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Abstract

Accumulation of heavy metals like zinc (Zn) disturbs the metabolism of reactive oxygen (e.g. hydrogen peroxide, H$_2$O$_2$) and nitrogen species (e.g. nitric oxide, NO; S-nitrosoglutathione, GSNO) in plant cells; however, their signal interactions are not well understood. Therefore, this study examines the interplay between H$_2$O$_2$ metabolism and GSNO signalling in *Arabidopsis*. Comparing the Zn tolerance of the wild-type (WT), GSNO reductase (GSNOR) overexpressor 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 and GSNOR-deficient gsnor1-3, we observed relative Zn tolerance of gsnor1-3 which was not accompanied by altered Zn accumulation capacity. Moreover, in gsnor1-3 plants Zn did not induce NO/S-nitrosothiol (SNO) signalling, possibly due to the enhanced activity of NADPH-dependent thioredoxin reductase. In WT and 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1, GSNOR was inactivated by Zn, and Zn-induced H$_2$O$_2$ is directly involved in the GSNOR activity loss. In WT seedlings, Zn resulted in a slight intensification of protein nitration detected by western blot and protein S-nitrosation observed by resin-assisted capture of SNO proteins (RSNO-RAC). LC-MS/MS analyses indicate that Zn induces the S-nitrosation of ascorbate peroxidase 1. Our data collectively show that Zn-induced H$_2$O$_2$ may influence its own level, which involves GSNOR inactivation-triggered SNO signalling. These data provide new evidence for the interplay between H$_2$O$_2$ and SNO signalling in *Arabidopsis* plants affected by metal stress.
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**Introduction**

Zinc (Zn) is a non-redox metal present in soils and in surface and ground waters (Noulas et al. 2018). It is an essential micronutrient for living organisms including plants, and it is involved in many key biological processes (Rouached 2013). Generally, agricultural soils contain 10–300 mg Zn kg\(^{-1}\) (with an overall mean of 50–55 mg kg\(^{-1}\)); however, the Zn content of soils can be enhanced by anthropogenic activities including mining, industrial and agricultural practices (Kiekens 1995, Zarcinas et al. 2004). Since plants can regulate the absorption of elements within tight limits, in case of large amounts of bioavailable Zn in the rhizosphere the absorbed Zn adversely affects the life processes of plants. Plants grown in the presence of excess Zn have inward-rolled leaf edges, chlorotic leaves, and retarded and brownish root systems (Sagardoy et al. 2009, Ramakrishna and Rao 2015, Feigl et al. 2015, 2016). Regarding physiological processes, elevated Zn levels result in perturbations in photosynthesis, glycolysis, and electron transport due to the replacement of other divalent cations (Monnet et al. 2001, Lucini and Bernardo 2015). At the molecular level, a characteristic effect of Zn is the induction of the overproduction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as hydroxyl radical (\(\cdot\)OH), superoxide radical (\(\cdot\)O\(_2\)\(^{-}\)) and hydrogen peroxide (H\(_2\)O\(_2\)) as reported by several studies (Weckx and Clijsters 1997, Jain et al. 2010, Feigl et al. 2015, 2016). As a consequence of its redox inactive nature, Zn reportedly induces ROS production indirectly mainly through the modulation of antioxidant enzymes (e.g. Tewari et al. 2008, Wang et al. 2009) or through the formation of quinhydrone complex in the cell wall (Morina et al. 2010). The level of ROS needs to be strictly regulated by complex mechanisms including several enzymes such as ascorbate peroxidase (APX, EC 1.11.1.11), catalase (CAT, EC 1.11.1.6), superoxide dismutase (SOD, EC1.1.5.1.1) and non-enzymatic antioxidants like glutathione, and the activity of these antioxidant components has been shown to be affected by Zn (Cuypers et al. 2002, Di Baccio et al. 2005, Tewari et al. 2008, Gupta et al. 2011, Li et al. 2013). As a result of the Zn-triggered elevation in ROS levels, lipids, nucleic acids and proteins can be oxidized (Morina et al. 2010). Moreover, Zn stress can be accompanied by impaired DNA repair and poor protein folding (Sharma et al. 2008).

Besides ROS, reactive nitrogen species (RNS) are also overproduced as a result of a wide range of environmental stresses including excess Zn (Feigl et al. 2015, 2016). The accumulation of these nitric oxide (NO)-derived molecules principally targets proteins mainly through tyrosine nitration and S-nitrosation (Jain and Bhatla 2017). Nitration covalently modifies specific tyrosine amino acids in certain proteins, which leads to 3-nitrotyrosine formation. During the reaction a nitro group is added to one of the two equivalent ortho carbons.
in the aromatic ring of tyrosine residues (Gow et al. 2004), causing steric and electronic
perturbations in the protein structure (van der Vliet et al. 1999). In most cases, nitration results
in the inhibition of protein function in plant systems (Corpas et al. 2013). Moreover, tyrosine
nitration can possibly influence signal transduction pathways through the prevention of tyrosine
phosphorylation (Galetskiy et al. 2011). During S-nitrosation, RNS react with the thiol group
of cysteine (Cys) resulting in the formation of an S-nitrosothiol (SNO) group, which in turn
causes alterations in protein structure and function (Lamotte et al. 2015). So far more than a
dozen proteins have been found to be regulated either positively or negatively by S-nitrosation
(reviewed by Zaffagnini et al. 2016). The S-nitrosation reaction also affects glutathione,
yielding S-nitrosoglutathione (GSNO), which has particular relevance due to its highly stable
color, its capability for being transported and its ability to liberate NO. On this basis GSNO
is considered to be a mobile reservoir of NO (Umbreen et al. 2018). The intracellular level of
GSNO and, consequently, the intensity of SNO signalling are controlled by direct and selective
processes like the NADPH-dependent thioredoxin reductase (NTR)-thioredoxin (TRX) system
(Kneeshaw et al. 2014, Umbreen et al. 2018) and also by GSNO reductase activity (GSNOR,
EC 1.2.1.1, Feechan et al. 2005, Lee et al. 2008, Chen et al. 2009). The latter enzyme catalyses
the NADH-dependent conversion of GSNO to GSSG and NH\(_3\) (Jahnová et al. 2019). GSNOR
is encoded by a single gene (At5g43940), and the corresponding protein was detected in the
cytosol, chloroplasts, mitochondria and peroxisomes of pea leaf cells using electron microscopy
immunogold-labeling technique (Barroso et al. 2013). Moreover, visualization of GSNOR-GFP
in Arabidopsis provided clear evidence for cytosolic and nuclear localization of this protein
throughout the plant (Xu et al. 2013). Regarding its protein structure, GSNOR is rich in Cys
residues and contains two Zn ions per subunit, one of which has catalytic whereas the other, a
structural role (Lindermayr 2018). A direct interaction between H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and GSNOR was revealed
when the H\(_2\)O\(_2\) inducer (paraquat) triggered oxidative modification of Cys residues in the active
site (Cys177, Cys47), causing release of Zn\(^{2+}\) from the catalytic site of the enzyme leading to
its inactivation (Kovács et al. 2016). Additional Cys residues (e.g. Cys113 and Cys373) of
GSNOR were found to be reversibly or irreversibly modified by H\(_2\)O\(_2\). Moreover, cysteine
residues allow S-nitrosation modification of GSNOR structure and activity as has been recently
observed by Guerra et al. (2016). As a consequence of GSNOR inhibition, SNO accumulated
and S-nitrosation intensified, suggesting a direct link between ROS and GSNO homeostasis.
Since ROS overproduction can be observed during diverse environmental stresses, we can
suppose that this signal interaction between ROS and RNS can be a general mechanism
regulating stress responses in plants. To test this hypothesis, Zn as an environmental stressor
was applied and the alterations and connections in ROS and RNS metabolism were examined. We focused our work on GSNO metabolism, using a genetic approach involving a GSNOR-deficient mutant (gsnor1-3) and a transgenic overproducer line (35S::FLAG-GSNOR1).
Results and Discussion

