

Quantitative analysis

Tumor volume was delineated in each patient with both modalities, and gross tumor volume selection for treatment planning was also manually performed on the conventional CT-based topometric slides (GTV_{CT}) by a skilled radiation oncologist. PSMA-based biological target volume (BTV_{PSMA}) on PSMA-PET-CT or SPECT/CT registered images was delineated by skilled nuclear medicine and radiology specialist. GTV_{CT} and BTV_{PSMA} were



FIGURE 1

An 81-year-old patient with PSMA-positive enlarged pelvic lymph node (PSA 6.20 ng/ml; Gleason: 3 + 4 = 7). Topometric CT (axial slice **(A)**) fused and registered with ¹⁸F-PSMA-PET images (axial **(B)** and coronal slices **(D)**). Smoothed 3D polygon model of the GTV_{CT} (green outlines) and BTV_{PSMA} (red outlines) **(C)**. PSMA, prostate-specific membrane antigen; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; GTV_{CT}, gross tumor volume on the topometric CT; BTV_{PSMA}, biological target volume on the PSMA-based examination.



FIGURE 2

A 74-year-old patient with PSMA-positive lytic vertebral metastasis (PSA 90.68 ng/ml; Gleason: 3 + 4 = 7). Topometric CT (axial **(A)** and sagittal **(D)** slices) fused and registered with ^{99m}Tc-PSMA-SPECT images (axial slice **(B)**). Smoothed 3D polygon model of the GTV_{CT} (green outlines) and BTV_{PSMA} (red outlines) **(C)**. PSMA, prostate-specific membrane antigen; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; SPECT, single-photon emission computed tomography; GTV_{CT}, gross tumor volume on the topometric CT; BTV_{PSMA}, biological target volume on the PSMA-based examination.



FIGURE 3

Workflow of usage of PSMA SPECT/PET/CT for GTV definition for SBRT. PSMA, prostate-specific membrane antigen; SPECT, single-photon emission computed tomography; GTV, gross tumor volume; SBRT, stereotactic body radiotherapy.

delineated independently. $\mathrm{GTV}_{\mathrm{CT}}$ contouring on the radiation planning CT was performed according to the guidelines of the Department of Oncotherapy based on RTOG criteria (9). Available images of former contrast CT or MRI scans were reviewed as well. Tumor volumes were determined in units of cm³. BTV_{PSMA} contouring was performed manually on the axial slides of ¹⁸F-PSMA PET or ^{99m}Tc PSMA SPECT images, and the contours were finalized with the help of registered CT part of SPECT or PET images taking patient anatomy into consideration. Biological volume was also determined in cm³.

In each case, in each tumor target, the $\mathrm{BTV}_{\mathrm{PSMA}}$ was compared to the gross tumor volume (GTV) provided by the conventional topometric CT scan, and their difference was described both in cm³ and in percentage.

For the topometric comparison of each tumor volume of interest (VOI), the Dice similarity coefficient (DSC) was calculated to measure the spatial overlap between the two segmentations. In the case of full overlap, the DSC is 1, whereas in full diversity, the DSC is 0 (13).

The total patient population was divided into subgroups based on applied imaging modality PSMA-PET/CT or PSMA-SPECT/CT. The aforementioned volumetric and geometrical comparisons were analyzed in both subgroups.

Follow-up

PSA control, conventional imaging (CT, MR, and bone scintigraphy), and, in some cases, PSMA isotope-based examination were performed to determine the effectiveness of the treatment (complete remission (CR), partial remission (PR), stable disease (SD), and progressive disease (PD)).

