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#### Abstract

This study was conducted to examine different factors related to teaching and learning English language receptive skills influencing students' test performance in a metropolitan city of Mongolia. The respondents for this study were English language teachers ( $\mathrm{N}=22$ ), and $6^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade students ( $\mathrm{N}=214$ ). A survey was conducted by using a questionnaire for information gathering about teaching and learning strategies and an online test. The results showed that students' learning strategies and attitudes were related to their test performances. Traditional teaching material and parental education were shown to be the best indicators of students' achievement. Internet connection and devices were also significant predictors of online test performance.
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## INTRODUCTION

In this globalized and technological era, education is considered as a first step for human success in life. Therefore, students' educational performance remains the most important consideration for educators. Many researchers have found factors that influence students' success in language learning. Zare (2012) reported the following factors in his literature review which influenced students' language learning strategies: age, sex, attitude, motivation, and language proficiency. Crosnoe, Johnson, and Elder (2004) also identified multiple factors and grouped them as student factors, family factors, school factors, and peer factors. A number of studies (Lightbown \& Nina, 2013; Macaro, 2010; Fidler, 2002) have investigated the factors that affect students' learning achievements and test performances.

English language learning and teaching is always under discussion in Mongolia since the Mongolian government added English as a second language to its language policy in 2005. Factors affecting English language learning in Mongolia have not been well researched yet. The ministry of education makes English language learning and teaching a priority in Mongolia.

Many projects, standards, and curriculums have been used successfully. The core curriculums for primary, basic, and complete secondary education are the most important documents guiding English language teachers. The core curriculum for basic education (Ministry of Education, Culture and Science, 2015) includes grades 6-9 and provides guidance for each subject including syllabus, teaching methods, and assessments. In this curriculum, English language students in $6^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ grade are required to meet the English language A1to A2 levels of the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (CEFR, 2001) and students are taught to learn to work on unseen text using acquired receptive skills. The main assessment criteria of English receptive skills in this core curriculum for $6^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grades are focused on the ability to follow activity instructions, to understand personal information, to distinguish an author's main and supporting idea, to guess the main idea/content of information, and to recognize different types of texts. This study used these criteria to test student performance and examine some factors that might influence students' English language receptive skills' achievement in an urban setting.

In this study, I will discuss teacher-related factors, consisting of teaching strategies, teaching materials, teachers' encouragement of out-of-class activities, and teachers' experience;, studentrelated factors, consisting of learning strategies, students' attitude, study hours, school-related factors consisting of school location, school technology supply;, and demographic factors, consisting of students' background (age, gender, and parents' education). These numerous factors can be organized into levels.

## THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Bertolini, Stremmel, and Thorngren (2012) explained that different levels of factors that impact on students' achievement can be shown on Bronfenbrenner's (1979) bio-ecological model. The model depicts different levels that surround a student and it shows personal factors as the most influential factor, interactions with others as the second most influential factor, and communities as the next most influential factor. See figure 1.


Figure 1: Bronfenbrenner's Bio-ecological Model (1979).
Similarly, Széll (2013) identified several factors affecting student achievement. At the level of the student he included gender, place of living, family background, attitudes to learning, motivation, and network of connections. For the level of schools he included infrastructure, location, size of school, atmosphere, and number and composition of students. And, regarding teachers as another level, he included professional training, attitudes to teaching, motivation, and cooperation. Santiago (2002) classified teaching technology as an important factor and defined it as the interaction between teachers and students and the materials and resources used in the classroom. Other relevant factors Santiago explored included curriculum content, methods for assessing student progress, class size and teaching loads. For the school environment, he included parental and community involvement, peer effects, internal organization of schools, leadership, academic norms, safety, and quality of facilities.

Crosnoe, Johnson, and Elder (2004) termed factors as student factors, family factors, school factors, and peer factors. Lightbown and Nina (2013) and Macaro (2010) identified two main factors: internal factors (age, personality, intrinsic motivation, experiences, cognition, and native language) and external factors (curriculum, instruction, culture and status, extrinsic motivation, and access to native speakers) that influence students' second language acquisition.