GSNOR-deficient line tolerates exogenous Zn better than the wild-type and 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1

Pilot experiments allowed us to select a Zn treatment (250 µM, 7 days) that was not toxic for the plant lines. As a consequence of exposure to Zn, the fresh weight of seedlings decreased in the WT and the GSNOR overproducer line, but was not influenced in gsnor1-3 (Fig 1A). However, it has to be noted that the gsnor1-3 mutant shows multiple developmental arrests compared to the WT (Fig 1C, Lee et al. 2008, Holzmeister et al. 2011, Kwon et al. 2012).

The semidwarf phenotype of gsnor1-3 indicates that GSNOR-dependent NO removal is necessary for optimal development. In the case of the WT and 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 plants, the tolerance index decreased by the effect of Zn (Fig 1BC) which together with reduced biomass indicates Zn sensitivity. Moreover, the most significant Zn-triggered biomass loss (44%) and root shortening (20%) were observed in the GSNOR overexpressor line (Fig 1 ABC). Interestingly, the Zn tolerance index of gsnor1-3 was increased, which suggests relative Zn tolerance of this mutant. Similarly, the gsnor1-3 mutant shows selenium and copper tolerance (Lehotai et al. 2012, Pető et al. 2013), although in the case of this mutant impaired disease resistance and reduced heat tolerance have been observed (Feechan et al. 2005, Lee et al. 2008).

This implies the possibility that the role of SNO signalling can regulate stress responses positively or negatively depending on the nature of the stress.

Zn accumulation and its root-level distribution is similar in all plant lines

In order to examine whether the different Zn tolerances of the lines are associated with different Zn accumulation capacities, Zn levels were detected in situ and in vivo. All three plant lines were able to take up Zn from the medium, which was confirmed by the elevated Zn-specific fluorescence in both the meristematic and the differentiation root zones (Fig 2). Possibly due to its less active root meristem, gsnor1-3 accumulated less Zn in this part of the root (Fig 2B). The upper root part being more important in Zn uptake, the degree and magnitude of Zn accumulation therein proved to be similar in gsnor1-3, WT and 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 roots (Fig 2), suggesting that the reason for the relative Zn tolerance of gsnor1-3 is not the low Zn uptake capacity.

Zn negatively regulates GSNOR activity without decreasing protein abundance and gene expression
As expected, under control conditions, both GSNOR activity (Fig 3A) and protein abundance (Fig 3C) were elevated in the overexpressor 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 line and reduced in the gsnor1-3 line relative to the WT. Excess Zn resulted in the significant reduction of GSNOR activity in the WT and caused a highly significant activity loss in the 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 line, which was comparable with the effect of the GSNOR mutation (Fig 3A). The decrease in GSNOR activity was not accompanied by the reduction in protein abundance, suggesting that most of the GSNOR enzyme pool present in the Zn-treated plant may be inactive. The relative transcript level of GSNOR1 was not influenced by Zn treatment in the WT or in the mutant lines (Fig 3B), indicating that the Zn-induced changes in GSNOR activity may occur at the post-transcriptional level.

**SNO levels are regulated by the NADPH-dependent thioredoxin reductase system in Zn-treated gsnor1-3**

Compared to the WT, the NO level in 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 roots was two times higher (Fig 4A), which can be explained by the higher nitrate content and increased nitrate reductase (NR) activity of this line (Frungillo et al. 2014). As a consequence of GSNOR overproduction, the SNO levels of 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 were lower than those in the WT seedlings under control conditions (Fig 4B). Both the NO and SNO levels of WT plants were increased by Zn, indicating intensified S-nitrosation processes. Zn treatment caused decreased NO levels in the root of GSNOR overexpressor 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1, but the resulting NO content was comparable with the NO level of the Zn-treated wild-type plants. As for the SNO levels, those increased in Zn-exposed 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 seedlings similarly to the WT. Several processes can be hypothesized in the background of Zn-induced NO level changes. Zn-induced iron deficiency can be partially responsible for NO production in Arabidopsis seedlings, as observed in Solanum nigrum root tips (Xu et al. 2010). A further possibility for NO production in this system is the metal-triggered decomposition of GSNO, but this remains to be elucidated. The roots of the control gsnor1-3 mutant showed increased NO level (Fig 4A) and slightly elevated total SNO level (Fig 4B) as compared to the WT, which may be the result of the more than 80% GSNOR activity loss (Lee et al. 2008, Fig 3A). Zn didn’t affect NO or SNO levels in the gsnor1-3 mutant, which is interesting because, in the absence of GSNOR activity, a GSNOR-independent mechanism is necessary to prevent SNO and NO production. Besides GSNOR, the NADPH-NTR-TRX system has been considered as direct and selective denitrosylases (Umbreen et al. 2018) maintaining low SNO levels and thus temporally and spatially limiting SNO signalling. Therefore, the NTR-TRX system is a good candidate for preventing Zn-
induced NO/SNO level increase in the case of GSNOR deficiency. Indeed, Zn increased the activity of NTR in gsnor1-3 seedlings (Fig 4C). Additionally, in the WT and 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 lines NTR activity was lowered by Zn exposure, which together with Zn-triggered GSNOR inactivation contributes to the intensification of SNO signalling. Examining the expression of TRXs (Fig 4D), we found that the expression of TRXh3 was induced by Zn in the WT but not in the other lines, and Zn did not influence the expression of TRXh5 in any of the examined Arabidopsis lines (data not shown). However, it cannot be excluded that TRX activity is regulated post-transcriptionally in Zn-stressed plants. These data collectively suggest that Zn may intensify SNO signalling in the WT and the GSNOR overproducer line, whereas in the case of GSNOR deficiency the induction of NTR activity may be involved in limiting SNO signalling in the presence of Zn.

