https://doi.org/10.1093/bjs/znab437 Advance Access Publication Date: 14 January 2022 Short report

Microsatellite instability in young patients with rectal cancer: molecular findings and treatment response

REACCT Collaborative

Correspondence to: Des C. Winter, Centre for Colorectal Disease, St Vincent's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland. (e-mail: des.winter@gmail.com) Members of the REACCT Collaborative are co-authors of this study and are listed under the heading Collaborators and in Appendix S1.

Introduction

A major research focus of the past few decades in colorectal cancer has been deciphering the underpinning biomolecular processes in order to guide therapeutic decision-making and optimize outcomes. Several forms of genetic instability have been described in colorectal cancer. Chromosomal instability is the hallmark of 85 per cent of cases, whereas microsatellite instability (MSI) is identified in approximately 15 per cent¹.

MSI, a well defined feature of defective DNA mismatch repair (MMR), may be due to sporadic epigenetic silencing of the MLH1 gene or constitutive mutations in one of the MMR genes (Lynch syndrome)². Immunohistochemistry is used to classify tumours as MMR-deficient or MMR-proficient, whereas PCR is used to identify MSI. Dichotomization of colorectal cancer on the basis of MMR or MSI status is now recommended routinely for all patients, regardless of age at diagnosis or family history.

Apart from representing an important screening tool for Lynch syndrome, MSI status may also provide valuable prognostic and therapeutic information. MSI is associated with improved disease-specific survival^{3,4}. Controversy exists regarding whether MSI confers a relative resistance to 5-fluorouracil chemotherapy, and about the impact of MSI status on response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy^{5–9}.

One of the biggest epidemiological crises facing the world of surgical oncology is the rapidly rising incidence of early-onset rectal cancer, defined as diagnosis before the age of 50 years^{10–13}. The reasons for this increase are unclear. Although overlapping key drivers are implicated in both early- and late-onset disease, a number of notable biomolecular differences have been observed^{14–17}. Early-onset rectal cancer is more likely to occur in the context of a hereditary cancer syndrome, but the majority of cases are sporadic and microsatellite stable (MSS)^{18,19}. As young patients have historically represented a small proportion of cases, the impact of microsatellite status on disease-specific outcomes in this patient group is unknown. Individual institutional data in isolation are insufficient for meaningful analyses. The REACCT Collaborative was established to aggregate large-volume real-world data from specialist centres across the world. The objective of this study was to evaluate the impact of microsatellite

status on oncological outcomes in patients aged less than 50 years diagnosed with rectal cancer.

Methods

A complete description of the study methodology is available in Appendix S2. In brief, this was a retrospective international multicentre observational cohort study aiming to assess the clinicopathological features, molecular characteristics, and diseasespecific outcomes of patients diagnosed with early-age onset rectal cancer over 20 years (2000-2020). Inclusion criteria were adults aged between 18 and 49 years with a histologically confirmed diagnosis of non-metastatic rectal cancer undergoing surgery with curative intent and with known MSI status. Data were provided by members of the REACCT Collaborative. Patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria of the study were selected from the REACCT Collaborative database. Collected data included baseline patient demographics, clinical stage, surgical and treatment data, histopathological and molecular features, and cancerspecific as well as overall survival information. MSI was determined by PCR or immunohistochemistry (IHC). Loss of MMR proteins MLH1, PMS2, MSH2 or MSH6 on IHC was classified as MSI. A hereditary cancer syndrome was defined by diagnosis of a constitutive pathogenic variant on germline testing.

Results

Baseline demographics

A total of 400 patients aged less than 50 years diagnosed with rectal cancer over a 20-year interval were included in the study. This represents 9.1 per cent of the total with early-onset colorectal cancer in the REACCT Collaborative database. Of these 400 patients, 50 had tumours with defined MSI. The remaining 350 had MSS tumours. Median age was 43 (range 23–49) years and 204 patients (58.3 per cent) were men (*Table* 1). MSI was associated with a first-degree relative with colorectal cancer. Women accounted for 58 per cent of the MSI group. There was no difference in clinical stage between the two groups. The majority of patients given neoadjuvant therapy received long-course chemoradiotherapy.