## Teacher-related factors

The relationship between teachers' instructional techniques and student achievement is one of the most studied areas in elementary and secondary education. Numerous studies have investigated the influence of teaching-related factors on student learning achievement, not only for English language achievement, but also for other science subjects as well. Schroeder, Scot, Tolson, Huang, and Lee (2007) identified the extant body of recent studies in science teaching to provide research-based evidence of effective teaching strategies. They found "Enhanced Context Strategies" and collaborative learning are related to students' previous experiences, and they involve activities such as group projects. Fidler (2002) examined which teaching strategies and techniques observed in the classroom were significant predictors of student achievement in $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ graders in a large US school system as measured by the spring 2000 SAT/9 scores on reading, mathematics, and language subjects. Fidler's results indicated that there were specific observed teaching techniques that impact student achievement in reading and language. The use of classroom management skills was also a significant predictor of reading achievement and language achievement. Their results also indicated that students who had experienced teachers scored significantly better in math and language.

Quantitative research has suggested teacher quality and good classroom practices have greater effect on students' achievement while qualitative literature has emphasized the value of individualizing instruction, teachers' professional development, and authentic assessments (Wenglinsky, 2002). Klem and Connell (2004) reported that teachers' support of student engagement by creating a caring, well-structured learning environment has been shown to be a strong predictor of students successfully learning. Ganyaupfu (2013) stated a teacher-student interactive method followed by a student-centered method could be the most effective in developing students' academic performance. He added that in order to have such a good classroom practice, teachers need to have good professional development. Additionally, Hayes (2014) highlighted teachers' professional development needs to be supported by a good school policy trying to encourage teachers to incorporate new methods into classroom instruction.

## Student-related factors

A positive relationship has also been found between participants' reading comprehension test performance and the use of learning strategies. Ghafournia and Afghari (2013) examined readerrelated and text-related factors that significantly influenced students' reading comprehension passages. Bernaus and Gardner (2008) concluded that teachers' traditional strategies were not related to their students' English achievement, but attitude, students' motivation, language anxiety, and students' perceptions of learning strategies tended to be related to their language achievement.

Also, Nist and Simpson (1985) indicated that classroom instructors were responsible for actively teaching learning strategies to students and it was not enough to just realize a student's knowledge or awareness of a strategy. Teacher ingenuity, not necessarily published materials (e.g., workbooks, kits, etc.), were found to be needed to assist students in learning how to apply and regulate new strategies to future tasks. Some studies showed students' language learning strategies also can affect students' learning achievement. Marefat and Barbari (2009) found students paid more attention to the use of linguistic forms and language responses. Additional they found students used more receptive skills than productive ones.

Zhang, Liu, Zhao, and Xie (2006) studied Chinese EFL learners' test-taking strategy use and its effect on students' test performance. They found that significant correlations emerged between compensation and social strategies and students' test performance. They concluded that teachers should encourage EFL learners to use effective English test-taking strategies whenever possible, since English test-taking strategy could, indeed, affect students' test performance, at least for certain aspects of performance in English. Hulin and Yulian (2016) have shown that students' English learning beliefs were another strong predictor of English achievement. In their study, student personality also appeared to influence learning beliefs and learning achievement. Another researcher, Pesce (n.d.), mentioned five additional factors that may affect ESL students' test scores: self-study time (extra work at home), absenteeism, test anxiety, understanding the text structure, and focus on fluency. Wise, Barners, Harvey, and Plake (2009) showed that feelings of anxiety toward computers and lack of experience using computers had a debilitating effect on student performance when a computer-based test was used. Also, they found student attitude towards time allocation for study per day were positively related to their performance.

## Demographic factors

Socio-economic status is frequently indicated as the main factor of students' quality of academic performance. Farooq, Chaudhry, Shafiq, and Berhanu (2011) found socioeconomic status and gender significantly affected students' achievement. Girls performed better on Math and English. Hijazi and Naqvi (2006) explored factors affecting college students' performance in Pakistan. Their result showed that mother's education and age affected their children's performance. It is clear that a lot of factors have been shown to impact student performance. Some similar factors were chosen for this current study with results that were compatible with Farooq., et al.'s (2011) and Hijazi and Naqvi's (2006) study results.