Zn induces S-nitrosation in wild-type Arabidopsis seedlings

The enhancement of total SNO levels predicted the possible intensification of protein S-nitrosation in Zn-treated Arabidopsis. Therefore, protein extracts derived from three, independently grown sets of wild-type Arabidopsis seedlings were subjected to RSNO-RAC method in order to compare the rate of S-nitrosation in the seedlings grown in the presence of optimal or supraoptimal Zn supply. To verify the method, the protein extract was incubated in the presence of GSNO with the addition of Asc (Fig 5A). In this sample, a remarkable enrichment of SNO proteins was observed, while in the absence of Asc much less SNO-proteins were detected (Fig 5A). These controls confirm for the first time the usability of the method for detecting SNO-proteins in plant systems. Regarding the Zn effect, a slightly intensified S-nitrosation could be detected compared to the control conditions (Fig 5A, arrows) possibly due to the moderate nature of Zn exposure (250 µM). To identify protein candidates for Zn-induced S-nitrosation, the samples were analysed by LC-MS/MS. In case of GSNO treatment (in vitro S-nitrosation), 69 protein candidates were identified (Table S2) while in vivo S-nitrosation in control seedlings affected 26 proteins (Table S3). The relatively large dispersal of the data of biological replicates (Table 1) can be explained by the complex nature of the RSNO-RAC method and by the fact that seedlings were grown in three separate experiments. Ten proteins displayed an enrichment (+Asc/-Asc ratio > 1.5) in all three biological replicates making them promising candidates for S-nitrosation. However, this has to be confirmed in further experiments, e.g. using recombinant proteins. Nevertheless, the similar tendencies of biological replicates support that in Zn-treated seedlings, these ten proteins might be S-nitrosated (Table 1). Among them the S-nitrosation of APX1 was induced exclusively by the presence of Zn.
According to the literature, the S-nitrosation modification of APX1 occurs at Cys32 and leads to the activation of the enzyme (Begara-Morales et al. 2014, Yang et al. 2015). In case of measuring the total activity of APX isoforms; however, we observed significant (~40%) Zn-induced activity loss (Fig 5B). Moreover, 250 µM Zn treatment caused decrease in APX protein level of WT and gsnor1-3 seedlings, while in GSNOR-overproducer plants it seemed to be less modified (Fig 5D and Fig S2). These suggest that Zn affects APX activity by lowering protein content in WT and GSNOR-deficient plants; however, GSNOR overproduction prevents the loss of APX protein level and causes inactivation without significantly influencing protein abundance.

Catalase (CAT 3) was identified as a target for S-nitrosation in GSNO-treated samples (Table S2), therefore the total activity of isoforms was measured in control and Zn-treated seedlings (Fig 5C). Zinc reduced CAT activities in all three plant lines; however, in case of gsnor1-3 the activity loss was not statistically significant. It is worth noting that control 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 seedlings had four-fold CAT activity compared to the WT (Fig 5C) suggesting an effective H$_2$O$_2$ detoxification system in case of intensified SNO signalling. The reason for the significant (~40-50%) activity losses of APX and CAT may be, inter alia, protein nitration, since both enzymes have previously been shown to be nitrated (Begara-Morales et al. 2014, Chaki et al. 2015).

Zn-induced H$_2$O$_2$ is directly involved in GSNOR inactivation

In Zn-exposed plants, SNO signalling affected H$_2$O$_2$-associated enzymes (Table 1 and Fig 5), therefore it could be suspected that H$_2$O$_2$ levels are modified by the presence of Zn. Indeed, Zn treatment resulted in elevated H$_2$O$_2$ levels in the root system of all three plant lines, although this induction was the most intense (9-fold) in gsnor1-3 (Fig 6A). Despite the WT-like APX and CAT activities, the GSNOR-deficient line contained only 20% of the H$_2$O$_2$ levels of the WT in its root system under control conditions. This low H$_2$O$_2$ level may be associated with the significantly (3-fold) increased total glutathione content of this line (Fig 6B). Kovács et al. (2016) also observed increased glutathione content in gsnor1-3 compared to the WT, but using 3,3’-diaminobenzidine staining similar H$_2$O$_2$ levels were detected in gsnor1-3 and the WT. It is also interesting that Zn did not modify glutathione levels in the WT and 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 plants, but significantly decreased the relatively high glutathione content in gsnor1-3. Recently, direct interaction between H$_2$O$_2$ and GSNOR has been revealed: the H$_2$O$_2$ inducer paraquat caused release of Zn$^{2+}$ from the catalytic site of GSNOR, causing activity loss of the enzyme (Kovács et al. 2016). Therefore, we examined the possibility whether Zn-induced H$_2$O$_2$...
influences the activity of GSNOR in the WT and in 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1. Exogenously applied glutathione (1 mM) had no effect on control plants, but resulted in decreased H$_2$O$_2$ levels in Zn-treated plants (Fig 6C). Similarly, in Zn+glutathione-treated plants significantly higher GSNOR activities were measured compared to plants treated with Zn alone (Fig 6D). The results indicate that the reduction of Zn-induced H$_2$O$_2$ can ameliorate GSNOR activity loss, suggesting that Zn-triggered H$_2$O$_2$ is directly involved in the inactivation of GSNOR possibly through Zn$^{2+}$ release from the catalytic site, as described by Kovács et al. (2016). This is further confirmed by the unaffected protein abundance in Zn-treated plants (Fig 3C and Fig S1). Moreover, a slight shift can be observed in the running of GSNOR protein in the gel (Fig 3C), suggesting that Zn induces alterations in protein structure, possibly through Zn$^{2+}$ release. At the subcellular level, Zn-induced ROS generation may occur in the apoplast (Morina et al. 2010) or in the cytoplasm as a consequence of imbalance of other essential metals (e.g. iron, Schützendübel and Polle 2002). In the cytoplasm, the GSNOR protein can be the target of ROS. Moreover, Zn can be taken up into mitochondria and chloroplasts (Nouet et al. 2011) and can induce the formation of ROS, consequently causing posttranslational modification (PTM) of the local proteins, or ROS/H$_2$O$_2$ may serve as a signal and transduce a PTM signal into the organelles.