Results

Patient characteristics

From 363 PSMA isotope-based examinations, 84 lesions of 64 patients were treated with stereotaxic radiation. A total of 14 exclusions occurred due to geometric distortions during image registration, mainly caused by patient motion, positioning uncertainties, and significant internal organ motions. The mean age of the patients was 66.6 (range = 55.6-79.7 years, \pm SD = 6.5) years. Most of the patients being overweight the mean BMI (body mass index) was 26.96 (range=19.37-41.62 kg/m²) kg/m². The average Gleason score was 7.9 (range = 6-10, \pm SD = 1.2), and the exact breakdown of the Gleason values can be seen in Table 1. All patients received androgen deprivation therapy (Table 1). Furthermore, androgen receptor and biosynthesis inhibitor therapy (abiraterone and enzalutamide) and, in case of massive progression, docetaxel chemotherapy have been utilized. However, a detailed review of the systemic drug therapies and oncological outcomes was not in the scope of our paper.

Intermodality comparison of delineated target volumes

In 50 patients, 70 lesions were examined for intermodality comparison. Of the 64 irradiated patients, 14 patients were excluded from the rigorous comparative analysis because of registration bias (patient movement, different patient position, different bladder, and intestinal status).

Tumor volumes defined by the two different imaging modalities were non-identical in 100% of the cases, decreased in size in 53 lesions (76%) of the cases, and increased in 17 lesions (24%) when the results acquired by the PSMA scan were compared to the results defined by topometric CT scan. In three out of 70 lesions, the difference in the percentage of volumes was lower than 10%. The difference in the percentage of volumes was higher than 10% in 67 out of 70 total lesions (96% of all detected lesions).

The target volume defined by the PSMA density was significantly smaller (paired t-test, p < 0.0001) than the tumor size defined by the topometric CT scan: GTV_{CT} , 27.58 ± 46.07 cm³ (0.44–258.2 cm³); BTV_{PSMA}, $16.14 \pm 29.87 \text{ cm}^3$ (0.38–190.85 cm³) (Table 2).

Intermodality difference (independent of which modality was higher or lower) was $15.04 \pm 23.20 \text{ cm}^3$ (0.03–126.14 cm³), which describes a difference of 65.37% ± 72.70% (1.36-545.31%). During geometrical analyses, DSC was 0.56 ± 0.20 (0.07-0.85). The results of volumetric and geometrical comparisons of Group A $(BTV_{PSMA} > GTV_{CT})$ and Group B $(BTV_{PSMA} \leq GTV_{CT})$ are presented (Figures 4, 5).

TABLE 1 Patient characteristics.

N = 64 patients		
Mean age (years)	66.6 (55.6–79.7)	
BMI (kg/m ²)	26.96 (19.37-41.62)	
Mean Gleason score	7.9 (6-10)	
Gleason score breakdown	5 + 5	5
	5 + 4	21
	4 + 4	11
	4 + 3	9
	3 + 4	12
	3 + 3	6
Androgen deprivation therapy	64 (100%)	
Irradiated lesion number	84	
Pre-RT mean PSA (ng/ml, ± SD)	16.98 (± 33.81)	
Pre-RT PSA duplication time (months, ± SD)	11.22 (± 38.39)	

BMI, body mass index; RT, radiation therapy; PSA, prostate-specific antigen.

TABLE 2 Volume difference between GTV_{CT}-BTV_{PSMA} and comparisons of subgroups.

	N	GTV _{CT} (cm ³)	р	BTV _{PSMA} (cm ³)	р	Volume difference (cm ³)	р	Difference in percentage (%)	р
Volume difference between GTV _{CT} and BTV _{PSMA}									
All lesions	70	27.58 ± 46.07	-	16.14 ± 29.87	-	$15.04 \pm 23.20 \text{ cm}^3$	-	65.37% ± 72.70%	-
Comparison of subgroups defined by differences found between BTV _{PSMA} and GTV _{CT}									
Group A BTV _{PSMA} > GTV _{CT}	17	8.07 ± 11.20	- 0.001	15.49 ± 16.03	0.018	7.41 ± 7.02	0.012	128.88 ± 122.66	0.013
Group B BTV _{PSMA} ≤ GTV _{CT}	53	33.84 ± 51.13		16.35 ± 33.24	0.918	17.49 ± 25.96	0.013	45.00 ± 25.34	
Comparison of subgroups defined by imaging modality, differences found between BTV_{PSMA} and GTV_{CT}									
Group C PSMA-SPECT/CT	56	32.03 ± 50.48	0.002 0.54 ± 32.76 0.54 ± 8.49	18.54 ± 32.76	0 1 9 1	17.40. ± 25.30	0.002	67.73 ± 79.56	0 501
Group D PSMA-PET/CT	14	9.77 ± 7.59		5.61 ± 5.48	5.61 ± 5.48	0.002	55.93 ± 33.90	0.391	