Széll (2012) indicated the socio-economic situation of the individual and the school, together with classroom processes, and the atmosphere of the school can all be included in the socioeconomic context. EI-Omari (2016) was concerned about factors which the researcher believed to have a prominent relationship with learning English in Jordan. First, students whose parents
were good at English reported greater achievement of language learning than students whose parents were not good at English. Second, students from small families reported better English language learning achievement than those living in big families. Third, students of high-income families reported achieving higher grades at learning English than those of low-income families.

## School-related factors

Lai, Sadoulet, and Janvry (2009) included the teacher-student ratio, average class size, number of years the school has been in operation, school size, playground area, number of libraries, number of computer laboratories, and number of media facilities per 100 students in their school factors. Ariyarathne (2013) similarly mentioned that school factors include school structure, studentbody composition, and school climate. Students' educational outcomes and academic success were found to be greatly influenced by the type of school the students attend. His result indicated private schools tended to have both better funding and smaller class sizes than public schools. Ariyarathne (2013) found private schools have more opportunity to afford teaching and learning recourses which can raise academic achievement more than in public schools.

Schlesser (2004) examined how after-school activities may have encouraged students to excel in academics, and how teacher bias toward participating students parents' influence their children's participation. Students choose to participate in after-school activities because of their interests and a resulting achievement in academics directly contributed to student outcomes. Hasey (2014) said a curriculum is also one of the school factors which allows teachers and children to have opportunities to engage in meaningful language use and which also provides opportunities for considerable recycling of target language in new contexts. Ariyarathne (2013) added average number of computers per classroom as a factor with a high impact on learning achievement.

## METHODOLOGY

## Participants

The populations for this study were secondary school English language teachers $(\mathrm{N}=73)$ and $6^{\text {th }}$ $(\mathrm{N}=99)$ and $8^{\text {th }}(\mathrm{N}=115)$ grade students from a metropolitan city in Mongolia. At the first stage, 22 teachers were selected conveniently from a total of 73 English teachers. In the second stage, 214 students from these $6^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade teachers were selected randomly from each of 12 schools.

## Procedure

The study was delimited to only teacher-related and student-related factors. Teacher-related factors included teaching strategies, teaching materials, teachers' encouragement of out-of-class
activities; student-related factors included students' learning activities, students' attitude towards language learning, students' study time, and students' parental education. The test performance was measured by their achievement scores on an English language test delivered online using the eDia platform (eDia.hu, 2009).

## Instruments

The teaching strategy questionnaire was self-made and consisted of 82 items (background 6, prelistening 9 , while-listening 10 , post-listening 10 , pre-reading 9 , while-reading 9 , post-reading 7 , teaching material 12 , encouragement 10) using five Likert scales (from never true of me to almost always true of me). A learning strategy questionnaire consisting of 13 blocks of questions was developed based on numerous international questionnaires (PISA, 2015, Huseynova, 2007, Leppänen, 2007) but with a few extra items added to account for Mongolia-specific differences in the educational system. Only the listening attitude and strategy for listening attitude items were different for $6^{\text {th }}$ graders. These items were based on an online test of English Language receptive skills content, which was taken after the survey questionnaire ( 37 items for $6^{\text {th }}$ graders including background, listening and reading attitude, and listening and reading strategies; 23 items for $8^{\text {th }}$ graders including background, reading attitude, and reading strategies). Strategies were assessed on a five level Likert scale ( $1=$ strongly agree; $5=$ strongly disagree). The English language test used in this study was developed by Csapó \& Nikolov (2001) for assessing Hungarian students' English language receptive skills. There were four tasks (two listening and two reading) in $6^{\text {th }}$ grade test and five tasks (all reading) in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade test.