Received: October 24, 2021. Accepted: November 22, 2021 © The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of BJS Society Ltd. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com

Table 1 Comparison of demographics and clinicopathological data

		MSI (n = 50)	MSS (n = 350)	P †
	Overall (n = 400)			
A go (troope)*	12 (22 10)	20 (26 40)	10 (22, 10)	0 202+
Age (years)	45 (25-49)	59 (26-49)	48 (25-49)	0.2954
Men	204 (51.0)	21 (42)	183 (52.3)	0.137
First-degree relative with colorectal cancer	41 (10.3)	10 (20)	31 (8.9)	0.009
cTNM stage				
I–II	97 (24.3)	9 (18)	88 (25.1)	0.091
III	208 (52.0)	28 (56)	180 (51.4)	0.091
Unknown	95 (23.8)	13 (26)	82 (23.4)	
Neoadjuvant CRT	248 (62.0)	31 (62)	217 (62.0)	1.000
(y)pTNM stage	. , ,			
I	117 (29.3)	14 (28)	103 (29.4)	1.000
II	126 (31.5)	25 (50)	101 (28.9)	0.005
III	157 (39.2)	11 (22)	146 (41.7)	0.008
Pathology				
Pathological complete response	44 (17.7)	10 (32)	34 (15.7)	0.044
R0 resection	372 (93.0)	47 (94)	325 (92.9)	1.000
Hereditary cancer syndrome	26 (6.5)	15 (30)	11 (3.1)	< 0.001
Adjuvant chemotherapy	249 (62.3)	28 (56)	221 (63.1)	0.189
, 1)	\ /		(/	

Values in parentheses are percentages unless indicated otherwise; *values are median (range). MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; CRT, chemoradiotherapy. $\uparrow \chi^2$ or Fisher's exact test, except \ddagger Mann–Whitney U test.



Fig. 1 Kaplan–Meier curve of disease-free survival for patients with stage I–III disease according to microsatellite status

MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable.

Pathological features

There were no significant differences in differentiation, or lymphovascular, extramural venous or perineural invasion between the two groups. A pathological complete response (pCR) was more common among the MSI group (32 *versus* 15.7 per cent; P = 0.044). Patients with MSI were less likely to have pathological node-positive disease (22 *versus* 41.7 per cent; P = 0.008).

Molecular characteristics

MSI tumours were more likely to occur in the context of genetic predisposition. A hereditary cancer syndrome was diagnosed in 15 patients (30 per cent) with MSI tumours compared with 11 (3.1 per cent) with MSS lesions (hazard ratio (HR) 13.21, 95 per cent c.i. 5.63 to 30.97; P < 0.001). Only 36 patients (72.0 per cent) in the MSI group and 230 (65.7 per cent) in the MSS group had undergone genetic testing at the time of data collection.

Survival

Survival data were available for 392 patients (98.0 per cent). Overall median follow-up was 35 (range 1–197) months. In the MSI group, median overall survival was 58 (1–197) months, with 1-, 3-, and 5-year overall survival rates of 100, 95, and 89 per cent respectively. Corresponding values in the MSS group were 32 (1–158) months, and 96, 90, and 84 per cent. Median disease-free survival was 57 (1–197) months in the MSI group and 23 (1–158) months in the MSS group. In patients with MSI, the disease-free survival rate at 1, 3, and 5 years was 98, 90, and 87 per cent respectively, compared with 89, 72, and 66 per cent among those with MSS tumours (Fig. 1). On subanalysis based on pathological stage, survival was better in the MSI group for stage I, II, and III disease, but the differences were not statistically significant.

Disease recurrence

No patient in the MSI group developed locoregional disease recurrence compared with 24 patients (6.9 per cent) in the MSS group (P = 0.159). Five patients (10 per cent) with MSI developed metastatic disease compared with 72 (20.6 per cent) in the MSS group (P = 0.084).

