



Short communication

# Lower and upper bounds for the probabilistic Poincaré formula using the general Poincaré formula for $\lambda$ -additive measures

József Dombi<sup>a</sup>, Tamás Jónás<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Institute of Informatics, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary*

<sup>b</sup> *Institute of Business Economics, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary*

Received 11 January 2020; received in revised form 10 March 2020; accepted 27 March 2020

Available online 30 March 2020

## Abstract

Following our previous paper Dombi and Jónás (2019) [16], we will now present new inequalities using the general Poincaré formula for  $\lambda$ -additive measures. These inequalities represent bounds for the well-known Poincaré formula of probability theory. © 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

*Keywords:*  $\lambda$ -additive measure; Poincaré formula

## 1. Introduction

It is a familiar fact that the monotone (fuzzy) measures have been applied in many areas of science (see, e.g. [1–5]). The  $\lambda$ -additive measures (Sugeno  $\lambda$ -measures) [6], which are a specific class of monotone measures, play an important role in describing and modeling uncertainty (see, e.g. [7–11]). It is well known that the  $\lambda$ -additive measure is strongly connected with the belief- and plausibility measures and these may be viewed as lower- and upper probabilities, respectively (see, e.g. [12–14]). In [15], we presented the general formula for the  $\lambda$ -additive measure of the union of  $n$  sets, which we called the general Poincaré formula for  $\lambda$ -additive measures. Using this formula, we introduced novel inequalities for  $\lambda$ -additive measures in [16]. Following these results, by applying the general Poincaré formula for  $\lambda$ -additive measures, we will now present bounds for the well-known Poincaré formula of probability theory.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this study, we will use the common notations  $\cap$  and  $\cup$  for the intersection and union operations over sets, respectively. Also, will use the notation  $\bar{A}$  for the complement of set  $A$ , and  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  will denote the power set of the set  $X$ .

\* Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses:* [dombi@inf.u-szeged.hu](mailto:dombi@inf.u-szeged.hu) (J. Dombi), [jonas@gti.elte.hu](mailto:jonas@gti.elte.hu) (T. Jónás).

The familiar Poincaré formula of probability theory is

$$Pr \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (-1)^{k-1} a_k, \quad (1)$$

where  $Pr$  is a probability measure on the set  $X$ ,  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $a_k = Pr(A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k})$ .

The  $\lambda$ -additive measures were first proposed by Sugeno in 1974 [6].

**Definition 1.** The function  $Q_\lambda : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a  $\lambda$ -additive measure (Sugeno  $\lambda$ -measure) on the finite set  $X$ , iff  $Q_\lambda$  satisfies the following requirements:

- (1)  $Q_\lambda(X) = 1$
- (2) For any  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,

$$Q_\lambda(A \cup B) = Q_\lambda(A) + Q_\lambda(B) + \lambda Q_\lambda(A) Q_\lambda(B),$$

where  $\lambda \in (-1, \infty)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is the power set of  $X$ .

Note that if  $X$  is an infinite set, then the continuity of function  $Q_\lambda$  is also required. From now on, here  $Q_\lambda$  will always denote a  $\lambda$ -additive measure on  $X$ .

In an earlier paper (see [15]), we introduced the general Poincaré formula for  $\lambda$ -additive measures, which is given by Eq. (2). This formula allows us to compute the  $\lambda$ -additive measure of the union of  $n$  sets.

**Proposition 1.** If  $X$  is a finite set,  $Q_\lambda$  is a  $\lambda$ -additive measure on  $X$ ,  $\lambda \in (-1, \infty)$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $n \geq 2$ , then

$$Q_\lambda \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \prod_{k=1}^n \left( \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (1 + \lambda c_{\lambda,k}) \right)^{(-1)^{k-1}} - 1 \right), \quad (2)$$

where  $c_{\lambda,k} = Q_\lambda(A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k})$ .

**Proof.** See the proof of Theorem 1 in [15].  $\square$

Note that we also gave an elementary proof of Proposition 1 in [17], and we proved the following Proposition in [16]:

**Proposition 2.** If  $X$  is a finite set,  $Q_\lambda$  is a  $\lambda$ -additive measure on  $X$ ,  $\lambda \in (-1, \infty)$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $n \geq 2$ , then

$$Q_\lambda \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \prod_{k=1}^n \left( \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (1 + \lambda d_{\lambda,k}) \right)^{(-1)^{k-1}} - 1 \right), \quad (3)$$

where  $d_{\lambda,k} = Q_\lambda(A_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup A_{i_k})$ .