**Zn induces distinct changes in protein nitration in Arabidopsis lines**

Nitric oxide reacts with superoxide anion to form peroxynitrite, the major RNS involved in protein nitration processes (Sawa et al. 2000). Treatment with Zn increased superoxide levels only in the roots of 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1, whereas in the other plant lines superoxide levels remained unchanged (Fig 7A). Total SOD activity decreased in Zn-treated 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 (Fig 7B), possibly contributing to the increase in superoxide level (Fig 7A). In gsnor1-3, a moderate increment of SOD activity was observed, whereas Zn-exposed WT plants showed unmodified SOD activities compared to the optimal Zn supply. The activities of MnSOD and FeSOD isoforms exceeded Cu/Zn SOD activities in the control plants (Fig 7C and Fig S4), but Zn modified this isoenzyme pattern, since it reduced the activity of FeSOD and MnSOD and increased Cu/Zn SOD activity in all three Arabidopsis lines. The reduced availability of Mn and Fe as an effect of excess Zn (Ebbs and Kochian 1997, Monnet et al. 2001, Feigl et al. 2016) may contribute to the decreased MnSOD and FeSOD activities. The Zn concentration applied proved to be appropriate for increasing the activity of Cu/Zn SOD due to a possible elevation of the Cu level and an increase in Zn concentration (Feigl et al. 2015, 2016). Protein nitration, as the marker of nitrosative stress, has previously been shown to be increased by the effect of Zn stress (300 μM) in Brassica species (Feigl et al. 2015, 2016). In the present system, the
For Peer Review

protein bands showing immunopositivity towards 3-nitrotyrosine antibody were detected in the low molecular weight range (16-30 KDa, Fig 7D). Here, eight protein bands were selected, and the intensities of the bands were evaluated by GelQuant (Fig S3). In general, most protein bands showed slight Zn-induced intensification in WT and in 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1, whereas in the GSNOR deficient line Zn decreased the nitration of most bands. The physiological nitroproteomes of the Arabidopsis lines studied were similar in size, and the applied Zn concentration did not induce the appearance of newly nitrated protein bands in any of the Arabidopsis lines (Fig 7D). In the WT, the Zn-induced mild enhancement in protein nitration may be related to the moderate production of NO and superoxide (Fig 4A and Fig 7A). In the case of gsnor1-3, the amount of nitrated proteins was reduced by the effect of Zn as compared to the control, which could be attributed to the activation of putative denitration processes (not yet known in plants, Kolbert et al. 2017) or by enhanced degradation of nitrated proteins.

Conclusion

Our data collectively indicate that Zn-induced H$_2$O$_2$ is directly involved in GSNOR inactivation and it positively regulates GSNO/SNO levels, which in turn induces S-nitrosation of the APX1 enzyme. The activity changes of APX and CAT may influence H$_2$O$_2$ levels in Zn-stressed plants (Fig 8). This means that Zn-induced H$_2$O$_2$ may influence its own level through a self-regulatory process which involves SNO signalling. These data provide novel evidence for the regulatory interplay between ROS (H$_2$O$_2$) and SNO signalling in Arabidopsis plants affected by metal stress.
Materials and methods

Plant material and growth conditions

Seven-day-old wild-type (Col-0, WT), 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 (Frungillo et al. 2014) and gsnor1-3 (At5g43940, Chen et al. 2009) Arabidopsis thaliana L. seedlings in Col-0 background were used. The seeds were surface sterilized with 70% (v/v) ethanol and 5% (v/v) sodium hypochlorite and transferred to half-strength Murashige and Skoog medium (1% (w/v) sucrose and 0.8% (w/v) agar) supplemented with 250 µM zinc sulphate (ZnSO₄). In control Petri dishes, the media contained 15 µM ZnSO₄ as indicated by the manufacturer (Duchefa Biochemie). The Petri dishes were kept vertically in a greenhouse at a photo flux density of 150 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (8/16 day/night period) at a relative humidity of 55-60% and 25 ± 2°C. Four days after germination, 1 mM glutathione was added on the surface of the agar containing the root system. 1 mL of glutathione solution was added per Petri dish using 2 mL syringe and sterile filter.

Evaluation of Zn tolerance

Primary root lengths were measured and from the data Zn tolerance index (%) was calculated according to the following formula: tolerance index (%) = (treated root length/mean control root length) * 100. Additionally, fresh weights of 10 seedlings were measured and the data are presented as average seedling fresh weight (mg seedling⁻¹). These data were acquired from three separate generations, and in each generation 20 plants were examined (n=20).

Enzyme activity assays

Whole seedlings of WT, 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 and gsnor1-3 Arabidopsis were ground with double volume of extraction buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl buffer pH 7.6–7.8) containing 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1% Triton X-100 and 10% glycerol and centrifuged at 9 300 g for 20 min at 4°C. The protein extract was treated with 1% protease inhibitor cocktail and stored at −20°C. Protein concentration was determined using the Bradford (1976) assay with bovine serum albumin as a standard.

GSNOR activity was determined by monitoring NADH oxidation in the presence of GSNO at 340 nm (Sakamoto et al. 2002). Plant homogenate was centrifuged at 14 000 g for 20 min at 4°C and 100 µg of protein extract was incubated in 1 mL reaction buffer containing 20
mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.2 mM NADH. Data are expressed as nmol NADH min\(^{-1}\) mg\(^{-1}\) protein.

The activity of APX was measured by monitoring the decrease of ascorbate (Asc) content at 265 nm according to the modified method of Nakano and Asada (1981). For the enzyme extract, 250 mg of plant material was ground with 1.5 mL of extraction buffer containing 1 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaCl, 900 µM Asc and 1% polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP). Data are expressed as activity (unit g\(^{-1}\) fresh weight).

