

# SURGERY DUE TO INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE DURING PREGNANCY: MOTHERS AND OFFSPRING OUTCOMES FROM AN ECCO CONFER MULTICENTRE CASE SERIES (SCAR STUDY)

María Chaparro<sup>1</sup>, Lumír Kunovský<sup>2</sup>, Mariam Aguas<sup>3</sup>, Moran Livne<sup>4</sup>, Pauline Rivière<sup>5</sup>, Ariella Bar-Gil Shitrit<sup>6</sup>, Pär Myrelid<sup>7</sup>, Maite Arroyo<sup>8</sup>, Manuel Barreiro-de Acosta<sup>9</sup>, Michelle Bautista<sup>10</sup>, Livia Biancone<sup>11</sup>, Irit Avni Biron<sup>12</sup>, Trine Boysen<sup>13</sup>, Daniel Carpio<sup>14</sup>, Beatriz Castro<sup>15</sup>, Gabriele Dragoni<sup>16</sup>, Pierre Ellul<sup>17</sup>, Stefan D. Holubar<sup>18</sup>, Miguel Ángel de Jorge<sup>19</sup>, Eduardo Leo<sup>20</sup>, Noemí Manceñido<sup>21</sup>, Annick Moens<sup>22</sup>, Tamás Molnár<sup>23</sup>, Patricia Ramírez de la Piscina<sup>24</sup>, Petr Ricanek<sup>25</sup>, Ladislava Sebkova<sup>26</sup>, Laura Sempere<sup>27</sup>, Niels Teich<sup>28</sup>, Javier P. Gisbert<sup>1</sup> and Mette Julsgaard<sup>29</sup> on behalf of the ECCO CONFER taskforce.

# Gastroenterology Units:

<sup>1</sup>Hospital Universitario de La Princesa, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Princesa (IIS-IP), Universidad Autónoma de Madrid (UAM), and Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Hepáticas y Digestivas (CIBERehd), Madrid. Spain.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Surgery, University Hospital Brno, Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

<sup>3</sup>Hospital Universitario y Politécnico La Fe and CIBERehd. Valencia. Spain.

<sup>4</sup>Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Israel.

<sup>5</sup>Bordeaux University Hospitals, Gastroenterology department, Bordeaux, France.

<sup>6</sup>Digestive diseases institute, Shaare Zedek Medical Center and Faculty of Medicine, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel

<sup>7</sup>Department of Surgery, Linköping University Hospital and Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, Linköping University.

<sup>8</sup>Hospital Clínico Universitario Lozano Blesa and CIBERehd, IIS Aragón. Zaragoza, Spain.

<sup>9</sup>Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Santiago, Santiago de Compostela. Spain.

<sup>10</sup>Hospital Joan XXIII, Tarragona, Spain.

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>University of Rome "Tor Vergata", Rome, Italy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>IBD center, Gastroenterology Division, Rabin medical center, Petach Tikva, Israel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Hvidovre University Hospital, Hvidovre, Denmark.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Complexo Hospitalario Universitario de Pontevedra, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Galicia Sur, Pontevedra, Spain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla and IDIVAL. Santander, Spain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>Careggi University Hospital, Florence, Italy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>Mater Dei Hospital, Msida, Malta.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>Hospital de Cabueñes, Gijón, Spain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla, Spain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>Hospital Universitario Infanta Sofía. San Sebastián de los Reyes, Spain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>University hospitals Leuven, Belgium.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>University of Szeged, Albert Szent-Györgyi Medical School, Department of Internal Medicine, Szeged, Hungary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>Hospital Universitario de Araba (sede Txagorritxu y sede Santiago), Álava, Spain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>Akershus universitetssykehus, Norway.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup>Azienda Ospedaliera Pugliese-Ciaccio, Catanzaro, Italy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup>Hospital General Universitario de Alicante and CIBERehd, Alicante, Spain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>Practice for internal medicine, Leipzig, Germany.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup>Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark.



**Abbreviations**: inflammatory bowel disease, IBD; ulcerative colitis, UC; Crohn's disease, CD; standard deviation, SD; interquartile range, IQR.

### **Author contributions**

María Chaparro and Javier P. Gisbert: Study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, writing the manuscript.

Rest of Patient inclusion.

All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

María Chaparro is the guarantor of the article.

## **Acknowledgements**

Each author represents one participating center in this ECCO CONFER MULTICENTRE CASE SERIES study. We thank our colleagues for their patient care and support of our study.

### **Conflict of interest**

Dr. María Chaparro has served as a speaker, as consultant or has received research or education funding from MSD, Abbvie, Hospira, Pfizer, Takeda, Janssen, Ferring, Shire Pharmaceuticals, Dr. Falk Pharma, Tillotts Pharma, Biogen and Gilead.

Dr. Gabriele Dragoni received speaker fee from Novartis.

Dr. Manuel Barreiro-de Acosta has served as a speaker, consultant and advisory member for or has received research funding from MSD, AbbVie, Janssen, Kern Pharma, Celltrion, Takeda, Gillead, Celgene, Pfizer, Sandoz, Biogen, Fresenius, Ferring, Faes Farma, Dr. Falk Pharma, Chiesi, Gebro Pharma, Adacyte and Vifor Pharma.