Factors predictive of disease-specific outcomes

On univariable analysis, in the MSI group, no variable was significantly associated with disease recurrence. In the MSS group, lymphovascular, extramural, and perineural invasion, non-pCR, and node positivity were significantly associated with worse disease-free survival in univariable analysis. In multivariable analysis, only lymphovascular invasion (HR 2.83, 95 per cent c.i. 1.09 to 7.31; P = 0.032) and adjuvant chemotherapy (HR 4.89, 1.29 to 18.63; P = 0.020) were significantly associated with disease recurrence.

Discussion

Increased understanding of the biomolecular processes that underpin tumour development has enabled the molecular stratification of patients with colorectal cancer. The most commonly used molecular classification system in clinical practice

better disease-specific survival. Statistical differences were purposely not assessed because these data represent real-world data which can be relatively crude. Where that is the case, the use of statistics could be misleading. Nonetheless, the absolute difference of 21 per cent in 5-year disease-free survival (MSI *versus* MSS: 87 *versus* 66 per cent) is certainly clinically significant. As expected, overall survival did not differ between groups.

MSI status is an important screening tool for genetic cancer predisposition, such as Lynch syndrome. Constitutive pathogenic mutations in the MMR genes lead to defective MMR, of which MSI is a well defined feature². In the present study, 6.5 per cent of patients overall were diagnosed with a genetic predisposition. These data, in keeping with other series, suggest that rectal cancer in young adults is infrequently due to a hereditary cancer syndrome (albeit more frequently than in their older counterparts)¹⁸. For MSI tumours, however, almost one in three patients had a genetic predisposition (MSI versus MSS: 30 versus 3.1 per cent), highlighting the importance of reflective genetic testing in this group. Despite young age at disease onset being a hallmark of genetic predisposition, the majority of cases of early-onset colorectal cancer are sporadic with MSS tumours^{16,34}. As the full spectrum of genes implicated is unknown, however, it is possible that a proportion of patients with sporadic disease actually harbour mutations not vet identified^{19,35}. Advances in next-generation sequencing with multigene panel testing will unveil this spectrum.

This study has some understandable limitations, including the retrospective nature of data entered (risks incompleteness or heterogeneity) from a study spanning two decades (treatments evolve), but real-world information drives hypotheses. Total neoadjuvant therapy represents an attractive strategy owing to favourable compliance and superior pCR rates^{36,37}. Immunotherapy (checkpoint inhibitors perhaps) may be be integrated into neoadjuvant therapy, for which reports of remarkable responses are exciting³⁸. Global research collaboration represents the ideal way to coordinate these approaches.

Disclosure. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Collaborators

Alexandra M. Zaborowski, Ahmed Abdile. Michel Adamina, Felix Aigner, Laura d'Allens, Caterina Allmer, Andrea Álvarez, Rocio Anula, Mihailo Andric, Sam Atallah, Simon Bach, Miklosh Bala, Marie Barussaud, Augustinas Bausys, Andrew Beggs, Felipe Bellolio, Melissa-Rose Bennett, Anton Berdinskikh, Vicki Bevan, Sebastiano Biondo, Gabriele Bislenghi, Marc Bludau, Nelleke Brouwer, Carl Brown, Christiane Bruns, Daniel D. Buchanan, Pamela Buchwald, Jacobus W.A. Burger, Nikita Burlov, Michela Campanelli, Maylis Capdepont, Michele Carvello, Hwee-Hoon Chew, Dimitri Christoforidis, David Clark, Marta Climent, Rowan Collinson, Kyle G. Cologne, Tomas Contreras, Roland Croner, Ian R. Daniels, Giovanni Dapri, Justin Davies, Paolo Delrio, Quentin Denost, Michael Deutsch, Andre Dias, André D'Hoore, Evgeniy Drozdov, Daniel Duek, Malcolm Dunlop, Adam Dziki, Aleksandra Edmundson, Sergey Efetov, Alaa El-Hussuna, Brodie Elliott, Sameh Emile, Eloy Espin-Basany, Martyn Evans, Seraina Faes, Omar Faiz, Nuno Figueiredo, Fergal Fleming, Caterina Foppa, George Fowler, Matteo Frasson, Tim Forgan, Frank Frizelle, Shamil Gadaev, Jose Gellona, Tamara Glyn, Barisic Goran, Emma Greenwood, Marianne G. Guren, Stephanie Guillon, Ida Gutlic, Dieter Hahnloser, Heather Hampel, Ann Hanly, Hirotoshi Hasegawa, Lene Hjerrild Iversen, Andrew Hill, James Hill, Jiri Hoch, Roel Hompes, Luis Hurtado, Fabiano Iaquinandi, Ugne