CAT enzyme activity was measured as described by Kato and Shimizu (1987). For the enzyme extract, 250 mg of plant material was ground with 10 mg of polyvinyl polypyrrolidone (PVPP) and 1 mL of 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0, with 1 mM EDTA added). The reaction was started with the addition of 20 mM H\(_2\)O\(_2\). The measurement itself quantifies the degradation of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) at 240 nm and 1 unit corresponds to one µmol of degraded H\(_2\)O\(_2\) per minute. The data are shown as unit g\(^{-1}\) fresh weight.

SOD activity was determined by measuring the ability of the enzyme to inhibit the photochemical reduction of nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) in the presence of riboflavin in light (Dhindsa et al. 1981). The same enzyme extract was used as described previously. The enzyme activity is expressed as unit g\(^{-1}\) fresh weight; 1 unit of SOD corresponds to the amount of enzyme causing a 50% inhibition of NBT reduction in light. For the examination of SOD isoenzyme activities, the protein extract was subjected to native gel electrophoresis on 10% polyacrylamide gel (Beauchamp and Fridovich 1971). The gel was incubated for 20 minutes in 2.45 mM NBT in darkness, then for 15 minutes in freshly prepared 28 mM TEMED solution containing 2.92 µM riboflavin. After the incubation, the gels were washed two times and developed by light exposure. SOD isoforms were identified by incubating gels in 50 mM potassium phosphate containing 2 mM potassium cyanide to inhibit Cu/Zn SOD activity or 5 mM H\(_2\)O\(_2\) which inhibits Cu/Zn and Fe SOD activities for 30 min before staining with NBT. Mn SODs are resistant to both inhibitors (Fig S4). To evaluate native electrophoresis, silver staining was performed according to Blum et al. (1987) with slight modifications. The gel was fixed with methanol and acetic acid, then treated with a sensitizing solution and staining solution containing AgNO\(_3\). The gel was developed in a solution containing sodium carbonate and formaldehyde.

The activity of NTR was measured based on the method of Arnér et al. (1999) using a kit (Thioredoxin Reductase Assay Kit, Sigma-Aldrich). The manufacturer’s instructions were followed during the procedure and the protein extract was prepared as described above. The measurement is based on a colorimetric reduction of 5,5‘-dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB)
to yellow-coloured 5-thio-2-nitrobenzoic acid with NADPH. To ensure selectivity, a specific
NTR inhibitor was used, and the data were validated using rat liver thioredoxin reductase as
positive control. Data are shown as unit µg protein\(^{-1}\). These experiments were carried out on
two separate plant generations with five samples in each (n=5).

The measurement of total glutathione content was done according to Griffith (1980)
with slight modifications. Whole seedlings were ground with 5% trichloroacetic acid,
centrifuged for 20 min at 9 300 g and the supernatant was used for further measurement. The
reaction mixture contained 25 µl sample, 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, 1 mM of DTNB, 1
mM NADPH and 1 unit of glutathione reductase enzyme. The method is based on enzymatic
recycling by glutathione reductase. During the reaction, the formation rate of 5-thio-2-
nitrobenzoate is directly proportional to the rate of the recycling reaction, which is directly
proportional to the glutathione content. The change in absorbance (at 412 nm) during 1 min
corresponds to the concentration of glutathione, using GSSG as standard. Data are shown as
nmol g\(^{-1}\) fresh weight. These experiments were carried out on two separate plant generations
with five samples in each (n=5).

Microscopic detection of Zn levels, NO, H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and O\(_2\)\(^{-}\) in the roots

The endogenous levels of Zn were visualized by the Zn-specific fluorophore, Zinquin
(ethyl (2-methyl-8-p-toluenesulphonamide-6-quinolyloxy)acetate (Helmersson et al. 2008).
Seedlings were equilibrated in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 137 mM NaCl, 2.68 mM KCl,
8.1 mM Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\), 1.41 mM KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), pH 7.4) and further incubated in 25 µM Zinquin solution
(in PBS) for 60 min at room temperature in darkness. Before the microscopic investigation the
samples were washed once with PBS buffer.

Nitric oxide levels of the root tips were monitored with the help of 4-amino-5-
methylamino-2',7'-difluorofluorescein diacetate (DAF-FM DA) according to Kolbert et al.
(2012). Whole seedlings were incubated in 10 µM dye solution for 30 min (darkness, 25±2 °C),
and washed twice with Tris-HCl (10 mM, pH 7.4).

The levels of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) were detected by Amplex Red (AR) which in the presence of
peroxidase and H\(_2\)O\(_2\) forms highly fluorescent resorufin (Prats et al. 2008). Seedlings were
incubated in 50 µM AR solution (prepared in sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.5) for 30 min at
room temperature in darkness. The microscopic observations were preceded by one washing
step with sodium phosphate buffer.
Dihydroethidium (DHE) at 10 µM concentration was applied for the detection of superoxide anion levels in the roots. Seedlings were incubated for 30 min in darkness at 37°C, and washed two times with Tris-HCl buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4) (Kolbert et al. 2012).

Seedlings labelled with different fluorophores were examined under Zeiss Axiovert 200 M microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) equipped with filter set 9 (excitation 450–490 nm, emission 515–∞ nm) for DHE, filter set 10 (excitation 450–490 nm, nm, emission 515–565 nm) for DAF-FM DA, filter set 20 HE (excitation 546/12 nm, emission 607/80 nm) for Amplex Red or filter set 49 (excitation 365 nm, emission 455/50 nm) for Zinquin. Fluorescence intensities (pixel intensity) in the roots were measured on digital images using Axiovision Rel. 4.8 software within circles of 37 µm radii. These analyses were carried out three times with 10 samples each (n=10). Controls for fluorescent probes are shown in Fig S5.

**Determination of SNO contents**

The total amount of SNO was quantified by Sievers 280i NO analyser (GE Analytical Instruments, Boulder, CO, USA). 250 mg of *Arabidopsis* seedlings were mixed with a double volume of 1x PBS buffer (containing 10 mM N-ethylmaleimide and 2.5 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) and were ground using Fast Prep ® Instrument (speed 5.5; 60 s, Savant Instruments Inc., Holbrook, NY). Samples were centrifuged twice for 15 min, at 20 000 g at 4 ºC each. The supernatants were incubated with 20 mM sulphanilamide (prepared in 1 M HCl) at a ratio of 9:1 in order to remove nitrite. 250 µL of the samples were injected into the reaction vessel filled with potassium iodide. SNO concentrations were quantified with the help of NO analysis software (v3.2) by integrating peak areas and using a standard curve. The standard curve was generated by adding known concentrations of sodium nitrite. These experiments were carried out on three separate plant generations with 5–7 samples examined each (n=5–7).