Dr. Niels Teich reports personal fees from AbbVie, Falk Foundation, Janssen and Takeda outside the submitted work.



Dr. Ariella Bar-Gil Shitrit reports consulting/advisory fees from Takeda, Janssen, Neopharm Pfizer and AbbVie; Speaking and teaching fees from Takeda, Janssen, AbbVie, Neopharm, and Pfizer; and research support from Takeda and Janssen

Dr. Javier P. Gisbert has served as a speaker, a consultant and advisory member for or has received research funding from MSD, Abbvie, Pfizer, Kern Pharma, Biogen, Mylan, Takeda, Janssen, Roche, Sandoz, Celgene, Gilead, Ferring, Faes Farma, Shire Pharmaceuticals, Dr. Falk Pharma, Tillotts Pharma, Chiesi, Casen Fleet, Gebro Pharma, Otsuka Pharmaceutical, and Vifor Pharma.

Dr. Tamás Molnár has received speaker's honoraria from MSD, AbbVie, Egis, Goodwill Pharma, Takeda, Pfizer and Teva.

The rest of authors have nothing to declare.

# **Funding**

Nothing to declare.

**Data availability:** The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

### Correspondence

María Chaparro, M.D., Ph.D.

Inflammatory Bowel Disease Unit

Department of Gastroenterology

Hospital Universitario de La Princesa

Diego de León, 62. 28006 Madrid, Spain

Tel.: 34-913093911; Fax: 34-915204013

e-mail: mariachs2005@gmail.com

JCC ZOURNAL OF CROWN'S AND COLUTION

Manuscript Doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjac050

**SUMMARY** 

Aims: i) To evaluate the evolution of pregnancies and offspring after inflammatory bowel

disease (IBD) surgery during pregnancy; and ii) to describe the indications, the surgical

techniques, and the frequency of caesarean section concomitant to surgery.

Methods: Patients operated on due to IBD during pregnancy after 1998 were included.

Participating clinicians were asked to review their databases to identify cases. Data on

patients' demographics, IBD characteristics, medical treatments, IBD activity, pregnancy

outcomes, surgery, delivery, foetal and maternal outcomes, were recorded.

Results: Forty-four IBD patients were included, 75% had Crohn's disease. Eighteen

percent of the surgeries were performed in the 1<sup>st</sup> trimester, 55% in the 2<sup>nd</sup>, and 27% in the

3<sup>rd</sup> trimester. One patient had complications during surgery, and 27% had postsurgical

complications. No patient died. Seventy percent of deliveries were carried out by caesarean

section. There were 40 newborns alive and 4 miscarriages/stillbirths (1 in the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2 in the 2<sup>nd</sup>,

and 1 in the 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester): 2 occurred during surgery, and another 2 occurred 2 weeks after

surgery. Fourteen percent of the surgeries during the 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester and 64% of those in the

3<sup>rd</sup> trimester ended up with a simultaneous cesarean section or vaginal delivery. Of the 40

newborns, 61% were premature, and 47% had low birth weight; 42% of newborns needed

hospitalisation (25% in the intensive care unit).



**Conclusions:** IBD surgery during pregnancy remains an extremely serious situation. Therefore, surgical management should be performed in a multidisciplinary team, involving gastroenterologists, colorectal surgeons, obstetricians and neonatal specialists.

**Key words:** Inflammatory bowel disease, Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, pregnancy, gestation, surgery.

JCC DEMMAL OF CHOMPS AND COURS

Manuscript Doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjac050

Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) commonly affects patients during their reproductive

years. Disease activity is the main factor negatively impacting pregnancy outcome.

Therefore, maintaining remission during conception and pregnancy is of utmost importance<sup>1</sup>.

The incidence of relapse during pregnancy ranges from 20% to 50%, being similar to that in

non-pregnant patients. Such patients require intensive medical treatment. However, despite

the increasing number of drugs in the therapeutic armamentarium of IBD, some patients fail

to respond and surgical intervention is needed<sup>2-6</sup>.

In general, the indications for emergency surgery for IBD are the same for pregnant and

non-pregnant women, namely, failed medical therapy, toxic megacolon, perforation and

intractable haemorrhage. However, the decisions regarding timing, approach and the

appropriateness of surgery are often challenging. There have been few published reports on

IBD surgery during pregnancy most likely due to low frequency and underreporting<sup>7-11</sup>. In

this respect, data on the outcomes of surgery due to IBD in pregnant patients are scarce,

and primarily date back more than 3 decades ago predating the use of biologic therapy,

minimally invasive surgery and current perioperative management and support<sup>7</sup>.

In this exceptional clinical situation in which experience is very limited and underreported.

it is crucial to make a collaborative effort to systematically record all cases of surgery in

patients with IBD during pregnancy. Therefore, our primary aim was to evaluate the

evolution of pregnancies and offspring after IBD surgery. Our secondary aims were to

describe the indications for surgery in these patients and the surgical techniques used, the

complications during pregnancy, surgery and delivery, and in the offspring.