## RESULTS

Results will be discussed regarding the following research questions:

## 1. How do student-related factors affect students' test performance?

Students' gender, parents education, study hours, learning strategies, students' attitudes towards learning English receptive skills were used in a liner regression analysis to explore links between these factors and test performance. Regarding students' background factors, their fathers mostly ( $60.9 \%$ ) had secondary education level and only $21.8 \%$ of fathers had a higher education level and mothers (54.6\%) had secondary education level and $36.2 \%$ of them had a higher education level. Students ages ranged from 11-14 and $37 \%$ of them were males and $63 \%$ of them were females. Students self-reported study hours showed that $45 \%$ of them studied 5-10 minutes, $20.7 \%$ studied $10-15$ minutes, and $15.9 \%$ studied more than 15 minutes on listening tasks and $43.1 \%$ studied $5-10$ minutes, $21 \%$ 10-15 minutes, and $17.7 \%$ studied more than 15 minutes on reading task for practice per day. Most of the students studied only 5-10 minutes on receptive
skill tasks per day and only few of them spent more than 15 minutes. Six extracted factors, which were pointed out in section 4.2.2.3 from the learning strategy questionnaire, were run as independent variables in a multiple liner regression analysis to explore the effects on performance in both grades' tests.

The results, shown in Table 1, shows that parental education ( $\beta=.143, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ) and attitude towards improving listening skill ( $\beta=.314, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ), and listening strategies ( $\beta=.281, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ) significantly predicted the $6^{\text {th }}$ grade students' test performance while teachers' education level was found to negatively affect ( $\beta=-.226, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ) 6th grade test performance. For the $8^{\text {th }}$ grade test performance, they had the attitude towards reading based on their needs which means in general, they read something in English on occasion, and this attitude ( $\beta=.024, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ) significantly impacted their performance in test.

Table 1: Student-related factors affecting test performance

| Independent variables |  | $6^{\text {th }}$ grade |  | $8^{\text {th }}$ grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{r} \beta$ (\%) | p | $\mathrm{r} \beta$ (\%) | P |
|  | Gender | 1.1 | n.s | 0.0 | n.s |
|  | Parental education | 11 | p<. 05 | 0.8 | n.s |
|  | Study hours per day | 0.0 | n.s | 0.1 | n.s |
|  | Reading strategies | 0.0 | n.s | 0.0 | n.s |
|  | Listening strategies | 4.8 | p<. 05 |  |  |
|  | Negative reading attitude | 0.2 | n.s | 3.1 | p<. 05 |
|  | Positive reading attitude | 0.2 | n.s | 2.3 | n.s |
|  | Improving listening skill | 6.7 | p<. 05 |  |  |
|  | Attitude towards understanding the speaker | 0.2 | n.s |  |  |
| Explained variance\% |  | 24.2 |  | 6.3 |  |

Note. Dependent variables: Mean scores of $6^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade performances.

## 2. How do teacher-related factors affect students' test performance?

Teaching strategies, teachers' education level, work experience, teaching hours, teachers' encouragement, and teaching materials were included in teacher-related factors. Teaching strategies were recoded into a scale with two values ( $1=$ inefficient, $2=$ efficient). Teachers' encouragement of out-of-class activities and teaching materials were made composite indexes based on factor analysis before doing the regression analysis ( $6^{\text {th }}$ grade $\mathrm{R}^{2}=72 \%, \mathrm{~F}=1.710, \mathrm{p}>.05$; $8^{\text {th }}$ grade $\mathrm{R}^{2}=35.7 \%, \mathrm{~F}=2.259, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ). English teachers' background responses indicated $54.8 \%$ of English teachers had bachelor's degree and $42.5 \%$ masters. These 22 teachers ( $27.4 \%$ ) taught 19 hours on average per week. The mean of teachers' work experience was 10 years ( $\mathrm{M}=9.9$ ). The result of the regression analysis revealed that teachers' work experience ( $\beta=1.615, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ) showed a positive predictor while teachers' education ( $\beta=-1.338, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ) seemed a negative predictor for $6^{\text {th }}$ grade test performance. Teaching listening strategies of checking comprehension after listening significantly affected $6^{\text {th }}$ graders' test performance ( $\beta=.348, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ) while developing cognitive skills during listening ( $\beta=.469, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ) also significantly affected $8^{\text {th }}$ grade test performance. See Table 2.