Group A represents patients with larger BTV_{PSMA} than GTV_{CT} . Group B represents patients with BTV_{PSMA} smaller than GTV_{CT} . Group C represents patients examined by PSMA-SPECT/CT. Group D represents patients examined by PSMA-PET/CT. Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation.

 GTV_{CT} , gross tumor volume on the topometric CT; BTV_{PSMA} , biological target volume on the PSMA isotope-based examination; PSMA, prostate-specific membrane antigen; SPECT, single-photon emission computed tomography.

Similar comparison data of patients acquired by SPECT/CT and PET/CT are seen in Tables 2, 3.

Radiation treatment

Stereotactic treatment was performed for 84 lesions. In eight cases, local recurrence was treated; in four cases, it could only be detected by PSMA-based imaging.

In 76 lesions, metastasis-directed radiotherapy was carried out: 46 osseal, 28 lymph nodes, one adrenal gland, and one cerebral metastasis were treated. The latter was carried out in a postoperative setting.

The average gross tumor volume (GTV_{CT}) was 27.58 \pm 46.07 cm^3 (0.44–258.2 $cm^3).$

The prescribed doses were defined in an individualized manner, taking into consideration the localization of the tumor and eventual prior irradiation (Table 4).



Follow-up

In order to evaluate the effectiveness of the treatment, PSA control was performed in every case; the mean post-RT PSA level at 3 months after SBRT was 11.19 ng/ml (± SD: 32.85).

Three-month post-therapy PSMA-based imaging was performed in 14 cases (21.9% of the total) after radiation treatment, in which we observed a decrease or cessation of isotope uptake. Conventional imaging control was performed in 42 cases (65.6%) showing the following distribution: 22 (52.4%) CR, 14 (33.3%) PR, 4 (9.5%) SD, and 2 (4.8%) PD (Figure 6).

Discussion

Biological and functional imaging approaches offer a major step to individualize radiotherapeutic treatment. The role of ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-PET for gross tumor volume identification is crucial, representing a useful and powerful tool for many tumor types, for example, pancreatic, gynecological, anal, and rectal cancers (4).

Metastasis-directed treatment (MDT) using SBRT is a new approach in the therapeutic armamentarium of oligometastatic prostate cancer, hormone-sensitive and castrate-resistant alike (14–16). The most common metastases treated with the stereotactic technique are bone and nodal lesions, but rare visceral manifestations may also be eligible for SBRT (16).

In recent years, molecular imaging techniques exerted a strong influence on the management of prostate cancer (17). These

methods play a crucial role in the early diagnosis of local recurrence as well as of distant spread and can also be used in radiation therapy planning. In our article, we have exclusively focused on the latter aspect of radionuclide imaging.

Multiple radioactive tracers have been tested in prostate cancer, starting with FDG, the backbone of PET/CT imaging. Despite being the most widespread mean of tumor tracking, FDG has seen limited indications in this localization, most importantly the initial assessment of highly malignant tumors (Gleason score 7 or above) as well as the treatment response evaluation of patients with castrate-resistant metastatic disease (18).

The fine differences between SPECT and PET scans are well charted. While the spatial resolution of PET scans is somewhat higher, the functional sensibility of both methods remains high. However, for target volume definition and SBRT planning, the morphological information provided by the CT component of both SPECT/CT and PET/CT is taken into account. Therefore, the differences between the two modalities do not influence our results significantly.