**Analysis of S-nitrosated proteins by RSNO-RAC**

For the determination of S-nitrosated proteins in wild-type *Arabidopsis* seedlings, the method of resin-assisted capture of SNO proteins was adapted (Thompson et al. 2013). Whole seedling material (2 g) was ground in liquid nitrogen and homogenized in HENT buffer (100 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM neocuproine, 0.2% Triton X-100). The homogenate was centrifuged at 18 000 g, 15 min, 4 ºC followed by another centrifugation step (18 000 g, 10 min, 4 ºC). Protein concentration was determined according to Bradford (1976). Some of the samples were treated with 1 mM GSNO for 30 min in the darkness with multiple mixes. During the blocking step, samples were incubated with 25% sodium-dodecyl-sulphate
(SDS) and 2 M S-methyl methanethiosulfonate at 50 ºC, at 300 rpm, for 20 min. Incubation of the samples with 100% ice cold acetone at -20 ºC was followed by several washings with 70% acetone with centrifugations (5 min, 10 000 g). The pellets were re-suspended in HENS buffer (HEN+1% SDS) and input controls were mixed with Laemmli 2x. Certain samples were treated with 200 mM sodium Asc for 10 min. The previously prepared Thiopropylsepharose 6B (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) beads were added to the samples and those were incubated with the beads for 2 hours in the darkness with constant mixing. The beads were washed with 4x3 ml HENS buffer and 2x2 ml HENS/10 buffer. The samples were eluted with mercaptoethanol. Samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE (12%) and the gels were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-350 (input controls) or with silver (Pierce™ Silver Stain Kit, Thermo Fisher Scientific). These analyses were carried out on three separate plant generations (n=3).

**Sample Preparation for MS Analysis**

From each of the SNO-enriched purifications, part of the eluted proteins was digested using a modified filter-aided proteome preparation procedure (Wiśniewski et al. 2009). The samples were acidified with trifluoroacetic acid and stored at −20°C.

**Mass Spectrometry**

LC-MS/MS analysis was performed on a Q Exactive HF mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) online coupled to a nano-RSLC (Ultimate 3000 RSLC; Dionex). Tryptic peptides were accumulated on a nano trap column (Acclaim PepMap 100 C18, 5 µm, 100 Å, 300 µm inner diameter (i.d.) × 5 mm; Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a flow rate of 30 µl min⁻¹ and then separated by reversed phase chromatography (nanoEase M/Z HSS C18 T3 Column, 100Å, 1.8 µm, 75 µm i.d. x 250 mm; Waters) using a non-linear gradient for 95 minutes from 3 to 40% buffer B (acetonitrile [v/v]/0.1% formic acid [v/v]) in buffer A (2% acetonitrile [v/v]/0.1% formic acid [v/v] in HPLC-grade water) at a flow rate of 250 nl min⁻¹. MS spectra were recorded at a resolution of 60,000 with an AGC target of 3 x 10⁶ and a maximum injection time of 50 ms at a range of 300 to 1500 m/z. From the MS scan, the 10 most abundant ions were selected for HCD fragmentation with a normalized collision energy of 28, an isolation window of 1.6 m/z, and a dynamic exclusion of 30 s. MS/MS spectra were recorded at a resolution of 15 000 with an AGC target of 10⁵ and a maximum injection time of 50 ms. Unassigned charges, and charges of 1 and >8 were excluded. One technical replicate per biological replicate was analysed.
Label-Free Analysis

The acquired spectra were loaded into the Progenesis QI for proteomics software (version 4.0; Nonlinear Dynamics) for MS1 intensity-based label-free quantification. Alignment of retention times was performed to a maximal overlay of all features. After exclusion of features with one charge and charges >7, all remaining MS/MS spectra were exported as Mascot generic file and used for peptide identification with Mascot (version 2.6.2) with the TAIR database (Release 10, 35386 entries). Search parameters used for Mascot search were 10 ppm peptide mass tolerance and 20 mmu fragment mass tolerance with trypsin as protease and one missed cleavage allowed. Carbamidomethylation of cysteine was set as fixed modification, methionine oxidation and asparagine or glutamine deamidation were allowed as variable modifications. Mascot integrated decoy database search was set to a false discovery rate (FDR) of 5%. Peptide assignments were reimported into the Progenesis QI software. Raw protein abundances resulting from the addition of all unique peptides of a given protein group were used for calculation of +Asc/–Asc ratios (raw abundance of a distinct protein when sample was treated with Asc [+Asc] divided by the raw abundance of the same protein in the sample without Asc [-Asc] treatment) for each protein and each replicate. Proteins with a ratio higher than at least 1.2 in each replicate were defined as S-nitrosated.

qRT-PCR analysis

The expression rates of GSNOR1, THIOREDOXIN-h3 (TRXh3) and THIOREDOXIN-h5 (TRXh5) genes in Arabidopsis thaliana were determined by quantitative real-time reverse transcription-PCR (RT-qPCR). RNA was purified from 90 mg plant material by using NucleoSpin RNA Plant mini spin kit (Macherey-Nagel) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. An additional DNase digestion was applied (ThermoFisher Scientific), and cDNA was synthetized using RevertAid reverse transcriptase (ThermoFisher Scientific). Primers were designed for the selected coding sequences using the Primer3 software; the primers used for RT-qPCR are listed in Table S1. The expression rates of the selected genes were monitored by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR, Jena Instruments) using SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Scientific) as described by Gallé et al. (2009). Data analysis was performed using qPCRsoft3.2 software (Jena instruments). Data were normalised to the transcript levels of the control samples; ACTIN2(At3g18780) and GAPDH2(At1g13440) were used as internal controls (Papdi et al. 2008). Each reaction was carried out in two replicates using cDNA synthesised from independently extracted RNAs and the experiments were repeated two times.
Western blot analyses of proteins

Protein extracts were prepared as described above. 15 µl of denaturated protein extract was subjected to SDS-PAGE on 12% acrylamide gels. Transfer to PVDF membranes was done using a wet blotting procedure (25 mA, 16 h) and membranes were used for cross reactivity assays with different antibodies. To evaluate the electrophoresis and transfer, we used Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-350 staining according to Welinder and Ekblad (2011). As a protein standard, actin from bovine muscle (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. No. A3653) was used and loading controls were performed using anti-actin antibody (Agrisera, cat. No. AS13 2640).