Table 1: Teachers' background and teaching listening strategies affecting test performance.

| Independent variables |  | $6^{\text {th }}$ grade |  | $8^{\text {th }}$ grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{r} \beta$ (\%) | p | $\mathrm{r} \beta$ (\%) | P |
|  | Teachers' education level | -1.7 | $\mathrm{p}<.05$ | 0.9 | n.s |
|  | Teachers' work experience | 32.8 | p<. 05 | $-3.5$ | n.s |
|  | Teaching hours per week | 10.9 | n.s | -0.0 | n.s |
|  | Explained variance\% | 45.4\% |  | 2.6\% |  |
| . | Students' discussion | (1.11) | n.s | 4.79 | n.s |
|  | Preparation for the text | 2.56 | n.s | 1.66 | n.s |
|  | Developing cognitive skills | 1.24 | n.s | 8.44 | $\mathrm{p}<.05$ |
|  | Developing metacognitive skill | 0.09 | n.s | 1.42 | n.s |
| O | Students' reflection | 0.21 | n.s | 0.25 | n.s |
|  | Checking comprehension | 5.99 | p<. 05 | 0.07 | n.s |
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Explained variance\% $\quad 8.9 \quad 16.6$

Note. Dependent variables: Mean scores of $6^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade performances.
For teaching reading strategies, using specific reading strategies and activities to link reading skill with other skills during reading ( $\beta=-.452, \mathrm{p}<.05 ; \beta=-.406, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ) negatively affected both grade tests, while using general reading scales ( $\beta=.579, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ) positively influenced $8^{\text {th }}$ grade test performance.

Table 3: Teaching reading strategies affecting test performance

| Independent variables |  | $6^{\text {th }}$ grade |  | $8^{\text {th }}$ grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{r} \beta$ (\%) | P | $\mathrm{r} \beta$ (\%) | p |
| : | Students' discussion | 3.49 | n.s | 0.36 | n.s |
| 皆 | Using general reading scales | (1.11) | n.s | 7.26 | $\mathrm{p}<.05$ |
|  | Specific reading strategies and activities | - 6.51 | p<. 05 | -1.18 | p<. 05 |
| $\begin{gathered} \dot{\omega} \\ \text { B } \\ \stackrel{0}{0} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | Checking comprehension | 0.94 | n.s | 0.04 | n.s |
| Explained variance\% |  | 6.3 |  | 6.1 |  |

Note. Dependent variables: Mean scores of $6^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade performances.
Using traditional materials in teaching receptive skills ( $\beta=.381, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ) was a useful predictor of $6^{\text {th }}$ grade test performance. However, no significant explained variance was found in teaching materials and after-class activities on $8^{\text {th }}$ grade test performance. Note, I expected that virtual materials and after-class activities would be the best indicators of students' test performance since these are very important for developing receptive skills. However, no effects were found from those factors.

Table 4: Teaching materials and out-of-class activities affecting test performance.

| Independent variables |  | $6^{\text {th }}$ grade |  | $8^{\text {th }}$ grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{r} \beta$ (\%) | p | $\mathrm{r} \beta$ (\%) | p |
| $\sum_{i}$ | Virtual materials | (0.70) | n.s | 4.04 | n.s |
|  | Traditional materials | 8.12 | p<. 05 | 6.33 | n.s |
| \% | After class activities | 1.17 | n.s | 0.43 | n.s |
| Explained variance\% |  | 8.5 |  | 10.7 |  |

Note. Dependent variables: Mean scores of $6^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade performances.