JCC HOURNAL OF CROWN'S AND COLITIS

Manuscript Doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjac050

Methods

Study design

A retrospective, multicentre, non-interventional study was conducted to investigate

maternal and foetal outcomes of pregnancies in IBD patients who underwent IBD surgery

during gestation. The patients included in this study had been diagnosed with IBD and

followed-up in a specialised IBD care setting. Clinicians were asked to proactively review

hospital databases to identify patients who met the inclusion criteria. In order to make it more

homogeneous, the inclusion was limited to cases that had occurred since 1998, when the

first biologic agent was approved for IBD treatment. Information available in the medical

records was included in the electronic data collection file. Every patient who met the criteria

in each participating centre was included. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee

of Clinical Research of Hospital Universitario de La Princesa (Madrid, Spain).

The study was approved by ECCO (European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation)

COllaborative Network For Exceptionally Rare Case Reports (CONFER), which is an

initiative to identify, assemble and report together rare IBD cases of clinical relevance, which

are otherwise seldom reported. By joining forces with the many members and supporters of

ECCO, a joint report of all similar rare cases can result in a large case series that will

advance our knowledge about these uncommon patients.

Study population

Patient diagnosed with IBD [Crohn's disease (CD), ulcerative colitis (UC) or non-classified

IBD] before surgery or at the time of surgery, and operated on due to IBD (any kind of

surgery) during pregnancy from 1998, were included. Patients who gave birth [vaginally or

by caesarean section (C-section)] at the time of IBD surgery (both interventions performed

during the same admission) were also eligible. Patients operated on during puerperium were

excluded from this study.

**Data collection** 

Only available data, obtained as part of the patient's usual care, were collected. Data

collected included demographics, IBD characteristics, obstetric history, previous treatment

for IBD including surgery, date of conception, disease activity at conception and in each

trimester of gestation, medical treatment for IBD during pregnancy, complementary

examinations during pregnancy, date of surgery, indication and type of surgery, maternal

and foetal complications during surgery, maternal and foetal complications during the

postoperative period, date of delivery, type of delivery, maternal complications at delivery,

newborn's weight, newborn's complications at birth and during the perinatal period,

miscarriages and stillbirths.

Statistical analysis

For categorical variables, percentages were calculated. The descriptive analysis of

quantitative variables calculated the mean and standard deviation (SD), or the median and

interquartile range (IQR), depending on whether they were normally distributed or not. In the

univariate analysis, categorical variables were compared using Chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) test, and

quantitative variables using the appropriate test.

JCC COMMA of COOM'S and COOM'S

Manuscript Doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjac050

**Results** 

Study population

A total of 44 patients were included; of whom, 7 (16%) were diagnosed with IBD during

pregnancy (2 during the 1<sup>st</sup> trimester, 3 during the 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester and 2 during the 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester

of gestation). Mean age at diagnosis was 25 years (SD=6 years). Main characteristics of the

study population are summarised in table 1.

Thirteen patients (30%) had comorbidities. With respect to toxic habits, 9 patients (20.5%)

were smokers during pregnancy, and no one consumed alcoholic drinks or drugs of abuse.

Seven (16%) patients had family history of IBD. Only one father had a relevant comorbidity

(glycerol kinase deficiency X-linked form of adrenal insufficiency).

With respect to IBD characteristics, 33 patients (75%) had CD, 12 (36%) had ileal, and 14

(42%) ileocolonic location. The majority of CD patients had complicated behaviour: 33%

structuring and 52% fistulising; 9 CD patients (24%) had perianal disease. A total of 10

patients (23%) had UC; of them, 9 (82%) had extensive colitis. A total of 12 patients (27%)

had extraintestinal manifestations, being peripheral arthropathy and erythema nodosum the

most frequent ones.

Overall, prior to conception 27 patients (59%) had been treated with systemic steroids, 28

(64%) with thiopurines, 3 (7%) with methotrexate, 1 (2%) with tofacitinib, and 1 (2%) with

cyclosporine. In addition, 28 patients (64%) had been treated with biologic agents.

JCC

Manuscript Doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjac050

Medical management of IBD during pregnancy

The vast majority of pregnancies occurred spontaneously as only one (2%) occurred after

in vitro fertilisation. All pregnancies were singleton. Eighteen patients (41%) had active

disease at conception, 25 (57%) at the 1st trimester, 32 (73%) at the 2nd trimester, and 18

(41%) at the 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester of gestation. Several examinations were performed during

pregnancy without complications (Figure 1). Of note, 6 patients (14%) underwent

colonoscopy, 14 (32%) sigmoidoscopy, and 18 (41%) magnetic resonance imaging.

Medications received during pregnancy are summarised in figure 1. Twenty-seven patients

were exposed to steroids, 10 patients (23%), and 25 (57%) to biologic agents (11 to

infliximab, 10 to adalimumab, 2 to certolizumab, 2 to vedolizumab, and 2 to ustekinumab).

Four patients needed to be admitted to the hospital for other complications than IBD: 1

hyperemesis, 1 gallstones, 1 hyperkalemia, and 1 viral intestinal infection.

Surgery indications and outcomes

Indications, characteristics and outcomes of surgery are summarised in figure 2. The

majority of surgeries were performed in University hospitals (93%). Most of them were

emergency surgeries (77%). Mean gestational age at surgery was 22 weeks (SD=9 weeks).