dichotomizes colorectal cancer into tumours with MSI and those that are MSS. Unsurprisingly, tumours that arise from different oncogenic pathways differ clinically. In this study of 400 patients with early-onset rectal cancer, tumours in 12.5 per cent demonstrated MSI. MSI was associated with reduced a likelihood of nodal positivity, an increased rate of pCR, and improved diseasespecific survival. MSI tumours were also more likely (but not exclusively) to occur in the context of a hereditary cancer syndrome.

Epidemiological and registry-based studies^{10,20,21} have demonstrated an alarming increase in early-onset colorectal cancer worldwide over the past four decades. This increase has been predominantly driven by a rise in the rate of distal tumours¹¹. Historically, men have accounted for a greater proportion of patients with rectal cancer than women. A recent nationwide Swedish registry-based study²², however, reported a male-tofemale incidence rate ratio of 1.07 in adults aged 18-49 years, compared to 1.71 among those aged over 49 years. MSI has been shown to have a female preponderance, in particular among patients with proximal colonic tumours²³. In the present study, although there were more men overall, women accounted for the majority of patients (58 per cent) in the MSI group. This is in contrast to the findings of a large North American nationwide study²⁴ of all-age rectal cancer in which the majority of patients in both MSI and MSS groups were men (60.8 and 61 per cent respectively). The impact of female sex on the risk of early-age rectal cancer or presence of MSI remains to be defined.

An emerging focus of modern management of rectal cancer is the role of the molecular profile in therapeutic decision-making. Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy is the standard of care for locally advanced disease, but the pathological response varies considerably. Achieving a pCR is a positive prognostic indicator, associated with outstanding locoregional control^{25,26}. A noteworthy result from these data is the enhanced pCR rate in young patients with MSI rectal cancer. This opens the possibility of organ preservation in this specific group. It is known that diseasefree survival with a pCR is excellent, so it may be possible to consider avoiding operation in some of these patients²⁷. It should be remembered that these are otherwise healthy individuals in whom socioeconomic, psychosocial and quality-of-life factors are arguably more important. Thus, it will be important to build on the knowledge base being acquired from discrete-choice experimental data in patients with a pCR resulting from chemoradiotherapy for oesophageal cancer. In those studies, it was found that patients were prepared to give up life-years to avoid the potentially disabling symptoms due to the anatomical, physiological, and social impact of major surgical excision²⁸. In particular, the negative impact on genitourinary function that may arise as a result of major pelvic surgery and the established risk of poor lower gastrointestinal function (low anterior resection syndrome) may be avoided with an organ-preserving approach^{29,30}. The socioeconomic advantages to eliminating major surgery in this youthful population group is intuitively better. However, there are negative consequences to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy which include (but are not limited to) diminished fertility, pelvic fractures, and neuropathy³¹⁻³³. Clearly, research to acquire discrete-choice experimental information from patients in this distinct patient group is needed to inform patient-doctor decision-making.