**Proof.** See the proof of Proposition 2 in [16].  $\square$

We will also utilize the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $X$  be a finite set,  $Pr : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  a probability measure on the set  $X$  and for any  $\lambda \in (-1, \infty)$ , let the function  $h_\lambda : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be given by

$$h_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1 + \lambda)^x - 1}{\lambda}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \\ x, & \text{if } \lambda = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, the set function  $Q_\lambda : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , which is given by

$$Q_\lambda = h_\lambda \circ Pr, \tag{4}$$

is a  $\lambda$ -additive measure on the set  $X$ , and for any  $A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$

- (a) if  $\lambda < 0$ , then  $Q_\lambda(A) \geq Pr(A)$
- (b) if  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $Q_\lambda(A) = Pr(A)$
- (c) if  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $Q_\lambda(A) \leq Pr(A)$ .

**Proof.** For the proof that the set function  $Q_\lambda$  in Eq. (4) is a  $\lambda$ -additive measure, see [9] or the proof of Theorem 4.11 in [3].

Let  $A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Since  $Pr(A) \in [0, 1]$ , by noting Bernoulli’s inequality, we have

$$(1 + \lambda)^{Pr(A)} \leq 1 + \lambda Pr(A) \tag{5}$$

for any uniquely determined  $\lambda \in (-1, \infty)$ . Next from Eq. (5), we have

$$\frac{(1 + \lambda)^{Pr(A)} - 1}{\lambda} \geq Pr(A), \quad \text{if } \lambda < 0 \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{(1 + \lambda)^{Pr(A)} - 1}{\lambda} \leq Pr(A), \quad \text{if } \lambda > 0 \tag{7}$$

Next, by noting Eq. (4), from Eq. (6) and Eq. (7) we get statement (a) and (c), respectively. Also, statement (b) trivially follows from Eq. (4).  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Note that Eq. (4) means that the  $\lambda$ -additive measure  $Q_\lambda$  is represented by the  $(Pr, h_\lambda)$  pair (see [9]).

### 3. Bounds for the probabilistic Poincaré formula

Utilizing the results above, we can state the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $X$  be a finite set and let  $Pr : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a probability measure on the set  $X$ . Then, there exists a  $\lambda$ -additive measure  $Q_\lambda : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

- 1) if  $\lambda > 0$ , then for any  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \prod_{k=1}^n \left( \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (1 + \lambda c_{\lambda,k}) \right)^{(-1)^{k-1}} - 1 \right) \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (-1)^{k-1} a_k \leq \\ & \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \prod_{k=1}^n \left( \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (1 + \lambda \bar{d}_{\lambda,k}) \right)^{(-1)^{k-1}} - 1 \right); \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and

2) if  $\lambda < 0$ , then for any  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \prod_{k=1}^n \left( \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (1 + \lambda \bar{d}_{\lambda,k}) \right)^{(-1)^{k-1}} - 1 \right) \leq \\
 & \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (-1)^{k-1} a_k \leq \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \prod_{k=1}^n \left( \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (1 + \lambda c_{\lambda,k}) \right)^{(-1)^{k-1}} - 1 \right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where  $a_k$ ,  $c_{\lambda,k}$  and  $\bar{d}_{\lambda,k}$  are respectively given by  $a_k = Pr(A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k})$ ,  $c_{\lambda,k} = Q_\lambda(A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k})$  and  $\bar{d}_{\lambda,k} = Q_\lambda(\bar{A}_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup \bar{A}_{i_k})$ .

**Proof.** Here, we will prove Eq. (8). Note that the proof of Eq. (9) is similar to that of Eq. (8). Let the function  $h_\lambda : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be given by

$$h_\lambda(x) = \frac{(1 + \lambda)^x - 1}{\lambda}, \tag{10}$$

where  $\lambda \in (-1, \infty)$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Now, let  $\lambda > 0$ . Then, based on Proposition 3, the set function  $Q_\lambda : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  given by  $Q_\lambda = h_\lambda \circ Pr$  is a  $\lambda$ -additive measure and for any  $B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$

$$Q_\lambda(B) \leq Pr(B). \tag{11}$$

Now, let  $\lambda'$  be given by

$$\lambda' = -\frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda}. \tag{12}$$