In our present work, a significant number of PSMA isotope-based tests were performed on prostate carcinoma patients, of which approximately 20% were used for stereotaxic radiation treatment for postoperative local recurrence and oligometastasis. The characteristics of our patients correspond to the literature data (1). According to international guidelines, the use of androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) at advanced stages gives a survival advantage (19). In case of local recurrence, according to the recommendations of the Advanced Prostate Cancer Society, ADT is necessary (20), while in metastatic cases, continuous ADT is recommended (19).



FIGURE 5

(A, B) Significant differences are shown in Group A and Group B between GTV_{CT} and BTV_{PSMA} . Group A represents patients with larger BTV_{PSMA} than GTV_{CT} . Group B represents patients with BTV_{PSMA} was smaller than GTV_{CT} . (C, D) Modality-based subgroups showed significant differences between PSMA density-based and CT-based target volumes. Group C represents patients examined by PSMA-SPECT/CT. Group D represents patients examined by PSMA-PET/CT. GTV_{CT}, gross tumor volume on the topometric CT; BTV_{PSMA} , biological target volume on the PSMA-based examination; PSMA, prostate-specific membrane antigen.

TABLE 3 Geometry analysis, Dice similarity coefficient (DSC).

Group	N	DSC	р		
All lesions	70	0.56 ± 0.20	-		
Subgroups defined by target volume					
Group A BTV _{PSMA} > GTV_{CT}	17	0.55 ± 0.16			
Group B BTV _{PSMA} \leq GTV _{CT}	53	0.56± 0.21	0.775		
Subgroups defined by imaging modality					
Group C PSMA-SPECT/CT	56	0.55 ± 0.20	0.701		
Group D PSMA-PET/CT	14	0.57 ± 0.20	0.791		

 GTV_{CT} , gross tumor volume on the topometric CT; BTV_{PSMA} , biological target volume on the PSMA isotope-based examination; PSMA, prostate-specific membrane antigen; SPECT, single-photon emission computed tomography.

In case of biochemical relapse after radical prostatectomy RP, which occurs in up to 50%, salvage RT of the prostate bed is performed to achieve long-term disease control depending on the stage and adverse factors (19). However, with a PSMA isotope-based test, isotope accumulation can be detected in a negative (with

conventional imaging) tumor bed (21). In our present work, local recurrence was treated with SBRT in eight cases.

In a retrospective multicentric study, Kirste et al. examined the role of ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-PET/CT-based elective radiotherapy, evaluating the data of 394 patients with oligo-recurrent ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-PET/CT-

10.3389/f	onc.2023	.1166665
-----------	----------	----------

Radiation therapy localization	Case	Doses (Gy)
Local recurrence	8	$5 \times 5.5 - 6 \times 6$
Bone	46	
- Vertebra	24	$3 \times 7 - 4 \times 5 - 5 \times 6$
- Pelvic bone	12	$1 \times 10-5 \times 6-6 \times 7.5$
- Rib	7	$4 \times 5 - 5 \times 6 - 6 \times 7.5$
- Sternum	2	3 × 6-3 × 9
- Clavicle	1	3 × 8
Lymph node	28	$3 \times 8 5 \times 7.25 5 \times 5.5$
Adrenal gland	1	5 × 6
Brain	1	3 × 9

TABLE 4 Details of radiation treatment.

positive prostate cancer. The combination of the two methods improves the outcome of oligo-recurrent prostate cancer, but there is great heterogeneity in terms of doses, treatment areas, and radiation techniques (22).

More than 50% of the metastases treated with the stereotactic radiation technique were located in the bone and approximately 40% in the lymph node, which correspond to the international distribution in the literature (19).