Despite receiving more treatment, young patients with rectal cancer have disease-specific survival similar to that of their older counterparts¹⁸. Oncological outcomes according to MSI status, however, are limited. In the present study, patients with MSI had

Imbrasaite, Rumana Islam, Mehrenah D Jafari, Andrea Jiménez Salido, Marta Jiménez Toscano, Yukihide Kanemitsu, Aleksei Karachun, Ahmer A. Karimuddin, Deborah S. Keller, Justin Kelly, Rory Kennelly, Gleb Khrykov, Petr Kocian, Cherry Koh, Neils Kok, Katrina A. Knight, Joep Knol, Christos Kontovounisios, Hartwig Korner, Zoran Krivokapic, Irmgard Kronberger, Hidde Maarten Kroon, Marius Kryzauskas, Said Kural, Miranda Kusters, Zaher Lakkis, Timur Lankov, David Larson, György Lázár, Kai-Yin Lee, Suk Hwan Lee, Jérémie H. Lefévre, Anna Lepisto, Christopher Lieu, Lynette Loi, Craig Lynch, Helene Maillou-Martinaud, Annalisa Maroli, Sean T. Martin, Anna Martling, Klaus E. Matzel, Julio Mayol, Frank McDermott, Guillaume Meurette, Monica Millan, Martin Mitteregger, Andrei Moiseenko, John R. T. Monson, Stefan Morarasu, Konosuke Moritani, Gabriela Möslein, Martino Munini, Caio Nahas, Sergio Nahas, Ionut Negoi, Anastasia Novikova, Misael Ocares, Koji Okabayashi, Alexandra Olkina, Luis Oñate-Ocaña, Jaime Otero, Cihan Ozen, Ugo Pace, Guilherme Pagin São Julião, Lidiia Panaiotti, Yves Panis, Demetris Papamichael, Swati Patel, Juan Carlos Patrón Uriburu, Sze-Lin Peng, Miguel Pera, Rodrigo O. Perez, Alexei Petrov, Frank Pfeffer, Terry P. Phang, Tomas Poskus, Heather Pringle, David Proud, Ivana Raguz, Nuno Rama, Shahnawaz Rasheed, Manoj J. Raval, Daniela Rega, Christoph Reissfelder, Juan Carlos Reyes Meneses, Frederic Ris, Stefan Riss, Homero Rodriguez-Zentner, Campbell S. Roxburgh, Avanish Saklani, Tarik Sammour, Deborah Saraste, Martin Schneider, Ryo Seishima, Aleksandar Sekulic, Toni Seppala, Kieran Sheahan, Alexandra Shlomina, Guiseppe Sica, Tongplaew Singnomklao, Leandro Siragusa, Neil Smart, Alejandro Solis-Peña, Antonino Spinelli, Roxane D. Staiger, Michael J. Stamos, Scott Steele, Ker-Kan Tan, Pieter J Tanis, Paris Tekkis, Biniam Teklay, Sabrina Tengku, Petr Tsarkov, Matthias Turina, Alexis Ulrich, Bruna B. Vailati, Meike van Harten, Cornelis Verhoef, Satish Warrier, Steven Wexner, Hans de Wilt, Benjamin A. Weinberg, Cameron Wells, Albert Wolthuis, Evangelos Xynos, Nancy You, Alexander Zakharenko, Justino Zeballos, Youzhi Zhou, Des C. Winter.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at BJS online.

References

- Guinney J, Dienstmann R, Wang X, de Reyniès A, Schlicker A, Soneson C et al. The consensus molecular subtypes of colorectal cancer. Nat Med 2015;21:1350–1356.
- Lynch HT, Snyder CL, Shaw TG, Heinen CD, Hitchins MP. Milestones of Lynch syndrome: 1895–2015. Nat Rev Cancer 2015; 15:181–194.
- Benatti P, Gafà R, Barana D, Marino M, Scarselli A, Pedroni M et al. Microsatellite instability and colorectal cancer prognosis. Clin Cancer Res 2005;11:8332–8340.
- Kim CG, Ahn JB, Jung M, Beom SH, Kim C, Kim JH et al. Effects of microsatellite instability on recurrence patterns and outcomes in colorectal cancers. Br J Cancer 2016;115:25–33.
- Sargent DJ, Marsoni S, Monges G, Thibodeau SN, Labianca R, Hamilton SR et al. Defective mismatch repair as a predictive marker for lack of efficacy of fluorouracil-based adjuvant therapy in colon cancer. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:3219–3226.
- 6. Des Guetz G, Schischmanoff O, Nicolas P, Perret GY, Morere JF, Uzzan B. Does microsatellite instability predict the efficacy of

adjuvant chemotherapy in colorectal cancer? A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Eur J Cancer* 2009;**45**:1890–1896.