Immuoassay for GSNOR enzyme was performed using a polyclonal primary antibody from rabbit (Agrisera, cat. No. AS09 647) diluted 1:2000. Affinity-isolated goat anti-rabbit IgG–alkaline phosphatase secondary antibody was used (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. No. A3687) at a dilution of 1:10 000, and bands were visualized by using the NBT/BCIP (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate) reaction.

To evaluate APX protein content, western blot using rabbit anti-APX antibody (Agrisera, cat. No. AS 08 368) was used. As secondary antibody, similarly to previous methods goat anti-rabbit IgG–alkaline phosphatase was used. Development was performed with the NBT/BCIP reaction.

Detection of nitrated proteins was similar as described above. Membranes were subjected to cross-reactivity assay with rabbit polyclonal antibody against 3-nitrotyrosine (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. No. N0409) diluted 1:2000. Affinity-isolated goat anti-rabbit IgG–alkaline phosphatase secondary antibody was used (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. No. A3687) at a dilution of 1:10 000, and bands were visualized by using the NBT/BCIP reaction. For positive control, nitrated BSA (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. No. N8159) was used. Protein bands of nitrated protein, GSNOR enzyme and APX enzyme were quantified by Gelquant software (provided by biochemlabsolutions.com). Western blot was applied to two separate protein extracts from different plant generations, multiple times per extract, giving a total of four blotted membranes (n=2).

Statistical analysis

All results are shown as mean values of raw data (±SE). For statistical analysis, Duncan’s multiple range test (One-way ANOVA, P≤0.05) was used in SigmaPlot 12. For the assumptions of ANOVA we used Hartley’s $F_{\text{max}}$ test for homogeneity and Shapiro-Wilk normality test.
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Supplementary material

Fig S1 Quantification of GSNOR protein amount (pixel density) in control and Zn-treated WT, 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 and gsnor1-3 Arabidopsis seedlings using Gelquant software. The presented data are averages of pixel densities measured on three membranes and standard errors are also indicated.

Fig S2 Quantification of APX protein amount (pixel density) in control and Zn-treated WT, 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 and gsnor1-3 Arabidopsis seedlings using Gelquant software. Detected bands are numbered. Actin loading control is included. The presented data are averages of pixel densities measured on three membranes and standard errors are also indicated.

Fig S3 Quantification of nitrated protein amount (pixel density) in control and Zn-treated WT, 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 and gsnor1-3 Arabidopsis seedlings using Gelquant software. Detected bands are numbered. Actin loading control is included. The presented data are averages of pixel densities measured on three membranes and standard errors are also indicated.

Fig S4 Inhibitors for SOD izoenzyme activities. The sensitive Fe SOD and Cu/Zn SOD bands disappeared under the effect of H$_2$O$_2$, whereas the insensitive MnSOD band remained visible. In the case of potassium cyanide (KCN) application, both the MnSOD and the FeSOD bands remained active and Cu/Zn SOD disappeared.

Fig S5 Representative images showing controls for DAF-FM DA, Amplex Red (AR) and DHE fluorescent probes in Arabidopsis roots. Seedlings were incubated for 1 hour in the presence of distilled water (controls) or 200 µM 2-4-carboxyphenyl-4,4,5,5-tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxyl-3-oxide (cPTIO, nitric oxide scavenger), 50 µM sodium nitroprusside (SNP, nitric oxide donor), 200 µM S-nitrosoglutathione (GSNO, nitric oxide donor), 200 µM light-inactivated GSNO (iGSNO), 10 mM hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), 200 unit catalase (CAT) or 1 mM tetramethylpiperidinoxy (TMP, superoxide scavenger) solutions. Roots were then incubated in fluorophore solutions as described in Materials and Methods. Bars=200 µm.

Table S1 List of primers used in this study

Table S2 In vitro S-nitrosation of proteins in Arabidopsis seedlings. Proteins were analysed by nanoLC-MS/MS after tryptic digestion. The MASCOT search engine was used to parse MS data to identify proteins from primary sequence databases. The acquired spectra were loaded into the Progenesis QI for proteomics software (version 4.0; Nonlinear Dynamics) for MS1 intensity-based label-free quantification. Accession number: TAIR database accession number. Molecular mass in kDa. The ratio between +Asc and –Asc for each replicate is given.

Table S3 In vivo S-nitrosation of proteins in Arabidopsis seedlings. Proteins were analysed by nanoLC-MS/MS after tryptic digestion. The MASCOT search engine was used to parse MS...
data to identify proteins from primary sequence databases. The acquired spectra were loaded into the Progenesis QI for proteomics software (version 4.0; Nonlinear Dynamics) for MS1 intensity-based label-free quantification. Accession number: TAIR database accession number. Molecular mass in kDa. The ratio between +Asc and –Asc for each replicate is given.

Table S4 Raw proteomics data
Table 1 Zn-induced S-nitrosation of proteins in three sets of independently grown Arabidopsis seedlings (repl. 1, repl. 2, repl. 3). Proteins were analysed by nanoLC-MS/MS after tryptic digestion. The MASCOT search engine was used to parse MS data to identify proteins from primary sequence databases. The acquired spectra were loaded into the Progenesis QI for proteomics software (version 4.0; Nonlinear Dynamics) for MS1 intensity-based label-free quantification. Accession number: TAIR database accession number. Molecular mass in kDa. The ratio between +Asc and –Asc for each replicate is given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>kDa</th>
<th>Accession number</th>
<th>number of unique peptides</th>
<th>Ratio +Asc/-Asc repl. 1</th>
<th>Ratio +Asc/-Asc repl. 2</th>
<th>Ratio +Asc/-Asc repl. 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lactate/malate dehydrogenase family protein</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>AT1G04410.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.12</td>
<td>66.35</td>
<td>5.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-ascorbate peroxidase 1, cytosolic</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>AT1G07890.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>76.55</td>
<td>67.63</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase GAPC2, cytosolic</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>AT1G13440.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribosomal protein S5/Elongation factor G/III/V family protein</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>AT1G56070.1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribosomal protein L14p/L23e family protein</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>AT1G04480.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribosomal protein L19e family protein</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>AT1G02780.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>8.92</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>photosynthetic electron transfer B</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>ATCG00720.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribosomal protein L22p/L17e family protein</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>AT1G27400.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine adenosyltransferase 3</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>AT2G36880.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase small chain 1A</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>AT1G67090.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure legends**

**Fig 1 Zinc tolerance of Arabidopsis seedlings.** (A) Average seedling fresh weight of 7-day-old WT, 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 and gsnor1-3 plants grown without (control, 15 µM) or with 250 µM Zn. (B) Zn tolerance indexes (% of control) of 250 µM Zn-treated Arabidopsis lines. These data were obtained from three independent replicates. Different letters indicate significant differences according to Duncan’s test (P≤0.05). (C) Representative images showing 7-day-old control and 250 µM Zn-treated WT, 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 and gsnor1-3 Arabidopsis. Bar=1 cm.