## 3. How do school-related factors affect students' test performance?

School location, the number of computers, labs, internet connection, and the number of devices (headphones and microphones) were considered as school-related factors in this study. Twelve information technology (IT) teachers from 12 schools responded a questionnaire about school infrastructure. Three of the schools were in a rural area in some distance from the center of the city. The schools usually have 15-20 computers in one or two labs except the schools in rural areas which had only 7-10 computers in one lab. Table 5 , shows the number of computers and labs in each school.

Table 5: Computers and labs in each school.

| Schools | The number of <br> students | The number of <br> student groups | The number of computers <br> in schools | The number of <br> classes equipped <br> with computers | The number of <br> cumputers in each <br> ICT room |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1600 | 52 | $50-$ | 2 | 20,20 |
| 2 | 292 | 11 | $10-20$ | 1 | 20 |
| 5 | 1567 | 520 | 23 | $50-$ | 2 |
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| Bayan-uul | 844 | 25 | $10-20$ | 1 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bulgan | 135 | 9 | $10-20$ | 1 | 10 |
| Bayantumen | 110 | 9 | $1-10$ | 1 | 10 |

For the internet connection and useful devices such as microphone and headsets, only eight schools $(66 \%)$ out of 12 were connected to the internet. Informal discussions with teachers indicated that the internet was used only in administrators' and teachers' rooms, and in some special cases, they could use it in labs for limited time because paying for the internet was one of the problems faced by these schools. Also, most schools had only 1-5 headphones and 1-5 microphones, and they said they received such devices as part of some national and international projects but they were easily broken. Figure 2 shows the percentage of schools with internet connection and the percentage with limited number of devices in schools.


Figure 2: Internet connections and devices of schools.
Regression analysis was done to explore how these school infrastructure factors affect students' online test performance. The results showed that the number of devices (headphones and microphones) influenced online test performance on $6^{\text {th }}$ grade test performance and the internet connection impacted $8^{\text {th }}$ graders' online test performance.

Table 6: School-related factors affecting students' test performance.

| Factors | Independent variables | $6^{\text {th }}$ grade |  | $8^{\text {th }}$ grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\beta$ | p | $\beta$ | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| School-related | School location | .590 | n.s | -.039 | n.s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Computer supply | -.156 | n.s | -.584 | n.s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Labs | -.293 | n.s | -.940 | n.s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Internet connection | .577 | n.s | .801 | $\mathrm{p}<.05$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Devices | .944 | $\mathrm{p}<.05$ | 1.108 | n.s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Explained variance $\%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $67.2 \%$ |  | $64.3 \%$ |  |

Note. Dependent variables: Mean scores of $6^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade performances.

## CONCLUSION

For $6^{\text {th }}$ graders, the students have better attitude towards willing to improve listening skills and they did better on the listening test than reading. More experienced teachers affect younger students' achievement, and the teaching listening strategies of checking comprehension after listening and using printed (traditional) materials for improving receptive skills in a class were also shown to positively impact $6^{\text {th }}$ grade test performance. For $8^{\text {th }}$ graders, they appeared to have problems with tasks related to real life on the reading test. Therefore teachers need to consider providing more practice related to real life in their reading classes. However, $8^{\text {th }}$ grade students also have a relatively low attitude towards learning reading based on their external motivation and needs. This kind of attitude likely affects their reading achievement. Developing students' cognitive skills and using different reading activities in reading class seem to be best indicators of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade reading performance.

For both grades, reading strategies were not found to be significant effects for predicting reading test scores. For $6^{\text {th }}$ graders, one listening strategy was found to be a useful predictor. Also, another problem observed in this study was that students' study hours spent on receptive skill practice per day at home was very short: Students typically spend only 5-10 minutes on those tasks. Family characteristics like parental education were also significant predictors for 6th grade students' performance. Internet connection and devices also influenced the test performance, perhaps because of feelings of anxiety toward computers and lack of experience using computers. This might also have affected student performance in this study because teachers in this study don't use computer-based tests often. I observed that English teachers' opportunity to use computer labs in their teaching was very limited because of the busy scheduled time of other information technology classes. This study will be used as a basis for exploring some other important factors that influence English language achievement in Mongolia using larger samples (from other provinces) in future studies.
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