- Cercek A, Dos Santos Fernandes G, Roxburgh CS, Ganesh K, Ng S, Sanchez-Vega F et al. Mismatch repair-deficient rectal cancer and resistance to neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Clin Cancer Res 2020;26:3271–3279.
- de Rosa N, Rodriguez-Bigas MA, Chang GJ, Veerapong J, Borras E, Krishnan S et al. DNA mismatch repair deficiency in rectal cancer: benchmarking its impact on prognosis, neoadjuvant response prediction, and clinical cancer genetics. J Clin Oncol 2016; 34:3039–3046.
- O'Connell E, Reynolds IS, McNamara DA, Prehn JHM, Burke JP. Microsatellite instability and response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in rectal cancer: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Surg Oncol 2020;34:57–62.
- Bailey CE, Hu CY, You YN, Bednarski BK, Rodriguez-Bigas MA, Skibber JM et al. Increasing disparities in the age-related incidences of colon and rectal cancers in the United States, 1975–2010. JAMA Surg 2015;150:17–22.
- Vuik FE, Nieuwenburg SA, Bardou M, Lansdorp-Vogelaar I, Dinis-Ribeiro M, Bento MJ et al. Increasing incidence of colorectal cancer in young adults in Europe over the last 25 years. Gut 2019;68:1820–1826.
- 12. Saraste D, Järås J, Martling A. Population-based analysis of outcomes with early-age colorectal cancer. Br J Surg 2020;**107**:301–309.
- Denost Q. The challenge posed by young-onset rectal cancer. Br J Surg 2020;107:481–483.
- Willauer AN, Liu Y, Pereira AAL, Lam M, Morris JS, Raghav KPS et al. Clinical and molecular characterization of early-onset colorectal cancer. *Cancer* 2019;**125**:2002–2010.
- Lieu CH, Golemis EA, Serebriiskii IG, Newberg J, Hemmerich A, Connelly C et al. Comprehensive genomic landscapes in early and later onset colorectal cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2019;25:5852–5858.
- Antelo M, Balaguer F, Shia J, Shen Y, Hur K, Moreira L et al. A high degree of LINE-1 hypomethylation is a unique feature of early-onset colorectal cancer. PLoS One 2012;7:e45357.
- Zaborowski AM, Abdile A, Adamina M, Aigner F, d'Allens L, Allmer C et al.; REACCT Collaborative. Characteristics of earlyonset us late-onset colorectal cancer: a review. JAMA Surg 2021; 156:865–874.
- Zaborowski AM, Murphy B, Creavin B, Rogers AC, Kennelly R, Hanly A et al. Clinicopathological features and oncological outcomes of patients with young-onset rectal cancer. Br J Surg 2020; 107:606–612.
- Pearlman R, Frankel WL, Swanson B, Zhao W, Yilmaz A, Miller K et al.; Ohio Colorectal Cancer Prevention Initiative Study Group. Prevalence and spectrum of germline cancer susceptibility gene mutations among patients with early-onset colorectal cancer. JAMA Oncol 2017;3:464–471.
- Chambers AC, Dixon SW, White P, Williams AC, Thomas MG, Messenger DE. Demographic trends in the incidence of youngonset colorectal cancer: a population-based study. Br J Surg 2020;107:595–605.
- Lui RN, Tsoi KKF, Ho JMW, Lo CM, Chan FCH, Kyaw MH et al. Global increasing incidence of young-onset colorectal cancer across 5 continents: a joinpoint regression analysis of 1 922 167 cases. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2019;28:1275–1282.
- Sun M, Wang Y, Sundquist J, Sundquist K, Ji J. Temporal trends of sex disparity in incidence and survival of colorectal cancer: variations by anatomical site and age at diagnosis. *Clin Epidemiol* 2020;**12**:73–81.
- Merok MA, Ahlquist T, Røyrvik EC, Tufteland KF, Hektoen M, Sjo OH et al. Microsatellite instability has a positive prognostic

impact on stage II colorectal cancer after complete resection: results from a large, consecutive Norwegian series. *Ann Oncol* 2013;**24**:1274–1282.