Although much literature data are available on SBRT for prostate cancer (PC) bone metastases (23), there are fewer clinical studies on SBRT for local recurrences and rare metastases. A systematic review carried out by Schröder et al. suggests that SBRT to the prostate bed and macroscopic recurrence remains at the experimental stage and should be utilized in distinguished cases (24). According to literature data, the treatment of adrenal metastases with stereotactic body RT provides good local control with tolerable toxicity in the case of several cancer types, including PC (25).

In one case, an asymptomatic, bifocal brain metastasis was detected by PSMA PET/CT, as a rare entity in the background of PSA progression, after metastasectomy of one of the foci histological diagnosis of prostate adenocarcinoma was proven, which is a rarity in the literature (26).

In our work, we found that GTV_{CT} contoured on the basis of conventional imaging (CT, MRI, and bone scintigraphy) appears to be larger than BTV_{PSMA} detected on the basis of PSMA isotopic examination. A close correlation between GTV_{CT} and BTV_{PSMA} was observed in 3/4 of the patients; however, in 15 patients, the volume of GTV_{CT} was significantly larger than the volume of BTV_{PSMA} . In these cases, lymph node chains (three patients) or multiple vertebral metastases (12 patients) were treated. According to our current knowledge, the target volume of radiotherapy is based on conventional imaging, where radiologically enlarged lymph nodes with abnormal structure and pathological bone structure deviations need to be treated regardless of the degree of isotope accumulation.



FIGURE 6

Pre- and post-RT PSMA SPECT/CT imaging. RT, radiotherapy; PSMA, prostate-specific membrane antigen; SPECT, single-photon emission computed tomography.

No correlation was detected regarding the pre-RT PSA value and the pre-RT PSA duplication time and GTV_{CT} or BTV_{PSMA} . Apart from increased precision, thanks to the high sensitivity of the method, recurrent disease can be detected even at very low PSA values (27), granting valuable time for treatment planning and/or inter-disciplinary consultations, which is supported by our current findings.

In a randomized two-arm clinical trial (28), 165 patients were selected for salvage radiotherapy after radical prostatectomy. In one treatment arm, only conventional imaging methods were utilized for target volume delineation, while in the other arm, additional information provided by ¹⁸F-fluciclovine-PET/CT was also taken into account. In 3-year event-free survival, a significant difference was observed between the two arms in favor of the latter (63% vs. 75.5%).

A retrospective study (29) demonstrated that radiotherapy plans had to be modified by an average of 60.5% due to PSMA-PET/CT findings. However, these data are to be treated with caution since the total number of patients enrolled in the trial was low (43), and the indications for irradiations were heterogeneous (curative, salvage, and metastasis treatment).

In our country, a PSMA isotope-based test can be performed in case of stage III and IV prostate cancer with an individual permit provided by the national social security.

Nowadays, PSMA PET/CT is an accepted tool in prostate cancer patient management and has become a substantial part of the imaging of PC. In some guidelines, it is the preferred method for lesion detection in biochemical relapse after primary treatment and is mandatory prior to PSMA-directed radionuclide therapy. The role of PSMA SPECT/CT is under evaluation, but SPECT/CT scan with ^{99m}Tc-PSMA is also gaining acceptance to detect prostate cancer metastases. Some comparative analyses between ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA and 99mTc-PSMA have been reported. Albalooshi et al. aimed to directly compare these two techniques in patients with prostate cancer. In the 28 investigated patients, they found that in M staging, ^{99m}Tc-PSMA SPECT/CT is as accurate as ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA PET/CT, and the detection rate was not significantly different between the two techniques in patients with PSA levels >2.1 ng/ml. However, PSMA PET/CT detected more lesions (30). Fallahi et al. in patient-based evaluation showed absolute correlation between 99mTc-PSMA SPECT/CT and ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA PET/CT in 22 patients involved with metastatic lesions or highly suspicious places for the presence of metastasis (31). It is reasonable to consider using a 99mTc-PSMA tracer instead of PETbased PSMA ligands. 99mTc-PSMA can effectively detect metastatic lesions in prostate cancer patients with a lower financial burden and radiation exposure (32, 33).