Eighteen percent (n=8) of the surgeries were performed during the 1st, 55% (n=24) during

the 2<sup>nd</sup>, and 27% (n=12) during the 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester of gestation. The majority of surgeries were

abdominal surgeries (n=42, 95%), 59% (n=26) by laparotomy, and an ostomy was

performed in 57% (n=25) of the patients (temporary in 92% of the cases).



The main indications for surgery were the onset of complications associated with stricturing or fistulising CD and severe flare of UC. In consequence, the most frequent types of surgeries were intestinal resection (n=26, 59%), and colectomy/subtotal colectomy (n=10, 23%).

Early induction of labour was performed in 3 (%) out of 24 women operated on in the 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester of gestation, and in 7 (%) out of 12 women who underwent surgery during the 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester of gestation. Only one patient experienced a complication during surgery (haemoperitoneum). The patient had haemorrhagic shock, was admitted to the intensive care unit, and the foetus died *in utero*. In addition, 12 patients (27%) had postsurgical complications, most frequently intraabdominal infection. No women died.

There were 4 foetal losses (11%) in our cohort, 2 of them during surgery and 2 others during the postoperative period. A patient underwent subtotal colectomy by laparotomy at week 18 of gestation due to acute severe UC flare, which caused a septic shock, and the foetus was lost during the procedure. Another patient with CD underwent surgery by laparoscopy at week 39<sup>th</sup> of gestation due to intestinal obstruction. The patient suffered a haemoperitoneum and a haemorrhagic shock and the foetus was lost during the surgical intervention. The 3<sup>rd</sup> patient underwent urgent surgery due to acute severe UC at week 16 of gestation (a subtotal colectomy by laparotomy). The patient developed an abdominal abscess in the postoperative period, which led to infection of the amniotic fluid and caused miscarriage at week 18 of gestation. Finally, a patient underwent subtotal colectomy by laparoscopy due to acute severe UC flare at week 10 of gestation and suffered a miscarriage 2 weeks later.

JCC NOMINAL OF COMMES AND COMMES

Manuscript Doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjac050

Delivery and newborn's outcomes

There were 40 (%) live-born children and 4 miscarriages/stillbirths (1 in the 1st, 2 in the

2<sup>nd</sup>, and 1 in the 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester). Mean time of gestation at delivery was 36 weeks (SD=5

weeks). In 15 patients (37.5%), labour started spontaneously while it was induced in 28

(62.5%) cases: 32.5% programmed and 30% urgent induction of labour. Reasons for urgent

induction of labour were: IBD complications in 5 cases, infection in 2, increased labour and

cervical shortening in 2, abnormal cardiotocographic pattern in 2, and preeclampsia in 1

patient. With respect to programmed induction of labour, the main reason was the presence

of IBD complications. Three women had complications during delivery: 2 had infections, and

1 received intravenous antibiotics due to active perianal disease. Of note, 70% of deliveries

were by C-section.

A total of 25 newborns (61%) were premature, 19 (47%) had low birth weight and 9

(22.5%) were small for gestational age. Seventeen babies (42%) had prolonged

hospitalisation after birth for the following reasons: prematurity in 8 cases, respiratory

distress, neonatal sepsis, patent ductus arteriosus and jaundice in 1, neonatal abstinence

syndrome due to opioid use during pregnancy in 1, low birth weight in 1, respiratory distress

in 1, newborn jaundice in 1, need of nasogastric tube for feeding in 1, management of the

percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy in 1 and other reasons in 2 cases. Ten newborns

were admitted to the intensive care unit mainly due to respiratory distress.

One newborn born at week of gestation 40 had malformations: cleft palate, ocular and

skeletal malformations. The newborn had feeding difficulties and needed a percutaneous

endoscopic gastrostomy. The mother had been treated with both infliximab and systemic

steroids for one month before surgery (at week 13 of gestation).

JCCC JOHNAL of CHOMS and COURS

Manuscript Doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjac050

**Discussion** 

To our knowledge, this is the largest reported series of women operated on due to IBD during pregnancy; in addition, it provides updated current information on the outcome of surgery in these patients in the era of biological therapy. The main reasons for surgery were similar to those previously described (fistulising or stricturing complications in CD, or severe refractory flare in UC)<sup>7,10</sup>. However, in the present study maternal and foetal outcomes such as miscarriage, stillbirth and maternal/foetal death was markedly lower than previously described. This is most likely due to improved surgical techniques, medical and supporting care of the mother and the offspring. Of note, more than 80% of patients who underwent surgery during the 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester and about 40% of those who were operated on during the 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester managed to continue the pregnancy.

Literature on the outcome of surgery due to IBD in pregnant patients is very limited. Killeen et al performed a systematic review of the available literature to identify optimal surgical management strategies for complicated and medically refractory IBD during pregnancy and the puerperium<sup>7</sup>. A total of 32 articles reporting 86 cases over a 60-year period (1950-2015) were finally included. A total of 56 patients had UC; of them, there were 8 maternal deaths (19%), which occurred before 1980, and 15 (35%) foetal deaths. With respect to CD, 20 patients were included; there was no maternal death and 1 miscarriage at week 17 of gestation. In addition, Germain et al recently published the largest series of pregnant patients operated due to CD in France<sup>10</sup>. A total of 15 cases operated on from 1992 to 2015 were included. Maternal mortality rate was 6.7% and neonatal mortality rate 9.1%. In addition, there were 2 miscarriages and 2 abortions. These cases were not included in this study.