- 24. Hasan S, Renz P, Wegner RE, Finley G, Raj M, Monga D et al. Microsatellite instability (MSI) as an independent predictor of pathologic complete response (PCR) in locally advanced rectal cancer: a national cancer database (NCDB) analysis. Ann Surg 2020;**271**:716–723.
- 25. Martin ST, Heneghan HM, Winter DC. Systematic review and meta-analysis of outcomes following pathological complete response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for rectal cancer. Br J Surg 2012;**99**:918–928.
- Haak HE, Beets GL, Peeters K, Nelemans PJ, Valentini V, Rödel C et al. Prevalence of nodal involvement in rectal cancer after chemoradiotherapy. Br J Surg 2021;108:1251–1258.
- 27. van der Valk MJM, Hilling DE, Bastiaannet E, Meershoek-Klein Kranenbarg E, Beets GL, Figueiredo NL *et al.*; IWWD Consortium. Long-term outcomes of clinical complete responders after neoadjuvant treatment for rectal cancer in the International Watch & Wait Database (IWWD): an international multicentre registry study. Lancet 2018;**391**:2537–2545.
- Noordman BJ, de Bekker-Grob EW, Coene PPLO, van der Harst E, Lagarde SM, Shapiro J et al. Patients' preferences for treatment after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for oesophageal cancer. Br J Surg 2018;105:1630–1638.
- Bryant CL, Lunniss PJ, Knowles CH, Thaha MA, Chan CL. Anterior resection syndrome. Lancet Oncol 2012;13:e403–e408.
- Pieniowski EHA, Palmer GJ, Juul T, Lagergren P, Johar A, Emmertsen KJ et al. Low anterior resection syndrome and quality of life after sphincter-sparing rectal cancer surgery: a

long-term longitudinal follow-up. Dis Colon Rectum 2019;**62**: 14–20.

- Segelman J, Buchli C, Svanström Röjvall A, Matthiessen P, Arver S, Bottai M et al. Effect of radiotherapy for rectal cancer on ovarian androgen production. Br J Surg 2019;106:267–275.
- Kang YM, Chao TF, Wang TH, Hu YW. Increased risk of pelvic fracture after radiotherapy in rectal cancer survivors: a propensity matched study. *Cancer Med* 2019;8:3639–3647.
- 33. Mols F, Beijers T, Lemmens V, van den Hurk CJ, Vreugdenhil G, van de Poll-Franse LV. Chemotherapy-induced neuropathy and its association with quality of life among 2- to 11-year colorectal cancer survivors: results from the population-based PROFILES registry. J Clin Oncol 2013;**31**:2699–2707.
- Stoffel EM, Koeppe E, Everett J, Ulintz P, Kiel M, Osborne J et al. Germline genetic features of young individuals with colorectal cancer. Gastroenterology 2018;154:897–905.e1.
- Mork ME, You YN, Ying J, Bannon SA, Lynch PM, Rodriguez-Bigas MA et al. High prevalence of hereditary cancer syndromes in adolescents and young adults with colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2015;33:3544–3549.
- Zaborowski A, Stakelum A, Winter DC. Systematic review of outcomes after total neoadjuvant therapy for locally advanced rectal cancer. Br J Surg 2019;106:979–987.
- Healey Bird BRJ. Total neoadjuvant therapy for locally advanced rectal cancer: the fuse is lit. Br J Surg 2020;107: 1705–1707.
- Chalabi M, Fanchi LF, Dijkstra KK, Van den Berg JG, Aalbers AG, Sikorska K et al. Neoadjuvant immunotherapy leads to pathological responses in MMR-proficient and MMR-deficient earlystage colon cancers. Nat Med 2020;26:566–576.