In our recent study, the biological target volume (BTV), based on PSMA density, proved to be consequently smaller due to its functional nature, independently of whether PSMA SPECT/CT or PET/CT was performed and what the size of the lesion was. In our analysis three times more PSMA SPECT/CT could be analyzed; therefore, relevant data could be evaluated on the integration of PSMA SPECT/CT in the definition of SBRT target volume of low-volume progressing prostate cancer.

Both modalities seemed to be effective in metastatic lesion detection and target delineation; however, currently, the use of PSMA isotope-based tests is not a routine procedure in determining the radiation treatment volume. The strength of our study is the processing of the PSMA examination of a large number of patients and the PSMA-based stereotactic radiotherapy based on the evaluation of real data. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the role of ^{99m}Tc-PSMA SPECT/CT for radiotherapy planning.

A limitation of our work is that it is retrospective. The PSMA isotope-based test and the topometric CT were performed at different times and in some cases in different positions.

Clinically, we can recommend that conventional and isotopic imaging should be evaluated together, and based on these, the accurate target volume should be determined.

Conclusions

PSMA-based imaging is a promising diagnostic method for evaluating prostate cancer. PSMA density-based functional imaging proved to be highly sensitive in detecting small lesions behind increasing PSA, which can be treated by SBRT. Its application, with the close cooperation of allied professions, facilitates the precise definition and delineation of SBRT target areas. Our results suggest that PSMA-PET or SPECT/CT can influence treatment decisions. By using conventional imaging devices (in combination with functional imaging), topometric CT (GTV_{CT}), and PSMA isotopic imaging (BTV_{PSMA}), more accurate target volume delineation is possible for SBRT.

In relation to the overlapping, we can assume that there is a difference in volume and geometry, and it would be advisable to use the same positioning-immobilization system.

The PSMA isotope-based tests enable a more accurate SBRT, but based on our current knowledge, the information provided by CT is also clinically necessary.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Author contributions

LV, ZsB, and AM contributed to conception and design of the study. LV, ZsB, IF, SU, and GS organized the database. ZV performed the statistical analysis. All authors wrote sections of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their sincere gratitude to the Institute of Isotopes Co. Ltd. for the scientific cooperation and valuable advice as well as comments.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* (2021) 71:209–249. doi: 10.3322/caac.21660

2. Juloori A, Shah C, Stephans K, Vassil A. Tendulkar R Evolving paradigm of radiotherapy for high-risk prostate cancer: current consensus and continuing controversies. *Prostate Cancer* (2016) 2016:2420786. doi: 10.1155/2016/2420786

3. Tsechelidis I, Vrachimis A. PSMA PET in imaging prostate cancer. Front Oncol (2022) 12:831429. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.831429

4. Fiorentino A, Laudicella R, Ciurlia E, Annunziata S, Lancellotta V, Mapelli P, et al. Positron emission tomography with computed tomography imaging (PET/CT) for the radiotherapy planning definition of the biological target volume: PART 2. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* (2019) 139:117–24. doi: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2019.03.008

5. Werner P, Neumann C, Eiber M, Wester HJ, Schottelius M. [99cmTc]Tc-PSMA-I&S-SPECT/CT: experience in prostate cancer imaging in an outpatient center. *EJNMMI Res* (2020) 10:45. doi: 10.1186/s13550-020-00635-z

6. Schmidkonz C, Cordes M, Beck M, Goetz TI, Schmidt D, Prante O, et al. SPECT/ CT with the PSMA ligand 99mTc-MIP-1404 for whole-body primary staging of patients with prostate cancer. *Clin Nucl Med* [Internet] (2018) 43:225-31. doi: 10.1097/RLU.00000000001991

 Su H-C, Zhu Y, Ling G-W, Hu S-L, Xu X-P, Dai B, et al. Evaluation of 99mTc-labeled PSMA-SPECT/CT imaging in prostate cancer patients who have undergone biochemical relapse. Asian J Androl [Internet] (2017) 19:267–71. doi: 10.4103/1008-682X.192638