Then, based on Corollary 4.5 in [3], the dual measure  $Q_{\lambda'}$  of  $Q_\lambda$ , which is given by

$$Q_{\lambda'}(A) = 1 - Q_\lambda(\bar{A}) \tag{13}$$

for any  $A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ , is also a  $\lambda$  additive measure with the parameter  $\lambda'$ . Notice that Eq. (12) is a bijection; and as  $\lambda > 0$ , we have  $-1 < \lambda' < 0$ . Then, based on Proposition 3, the set function  $Q_{\lambda'} : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  given by  $Q_{\lambda'} = h_{\lambda'} \circ Pr$  is a  $\lambda$ -additive measure and for any  $B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$

$$Pr(B) \leq Q_{\lambda'}(B). \tag{14}$$

Next, by noting Eq. (11), Eq. (13) and Eq. (14), we have

$$Q_\lambda(B) \leq Pr(B) \leq 1 - Q_\lambda(\bar{B}) \tag{15}$$

for any  $B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ . Now, let  $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ . Then, by utilizing the De Morgan law, from Eq. (15), we have

$$Q_\lambda \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \right) \leq Pr \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \right) \leq 1 - Q_\lambda \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^n \bar{A}_i \right). \tag{16}$$

Here, by noting the probabilistic Poincaré formula in Eq. (1), the general Poincaré formula for  $\lambda$ -additive measures in Eq. (2) (see Proposition 1), and Eq. (3) (see Proposition 2), from Eq. (16), we get Eq. (8).  $\square$

**Remark 2.** Because  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{(1+\lambda)^x - 1}{\lambda} = x$ , we see that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} Q_\lambda(B) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} h_\lambda(Pr(B)) = Pr(B).$$

So, the inequalities in Eq. (8) and Eq. (9) furnish sharp (i.e. small) approximation intervals for the midterm in case  $\lambda$  is very close to zero.

**Remark 3.** Eq. (15) and Eq. (16) represent a well-known property of the  $\lambda$ -additive measure. Namely, if  $\lambda > 0$ , then the  $\lambda$ -additive measure  $Q_\lambda$  is a belief measure, and its dual measure, which is given by  $1 - Q_\lambda(\overline{A})$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ , is a plausibility measure (see Theorem 4.21 in [3]).

### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### References

- [1] E. Pap, Null-Additive Set Functions, vol. 337, Kluwer Academic Pub., 1995.
- [2] E. Pap, Pseudo-additive measures and their applications, in: Handbook of Measure Theory, Elsevier, 2002, pp. 1403–1468.
- [3] Z. Wang, G.J. Klir, Generalized Measure Theory, IFSR International Series in Systems Science and Systems Engineering, vol. 25, Springer US, 2009.
- [4] L. Jin, R. Mesiar, R.R. Yager, Melting probability measure with OWA operator to generate fuzzy measure: the crescent method, IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst. (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1109/TFUZZ.2018.2877605>.
- [5] M. Grabisch, Set Functions, Games and Capacities in Decision Making, 1st ed., Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated, 2016.
- [6] M. Sugeno, Theory of fuzzy integrals and its applications, PhD thesis, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan, 1974.
- [7] C. Magadum, M. Bapat, Ranking of students for admission process by using Choquet integral, Int. J. Fuzzy Math. Archive 15 (2018) 105–113.
- [8] M.A. Mohamed, W. Xiao, Q-measures: an efficient extension of the Sugeno  $\lambda$ -measure, IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst. 11 (2003) 419–426.
- [9] I. Chişescu, Why  $\lambda$ -additive (fuzzy) measures?, Kybernetika 51 (2015) 246–254.
- [10] X. Chen, Y.-A. Huang, X.-S. Wang, Z.-H. You, K.C. Chan, FMLNCSIM: fuzzy measure-based lncRNA functional similarity calculation model, Oncotarget 7 (2016) 45948–45958, <https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.10008>.
- [11] A.K. Singh, Signed  $\lambda$ -measures on effect algebras, in: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India, Section A: Physical Sciences, Springer India, 2018, pp. 1–7.
- [12] D. Dubois, H. Prade, Fuzzy Sets and Systems: Theory and Applications, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, vol. 144, Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, USA, 1980, pp. 125–150.
- [13] W. Spohn, The Laws of Belief: Ranking Theory and Its Philosophical Applications, Oxford University Press, 2012.
- [14] T. Feng, J.-S. Mi, S.-P. Zhang, Belief functions on general intuitionistic fuzzy information systems, Inf. Sci. 271 (2014) 143–158, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2014.02.120>.
- [15] J. Dombi, T. Jónás, The general Poincaré formula for  $\lambda$ -additive measures, Inf. Sci. 490 (2019) 285–291, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2019.03.059>.
- [16] J. Dombi, T. Jónás, Inequalities for  $\lambda$ -additive measures based on the application of the general Poincaré formula for  $\lambda$ -additive measures, Fuzzy Sets Syst. (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2019.09.007>.
- [17] J. Dombi, T. Jónás, An elementary proof of the general Poincaré formula for  $\lambda$ -additive measures, Acta Cybern. 24 (2019) 173–185, <https://doi.org/10.14232/actacyb.24.2.2019.1>.