European Colorectal Congress

28 November – 1 December 2022, St.Gallen, Switzerland

Monday, 28 November 2022

09.50 **Opening and welcome** Jochen Lange, St.Gallen, CH

10.00 It is leaking! Approaches to salvaging an anastomosis Willem Bemelman, Amsterdam, NL

10.30 Predictive and diagnostic markers of anastomotic leak Andre D'Hoore, Leuven, BE

11.00 SATELLITE SYMPOSIUM

PART OF THE JOHNSON -JOHNSON FAMILY OF COMPANIES

11.45 Of microbes and men – the unspoken story of anastomotic leakage James Kinross, London, UK

12.15 **LUNCH**

13.45 Operative techniques to reduce anastomotic recurrence in Crohn's disease Laura Hancock, Manchester, UK

14.15 Innovative approaches in the treatment of complex Crohn Diseases perianal fistula Christianne Buskens, Amsterdam, NL

14.45 **To divert or not to divert in Crohn surgery – technical aspects and patient factors** Pär Myrelid, Linköping, SE

15.15 COFFEE BREAK

15.45 Appendiceal neoplasia – when to opt for a minimal approach, when and how to go for a maximal treatment Tom Cecil, Basingstoke, Hampshire, UK

16.15 SATELLITE SYMPOSIUM Medtronic

17.00 Outcomes of modern induction therapies and Wait and Watch strategies, Hope or Hype Antonino Spinelli, Milano, IT

17.30 EAES Presidential Lecture - Use of ICG in colorectal surgery: beyond bowel perfusion Salvador Morales-Conde, Sevilla, ES



18.00 Get-Together with your colleagues Industrial Exhibition

Tuesday, 29 November 2022

9.00 CONSULTANT'S CORNER Michel Adamina, Winterthur, CH

10.30 COFFEE BREAK

11.00 SATELLITE SYMPOSIUM

11.45 Trends in colorectal oncology and clinical insights for the near future

Rob Glynne-Jones, London, UK

12.15 **LUNCH**

13.45 VIDEO SESSION

14.15 SATELLITE SYMPOSIUM

💝 BD

15.00 COFFEE BREAK

15.30 The unsolved issue of TME: open, robotic, transanal, or laparoscopic – shining light on evidence and practice Des Winter, Dublin, IE Jim Khan, London, UK Brendan Moran, Basingstoke, UK

16.30 SATELLITE SYMPOSIUM

Takeda



17.15 **Lars Pahlman lecture** Søren Laurberg, Aarhus, DK

Thursday, 1 December 2022 Masterclass in Colorectal Surgery Proctology Day

Wednesday, 30 November 2022

9.00 Advanced risk stratification in colorectal cancer – choosing wisely surgery and adjuvant therapy Philip Quirke, Leeds, UK

09.30 Predictors for Postoperative Complications and Mortality Ronan O'Connell, Dublin, IE

10.00 Segmental colectomy versus extended colectomy for complex cancer Quentin Denost, Bordeaux, FR

10.30 COFFEE BREAK

11.00 Incidental cancer in polyp - completion surgery or endoscopy treatment alone? Laura Beyer-Berjot, Marseille, FR

11.30 SATELLITE SYMPOSIUM

12.00 Less is more – pushing the boundaries of full-thickness rectal resection Xavier Serra-Aracil, Barcelona, ES

12.30 **LUNCH**

14.00 Management of intestinal neuroendocrine neoplasia Frédéric Ris, Geneva, CH

14.30 Poster Presentation & Best Poster Award Michel Adamina, Winterthur, CH

15.00 SATELLITE SYMPOSIUM OLYMPUS

15.45 COFFEE BREAK

16.15 **Reoperative pelvic floor surgery** – **dealing with perineal hernia, reoperations, and complex reconstructions** Guillaume Meurette, Nantes, FR

16.45 **Salvage strategies for rectal neoplasia** Roel Hompes, Amsterdam, NL

17.15 Beyond TME – technique and results of pelvic exenteration and sacrectomy Paris Tekkis, London, UK

19.30 FESTIVE EVENING

Information & Registration www.colorectalsurgery.eu