8. Farkas I, Besenyi Z, Maráz A, et al. Kezdeti tapasztalatok a 99mTc-PSMA-SPECT/CT-vel prosztatarákos betegekben [Initial experiences with 99mTc-PSMA-SPECT/CT in patients with prostate cancer]. *Orv Hetil* (2018) 159(35):1433–40. doi: 10.1556/650.2018.31128

9. Varga L, Besenyi ZS, Farkas I, Pávics L, Cserháti A, Végváry Z, et al. Kezdeti tapasztalataink prosztatarákos betegek 99mTc-PSMA-SPECT/CT alapú definitív besugárzásával. Orv Hetil (HUN) (2018) 159(35):1433-40. doi: 10.1556/650.2018.31128

 Tipton KN, Sullivan N, Bruening W, Inamdar R, Launders J, Uhl S, et al. Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy. Rockville (MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (2011). Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/ NBK55717/.

11. Poortmans P, Bossi A, Vandeputte K, Bosset M, Miralbell R, Maingon P, et al. Guidelines for target volume definition in post-operative radiotherapy for prostate cancer, on behalf of the EORTC Radiation Oncology Group. *Radiother Oncol* (2007) 84 (2):121–7. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2007.07.017

12. Fendler WP, Eiber M, Beheshti M, Bomanji J, Ceci F, Cho S, et al. ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA PET/ CT: Joint EANM and SNMMI procedure guideline for prostate cancer imaging: version 1. 0 *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2017) 44(6):1014–24. doi: 10.1007/s00259-017-3670-z

13. Müller D, Soto-Rey I, Kramer F. Towards a guideline for evaluation metrics in medical image segmentation. *BMC Res Notes* (2022) 15(1):210. doi: 10.1186/s13104-022-06096-y

14. Phillips RM, Deek MP, Deweese TL, Tran PT. Metastasis-directed therapy in prostate cancer. Why, when, and how? *Oncology* (2019) 33(10):686509.

15. Triggiani L, Mazzola R, Magrini SM, Ingrosso G, Borghetti P, Trippa F, et al. Metastasisdirected stereotactic radiotherapy for oligoprogressive castration-resistant prostate cancer: a multicenter study. *World J Urol* (2019) 37(12):2631–7. doi: 10.1007/s00345-019-02717-7

16. Connor MJ, Smith A, Miah S, Shah TT, Winkler M, Khoo V, et al. Targeting oligometastasis with stereotactic ablative radiation therapy or surgery in metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer: A systematic review of prospective clinical trials. *Eur Urol Oncol* (2020) 3(5):582–93. doi: 10.1016/j.euo.2020.07.004

17. Choyke PL, Bouchelouche K. Prostate specific membrane antigen (PSMA) imaging: the past is prologue. *Trans Androl Urol* (2017) 8(4):283–5. doi: 10.21037/tau.2019.07.20

18. JadvarH. Is there utility for FDG PET in prosate cancer? *Semin Nucl Med* (2016) 46(6):502-6. doi: 10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2016.07.004

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

19. Mottet N, Cornford P, van den Bergh RCN, et al. *EAU - EANM - ESTRO - ESUR - ISUP - SIOG Guidelines on Prostate Cancer - European Association of Urology* (2022). Available at: https://d56bochluxqnz.cloudfront.net/documents/full-guideline/EAU-EANM-ESTRO-ESUR-ISUP_SIOG-Guidelines-on-Prostate-Cancer-2022_2022-04-25-063938_yfos.pdf.