Although it seemed to be an improvement in maternal, foetal and perinatal mortality, the morbidity and the prevalence of complications were high and similar to those previously reported. The prevalence of maternal complications during surgery was low; however, one patient had haemoperitoneum and a haemorrhagic shock, which caused foetal death. In the postsurgical period, one in three patients experienced complications. With respect to delivery, in one-third of cases the labour had to be urgently induced due to the onset of maternal or foetal complications, resulting in one of the highest C-section rates reported among IBD-patients<sup>12</sup>. Morbidity in the newborns were very high, and highlights the complexity associated with maternal surgery during pregnancy.

The risk of disease relapse in pregnant women with IBD is similar to that in non-pregnant patients. When the mother is in remission at conception, the remission is usually maintained during pregnancy; thus, planning conception when the patient is in remission is the best way of avoiding surgery<sup>13–15</sup>. On the contrary, when IBD is active at conception, the disease usually remains active or deteriorates in pregnancy<sup>13–15</sup>. In this sense, over 40% of the patients in our cohort had active IBD at conception, over 50% at the 1<sup>st</sup> trimester, over 70% at the 2<sup>nd</sup> and over 40% at the 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester of gestation. The vast majority of pregnant IBD patients can be managed with medical treatment in case of disease relapse and most of the medications are of low risk during pregnancy and breastfeeding<sup>2–6</sup>. However, in cases of severe exacerbations refractory to medical treatment or complications, patients will have to undergo surgery. If this is the case, a multidisciplinary approach (including gastroenterologists, surgeons, obstetricians, neonatologists, among others) should be taken, and ideally in a tertiary care facility. It is important that patients and physicians responsible for their care are aware that pregnant IBD patients should not stop the medication during pregnancy and the puerperium to avoid disease relapse.

Some indications for surgery in pregnant IBD patients, such as perforation or complete obstruction, are clear. However, the indication for surgery due to medically refractory IBD is

JCC HOURNAL of CROWN'S and COLITIS

Manuscript Doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjac050

more challenging. Physical, psychological and immunological changes in pregnancy, together with the reluctance of both the patient and the clinicians to operate owing to the fear of maternal and foetal morbidity and mortality might delay surgery in some cases, which might lead to worse outcomes.

With respect to the difficulties for the assessment of disease severity, the pregnant uterus may make it difficult to perform an abdominal examination to rule out an acute abdomen. Haemodilution produces a decrease in haemoglobin and serum albumin. Heart rate in pregnant women is increased by 10%. In addition, clinical scoring systems for assessing the severity of IBD or the response to medical treatment, which include laboratory parameters affected by pregnancy and patients' weight, have not been validated in pregnant population; thus, they should be used with caution. In this scenario, objective tools for disease evaluation should be used. With respect to abdominal imaging, it is important for identifying complications associated with IBD during pregnancy. To avoid radiation, ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging without gadolinium are preferred over CT scan<sup>16–18</sup>.

It may be necessary to perform endoscopy either as part of the diagnostic work-up of IBD or to evaluate the activity of the disease and rule out causes of refractoriness. In our series, over one-third of patients underwent either colonoscopy or sigmoidoscopy, without complications. Data on the safety of endoscopy in pregnant patients with IBD are scarce. In general, it has been reported that both sigmoidoscopy and colonoscopy are of low risk in pregnant IBD patients and can guide the decision making process in a high proportion of patients<sup>19–21</sup>.

Some authors have suggested that surgery is of lowest risk when undertaken during the 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester —there are higher risks of miscarriage in the 1<sup>st</sup> trimester and increased risks of maternal/foetal morbidity and mortality during the 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester<sup>7,11</sup>. Nevertheless, and despite



these considerations, once the indication of surgery is established, it should not be delayed, as it might be associated with worse outcomes.

With respect to the surgical approach, a minimally invasive approach is advocated by a number of authors from extrapolation from general surgical procedures during pregnancy or from surgery in non-pregnant IBD patients<sup>7,22–24</sup>. Laparoscopy is associated with smaller incisions, less adhesion formation, fewer complications and quicker recovery than the open approach, and it would be the operative approach of choice mainly during the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester, being technically more difficult during the 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester. In the systematic review performed by Killien et al, only 2 out of 86 patients were operated on by laparoscopic approach<sup>7</sup>. In the cohort published by Germain et al, 4 out of 15 surgeries (27%) were performed by laparoscopy<sup>10</sup>. In our series, 40% of the procedures were performed by laparoscopy.

In previous series, many authors favour subtotal colectomy and end ileostomy in UC pregnant patients regardless of the indication<sup>7</sup>. Some indications such as ischemia, perforation or intractable bleeding might require colectomy; however, removing the rectum is not without risk to the mother and the foetus. If a major haemorrhage occurs from the engorged pelvic venous plexus during a surgery involving the rectum, it may be even necessary an emergency hysterectomy. Furthermore, dehiscence of a close rectal stump has also devastating consequences. With respect to CD, the preferred surgical approach is influenced by the type of complication and disease location besides factors due to the pregnancy. Killeen and colleagues suggest exteriorisation of the bowel; primary anastomosis might be associated with anastomotic leakage or ongoing sepsis, which might cause foetal death<sup>7</sup>. In our series, an ostomy was performed in about 60% of the cases, similarly to data reported by Germain et al<sup>10</sup>.