**Fig 2 Zinc accumulation of Arabidopsis seedlings.** Zn levels (estimated by Zinquin staining) in the differentiation zone (A) and meristematic region (B) of primary roots in control and 250 µM Zn-treated WT, 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 and gsnor1-3 plants. These data were obtained from three independent replicates. Different letters indicate significant differences according to Duncan’s test (P≤0.05). (C and D) Representative images showing Zinquin-stained segments of the primary roots (differentiation zone, C and root tip, D). In total, 30 roots were examined. Bars=500 µm.

**Fig 3 Zinc affects GSNOR activity, protein and transcript level in Arabidopsis seedlings.** Activity (A) of GSNOR enzyme and relative transcript level (B) of GSNOR1 gene in control and Zn-treated WT, 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 and gsnor1-3 seedlings. Data of transcript levels were normalised using the A. thaliana ACTIN2 and GAPDH2 genes as internal controls. The relative transcript level in control samples was arbitrarily chosen to be 1. These data were obtained from two independent replicates. Different letters indicate significant differences according to Duncan’s test (P≤0.05). (C) GSNOR protein abundance in WT, 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 and gsnor1-3 seedlings grown under control conditions or in the presence of 250 µM Zn. Western blot with anti-actin is shown as loading control. Band intensities were measured using GelQuant software and the data are presented as Fig S1.

**Fig 4 NO and SNO levels are differentially affected by zinc in Arabidopsis GSNOR mutants.** Nitric oxide levels (A) in the root tips and SNO levels (B) in whole seedlings of WT, 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 and gsnor1-3 treated with Zn or grown under control conditions. (C) NADPH-dependent thioredoxin reductase (NTR) activity in control and Zn-treated Arabidopsis seedlings. (D) Relative transcript levels of TRXh3 gene in WT, 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 and gsnor1-3 grown in the presence or absence (control) of excess Zn. Data of transcript levels were normalised using the A. thaliana ACTIN2 and GAPDH2 genes as internal controls. The relative transcript level in control samples was arbitrarily chosen to be 1. These data were...
obtained from three independent replicates. Different letters indicate significant differences according to Duncan’s test (P≤0.05).

**Fig 5 Zinc-induced protein S-nitrosation examined by RSNO-RAC.** (A) Silver-stained SDS gel (12%) showing S-nitrosation in control and Zn-treated wild-type *Arabidopsis* seedlings. S-nitrosated proteins were extracted by RSNO-RAC method. Sample homogenates treated with 1 mM GSNO served as positive control, whereas those to which ascorbate was not added served as negative controls. Input controls were visualized by Coomassie staining. Total activity of APX (B) and catalase (C) in control and Zn-treated WT, 35S::FLAG.GSNOR1 and gsnor1-3 seedlings. These data were obtained from three independent replicates. Different letters indicate significant differences according to Duncan’s test (P≤0.05). (D) APX protein amount in control and Zn-treated WT, 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 and gsnor1-3 seedlings. Loading control of actin is included. Pixel densities were measured using GelQuant software and the data are presented as Fig S2.

**Fig 6 Zinc-induced H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation and GSNOR activity loss can be reversed by exogenous glutathione.** Hydrogen peroxide levels in the root system (A) and glutathione content (B) of WT, 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 and gsnor1-3 *Arabidopsis* grown in the presence or absence (control) of excess Zn. Hydrogen peroxide levels (C) and GSNOR activity (D) in WT, 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 and gsnor1-3 *Arabidopsis* treated with 0 or 250 µM Zn in the absence or presence of 1 mM glutathione (+glu). These data were obtained from three independent replicates. Different letters indicate significant differences according to Duncan’s test (P≤0.05).

**Fig 7 Zinc slightly affects superoxide metabolism and protein tyrosine nitration.** Superoxide anion level in the root (A) and total SOD activity (B) in WT, 35S::FLAG-GSNOR1 and gsnor1-3 *Arabidopsis* grown in the presence or absence (control) of Zn. Different letters indicate significant differences according to Duncan’s test (P≤0.05). (C) Native PAGE separation (10%) of SOD isoenzymes in control and Zn-treated *Arabidopsis* lines. (D) Western blot probed with rabbit anti-nitrotyrosine polyclonal antibody (1:2000). Commercial nitrated BSA was used as a positive control. Loading control of actin is included. The intensities of numbered bands were quantified by Gelquant software and the data are presented as Fig S3. These data were obtained from three independent replicates.

**Fig 8 Schematic model summarizing the main conclusion of this study.** Excess Zn resulted in glutathione content decrease, reduced CAT and APX activities, all of which may contribute to H$_2$O$_2$ formation. Zinc-induced H$_2$O$_2$ is directly involved in GSNOR inactivation, which leads to SNO accumulation and intensified S-nitrosation. We identified APX1 as a target for S-
Based on these data, zinc-induced H$_2$O$_2$ influences its own metabolism through GSNOR inactivation-triggered SNO signalling.

Table 1 Zn-induced S-nitrosation of proteins in three sets of independently grown Arabidopsis seedlings (repl. 1, repl. 2, repl. 3). Proteins were analysed by nanoLC-MS/MS after tryptic digestion. The MASCOT search engine was used to parse MS data to identify proteins from primary sequence databases. The acquired spectra were loaded into the Progenesis QI for proteomics software (version 4.0; Nonlinear Dynamics) for MS1 intensity-based label-free quantification. Accession number: TAIR database accession number. Molecular mass in kDa. The ratio between +Asc and –Asc for each replicate is given.
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