20. Gillessen S, Bossi A, Davis ID, de Bono J, Fizazi K, James ND, et al. Management of patients with advanced prostate cancer. Part I: intermediate-/high-risk and locally advanced disease, biochemical relapse, and side effects of hormonal treatment: report of the advanced prostate cancer consensus conference 2022. *Eur Urol* (2023) 83(3):267–93. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2022.11.002

21. Tilki D, Mandel P, Schlomm T, Chun FK, Tennstedt P, Pehrke D, et al. External validatin of the CAPRA-S score to predict biochemical recurrence, metastasis and mortality after radical prostatectomy in a European cohort. *J Urol* (2015) 193 (6):1970–5. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2014.12.020

22. Kirste S, Kroeze SGC, Henkenberens C, et al. Combining 68Ga-PSMA-PET/CTdirected and elective radiation therapy improves outcome in oligorecurrent prostate cancer: A retrospective multicenter study. *Front Oncol* (2021) 11:640467. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2021.640467

23. Sage EK, Vogel MME, Dewes S, Devecka M, Eiber M, Gschwend JE, et al. Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy (SBRT) für die Behandlung von Knochenmetastasen beim oligometastasierten Prostatakarzinom [Stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) for the treatment of bone metastases in oligometastasised prostate cancer]. *Aktuelle Urol* (2020) 51(3):265–70. doi: 10.1055/a-1140-5646

24. Schröder C, Tang H, Windisch P, Zwahlen DR, Buchali A, Vu E, et al. Stereotactic radiotherapy after radical prostatectomy in patients with prostate cancer in the adjuvant or salvage setting: A systematic review. *Cancers (Basel)* (2022) 14 (3):696. doi: 10.3390/cancers14030696

25. Yin C, Ho B, Chan L, Emmett L. Asymptomatic prostate cancer brain metastases on 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT. *Clin Nucl Med* (2019) 44(6):e382–4. doi: 10.1097/RLU.00000000002548

26. Plichta K, Camden N, Furqan M, Hejleh TA, Clamon GH, Zhang J, et al. SBRT to adrenal metastases provides high local control with minimal toxicity. *Adv Radiat Oncol* (2017) 2(4):581–7. doi: 10.1016/j.adro.2017.07.011

27. Mena E, Lindenberg L, Choyke P. The impact of PSMA PET/CT imaging in prostate cancer radiation treatment. *Semin Nucl Med* (2022) 52(2):255-62. doi: 10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2021.12.008

28. Jani AB, Schreibmann E, Goyal S, Halkar R, Hershatter B, Rossi RJ, et al. ¹⁸F-fluciclovine-PET/CT imaging versus conventional imaging alone to guide postprostatectomy salvage radiotherapy for prostate cancer (EMPIRE-1): a single centre, open-label, phase 2/3 randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* (2021) 397 (10288):1895-904. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00581-X

29. Karagiannis V, Wichmann V, Saarinen J, Eigeliene N, Andersen H, Jekunen A. Radiotherapy treatment modification for prostate cancer patients based on PSMA-PET/CT. *Radiat Oncol* (2022) 17(1):19. doi: 10.1186/s13014-022-01989-5

30. Albalooshi B, Al Sharhan M, Bagheri F, Miyanath S, Ray B, Muhasin M, et al. Direct comparison of 99mTc-PSMA SPECT/CT and 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT in patients with prostate cancer. *Asia Ocean J Nucl Med Biol* (2020) 8:1–7. doi: 10.22038/ aojnmb.2019.43943.1293

31. Fallahi B, Khademi N, Karamzade-Ziarati N, Fard-Esfahani A, Emami-Ardekani A, Farzanefar S, et al. 99mTc-PSMA SPECT/CT versus 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT in the evaluation of metastatic prostate cancer. *Clin Nucl Med* (2021) 46(2):e68–74. doi: 10.1097/RLU.000000000003410

32. Moghadam SZ, Askari E, Aryana K. 99mTc-PSMA left behind: a call for collaboration. *Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2022) 56:218–9. doi: 10.1007/s13139-022-00753-7

33. Urbán S, Meyer C, Dahlbom M, et al. Radiation dosimetry of 99mTc-PSMA I&S: A single-center prospective study. *J Nucl Med* (2021) 62(8):1075–81. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.120.253476