In our study, there was one stillbirth at week 39 of gestation in a CD patient who underwent surgery by laparoscopy due to intestinal obstruction. The patient suffered a haemoperitoneum and a haemorrhagic shock, and the foetus was lost during the surgical intervention. Surgical and obstetrical management should consider maternal and foetal status; however, during the 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester of gestation delivery by C-section before surgery might be preferred and should be discussed in a multidisciplinary team including patients' opinion.

Two of the 4 miscarriages/stillbirths in our cohort occurred during surgery and the other two, 2 weeks after surgery. Rasmussen et al examined the association between non-obstetric abdominal surgery during pregnancy and the birth outcomes using data from the national Danish registries covering 1997-2015<sup>11</sup>. The absolute risk of miscarriage was stratified by time since surgery. Authors observed that for miscarriage, the risk was highest the first week after surgery and levelled out after 2 weeks. These findings suggest that stricter foetal monitoring should be done during the first 2 weeks after surgery to detect complications as early as possible.

Our study has limitations mainly derived from its retrospective design. First, detailed information about surgical techniques was not available in most cases. Secondly, although the investigators were asked to make an effort to identify cases, we recognize that this could be difficult and could introduce bias into the study results. However, these are very complicated and rare cases, which will have continued to be followed up for IBD, so it is relatively straightforward to identify them. Although there could be a recall bias towards the more severe cases, our results indicate that the evolution during and after surgery, although still a complicated situation, is better than those previously described. Finally, most patients had been managed in referral/university centres with a wide experience in IBD treatment, capable of providing advanced supporting care to the patients in the perioperative period and to the neonates in the postpartum, which could bias the results. Our study has several



strengths: to our knowledge it is the largest series to date assessing the outcomes of surgery due to IBD during pregnancy. In addition, it is representative of what happens nowadays, allowing the extrapolation of the results to our current population. Of note, all patients were operated on from 1998, a high proportion of patients were exposed to thiopurines and biologics, and 40% of the abdominal surgeries were performed by laparoscopy.

In conclusion, we have reported the largest series of patients operated on due to IBD during pregnancy. We have shown that although maternal and neonatal mortality seems to be lower than in previous decades, maternal and foetal morbidity is still high. These results emphasise the extreme complexity of this clinical situation and the importance of a multidisciplinary management where the indication of surgery, the surgical approach, the timings for surgery and delivery and the perioperative, obstetric and neonatal management are performed in the best possible conditions.



### **REFERENCES**

- 1. van der Woude CJ., Ardizzone S., Bengtson MB., Fiorino G., Fraser G., Katsanos K., et al. The second European evidenced-based consensus on reproduction and pregnancy in inflammatory bowel disease. *J Crohns Colitis* 2015;**9**(2):107–24. Doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jju006.
- 2. Casanova MJ., Chaparro M., Domènech E., Barreiro-de Acosta M., Bermejo F., Iglesias E., et al. Safety of thiopurines and anti-TNF-α drugs during pregnancy in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2013;**108**(3):433–40. Doi: 10.1038/ajg.2012.430.
- 3. Gisbert JP., Chaparro M. Safety of anti-TNF agents during pregnancy and breastfeeding in women with inflammatory bowel disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2013;**108**(9):1426–38. Doi: 10.1038/ajg.2013.171.
- 4. Gisbert JP., Chaparro M. Safety of New Biologics (Vedolizumab and Ustekinumab) and Small Molecules (Tofacitinib) During Pregnancy: A Review. *Drugs* 2020;**80**(11):1085–100. Doi: 10.1007/s40265-020-01346-4.
- 5. Chaparro M., Verreth A., Lobaton T., Gravito-Soares E., Julsgaard M., Savarino E., et al. Long-Term Safety of In Utero Exposure to Anti-TNFα Drugs for the Treatment of Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Results from the Multicenter European TEDDY Study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2018;**113**(3):396–403. Doi: 10.1038/ajg.2017.501.
- 6. Chaparro M., Gisbert JP. Surgery for Crohn's disease during pregnancy: a difficult decision. *United Eur Gastroenterol J* 2020:633–4. Doi: 10.1177/2050640620928181.
- 7. Killeen S., Gunn J., Hartley J. Surgical management of complicated and medically refractory inflammatory bowel disease during pregnancy. *Color Dis Off J Assoc Coloproctology Gt Britain Irel* 2017;**19**(2):123–38. Doi: 10.1111/codi.13413.
- 8. Raval M., Choy MC., De Cruz P. Salvage therapy for acute severe ulcerative colitis during pregnancy. *BMJ Case Rep* 2018;**2018**. Doi: 10.1136/bcr-2017-223540.
- 9. Ollech JE., Avni-Biron I., Glick L., Haider H., Dalal SR., Micic D., et al. Effective Treatment of Acute Severe Ulcerative Colitis in Pregnancy Is Associated With Good Maternal and Fetal Outcomes. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol Off Clin Pract J Am Gastroenterol Assoc* 2020. Doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2020.10.035.
- 10. Germain A., Chateau T., Beyer-Berjot L., Zerbib P., Lakkis Z., Amiot A., et al. Surgery for Crohn's disease during pregnancy: A nationwide survey. *United Eur Gastroenterol J* 2020;**8**(6):736–40. Doi: 10.1177/2050640620921060.
- 11. Rasmussen AS., Christiansen CF., Ulrichsen SP., Uldbjerg N., Nørgaard M. Nonobstetric abdominal surgery during pregnancy and birth outcomes: A Danish registrybased cohort study. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2020;**99**(4):469–76. Doi:



- 10.1111/aogs.13775.
- 12. Julsgaard M., Christensen LA., Gibson PR., Gearry RB., Fallingborg J., Hvas CL., et al. Concentrations of Adalimumab and Infliximab in Mothers and Newborns, and Effects on Infection. *Gastroenterology* 2016;**151**(1):110–9. Doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2016.04.002.
- 13. Nguyen GC., Seow CH., Maxwell C., Huang V., Leung Y., Jones J., et al. The Toronto Consensus Statements for the Management of Inflammatory Bowel Disease in Pregnancy. *Gastroenterology* 2016;**150**(3):734-757.e1. Doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2015.12.003.
- 14. van der Woude CJ., Kolacek S., Dotan I., Oresland T., Vermeire S., Munkholm P., et al. European evidenced-based consensus on reproduction in inflammatory bowel disease. *J Crohns Colitis* 2010;**4**(5):493–510. Doi: 10.1016/j.crohns.2010.07.004.
- 15. Mahadevan U., Robinson C., Bernasko N., Boland B., Chambers C., Dubinsky M., et al. Inflammatory Bowel Disease in Pregnancy Clinical Care Pathway: A Report From the American Gastroenterological Association IBD Parenthood Project Working Group. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2019;**220**(4):308–23. Doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2019.02.027.
- 16. Stern MD., Kopylov U., Ben-Horin S., Apter S., Amitai MM. Magnetic resonance enterography in pregnant women with Crohn's disease: case series and literature review. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2014;**14**:146. Doi: 10.1186/1471-230X-14-146.
- 17. Leung Y., Shim HH., Wilkens R., Tanyingoh D., Afshar EE., Sharifi N., et al. The Role of Bowel Ultrasound in Detecting Subclinical Inflammation in Pregnant Women with Crohn's Disease. *J Can Assoc Gastroenterol* 2019;**2**(4):153–60. Doi: 10.1093/jcag/gwy062.
- 18. Flanagan E., Wright EK., Begun J., Bryant R V., An Y-K., Ross AL., et al. Monitoring Inflammatory Bowel Disease in Pregnancy Using Gastrointestinal Ultrasonography. *J Crohns Colitis* 2020;**14**(10):1405–12. Doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjaa082.
- 19. de Lima A., Zelinkova Z., van der Woude CJ. A prospective study of the safety of lower gastrointestinal endoscopy during pregnancy in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *J Crohns Colitis* 2015;**9**(7):519–24. Doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjv079.
- 20. Ko MS., Rudrapatna VA., Avila P., Mahadevan U. Safety of Flexible Sigmoidoscopy in Pregnant Patients with Known or Suspected Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Dig Dis Sci* 2020;**65**(10):2979–85. Doi: 10.1007/s10620-020-06122-8.
- 21. Ludvigsson JF., Lebwohl B., Ekbom A., Kiran RP., Green PHR., Höijer J., et al. Outcomes of Pregnancies for Women Undergoing Endoscopy While They Were Pregnant: A Nationwide Cohort Study. *Gastroenterology* 2017;**152**(3):554-563.e9. Doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2016.10.016.
- 22. Spinelli A., Bonovas S., Burisch J., Kucharzik T., Adamina M., Annese V., et al. ECCO Guidelines on Therapeutics in Ulcerative Colitis: Surgical Treatment. *J Crohns*



Colitis 2021. Doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjab177.

- 23. Bemelman WA., Warusavitarne J., Sampietro GM., Serclova Z., Zmora O., Luglio G., et al. ECCO-ESCP Consensus on Surgery for Crohn's Disease. *J Crohns Colitis* 2018;**12**(1):1–16. Doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjx061.
- 24. Adamina M., Bonovas S., Raine T., Spinelli A., Warusavitarne J., Armuzzi A., et al. ECCO Guidelines on Therapeutics in Crohn's Disease: Surgical Treatment. *J Crohn's Colitis* 2020. Doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjz187.





Table 1. Patients' characteristics.

	1
Age at IBD diagnosis, years (mean, SD)	25 (6)
Time from IBD diagnosis to pregnancy, months (mean, SD)	69 (62)
Age at conception, years (mean, SD)	31 (5)
Diagnosis of IBD during pregnancy, n (%)	7 (16)
Family history of IBD, n (%)	7 (16)
Co-morbidities*, n (%)	13 (30)
Extraintestinal manifestations, n (%)	12 (27)
Previous surgery due to IBD, n (%)	15 (34)
Previous pregnancies, n (%)	24 (55)
IBD type	
Crohn's disease, n (%)	33 (75)
L1, n (%)	12 (36)
L2, n (%)	7 (21)
L3, n (%)	14 (43)
B1, n (%)	5 (15)
B2, n (%)	11 (33)
B3, n (%)	17 (51)
Perianal disease, n (%)	9 (24)
Ulcerative colitis, n (%)	10 (23)
Extensive colitis, n (%)	9 (90)
Left-sided colitis, n (%)	1 (10)
IBD unclassified, n (%)	1 (2)
Previous treatments for IBD	
Thiopurines, n (%)	28 (64)
Methotrexate, n (%)	3 (7)
Biologics, n (%)	28 (64)

IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; SD, standard deviation; n, number of patients

<sup>\*1 (2%)</sup> arterial hypertension, 1 (2%) diabetes mellitus, 1 (2%) thyroid disease, 1 (2%) allergy, and 3 (7%) had asthma.



Figure 1. Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) activity, complementary examinations during pregnancy and treatments during pregnancy.

### **ACTIVE DISEASE**

- Conception: 18 patients (41%)

- 1st trimester: 25 patients (57%)

- 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester: 32 patients (73%)

- 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester: 18 patients (41%)

### **COMPLEMENTARY EXAMINATIONS**

Abdominal ultrasound: 24 patients (54%)

- Endoanal ultrasound: 3 patients (7%)

- CT scan: 2 patients (5%)

- MRI: 18 patients (41%)

- Upper endoscopy: 1 patient (2.5%)

- Colonoscopy: 6 patients (14%)

- Sigmoidoscopy: 14 patients (32%)



# NON IBD HOSPITALISATIONS

- Gallstones: 1 patient

- Hyperemesis: 1 patient

- Hyperkalemia: 1 patient

Viral diarrhoea: 1 patient

### IBD TREATMENTS DURING PREGNANCY

- Steroids: 27 patients (61%)

- Thiopurines: 10 patients (23%)

- Biologics: 25 patients (57%)

- Biologics and thiopurines: 9 patients (20%)

Computed tomography, CT; magnetic resonance imaging, MRI



Figure 2. Indications, characteristics and outcomes of surgery due to Inflammatory bowel disease during pregnancy.

### TRIMESTER OF GESTATION AT SURGERY

1<sup>st</sup> trimester: 8 patients (18%) 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester: 24 patients (55%) 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester: 12 patients (27%)

### INDICATIONS OF SURGERY

- 15 (34%) intestinal obstruction
- 12 (27%) abdominal abscess/perforation
- 10 (23%) severe colitis
- 3 (7%) severe inflammation in Crohn's disease
- 2 (4.5%) perianal disease
- 1 (2.3%) intestinal restorative surgery
- 1 (2.3%) rectovaginal fistulae

### **CHARACTERISTICS OF SURGERY**

- 34 (77%) urgent surgeries
- 42 (95%) abdominal surgeries
- 26 (59%) laparotomy
- 25 (57%) ostomy



**FOETAL COMPLICATIONS** 

5 (11%) complications: 2

# TYPE OF SURGERY

- 26 (59%) intestinal resection
- 10 (23%) colectomy/subtotal colectomy
- 2 (4.5%) abdominal abscess drainage
- 2 (4.5%) perianal surgery
- 1 (2.3%) reposition of volvulus of pelvic pouch
- 1 (2.3%) adhesiolysis
- 1 (2.3%) end-ileostomy
- 1 (2.3%) ileorectal anastomosis

### PREGNANCY TERMINATION AT SURGERY

- 1st trimester: 0/7 pregnancies (1 miscarriage)
- 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester: 3/22 pregnancies (2 miscarriages)
  - 3 <sup>rd</sup> trimester: 7/11 pregnancies (1 stillbirth)

### MOTHERS' COMPLICATIONS

- 1 (2.3%) haemoperitoneum
- 12 (27%) postsurgical complications (mainly abdominal abscesses)





Figure 3. Characteristics and outcomes of delivery in patients operated on due to Inflammatory bowel disease during pregnancy.

### **DELIVERY CHARACTERISTICS**

- Mean weeks of gestation at surgery: 22
- Mean weeks of gestation at delivery: 35
- 15 spontaneous deliveries (37.5%)
- 13 programmed labour induction (32.5%)
  - 10 due to IBD complications
  - 1 mother's conditions
- 12 urgent labour induction (30%)
  - -5 due to IBD complications
  - -2 infections
  - -2 onset of labour and cervical shortening
  - -2 abnormal cardiotocography pattern
  - -1 preeclampsia
- Mode of delivery
  - 28 (70%) C-section
  - 12 (30%) vaginal
- 20 patients (51%) needed to prolong hospitalisation mainly due to concommitant surgical intervention



### **NEWBORNS OUTCOMES**

- 4 (9%) miscarriages/stillbirths
  - 39 weeks of gestation (at surgery)
  - 18 weeks of gestation (at surgery)
  - 18 weeks of gestation (2 weeks after surgery)
  - 12 weeks of gestation (2 weeks after surgery)
- 9 (23%) healthy newborns
- 25 (61%) preterm delivery
- 19 (47%) low birth weight
- Mean birth weight 2,450 g
- 1 (2.3%) malformation
- 13 (42%) needed hospitalisation
- 10 (25%) were admitted in the intensive care unit mainly due to respiratory distress

