

# ON $\Lambda^2$ -STRONG CONVERGENCE OF NUMERICAL SEQUENCES REVISITED

P. KÓRUS

Department of Mathematics, Juhász Gyula Faculty of Education, University of Szeged,  
Hattyas sor 10, H-6725 Szeged, Hungary  
e-mail: korpet@jgypk.u-szeged.hu

(Received June 8, 2015; revised June 15, 2015; accepted June 15, 2015)

**Abstract.** We remark the incorrectness of some recent results concerning  $\Lambda^2$ -strong convergence. We give a new appropriate definition for the  $\Lambda^2$ -strong convergence by generalizing the original  $\Lambda$ -strong convergence concept given by F. Móricz.

## 1. Preliminaries

We are interested in the results of [1] and [2]. In [2], several results were proved using the notion of  $\Lambda$ -strong convergence defined there. It is essential to remind the reader of the definition. Let  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_k : k = 0, 1, \dots\}$  be a nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to  $\infty$ . A sequence  $X = \{x_k : k = 0, 1, \dots\}$  of complex numbers converges  $\Lambda$ -strongly to a complex number  $x$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n |\lambda_k(x_k - x) - \lambda_{k-1}(x_{k-1} - x)| = 0$$

with the agreement  $\lambda_{-1} = x_{-1} = 0$ .

The two basic results proved in [2] were the following.

LEMMA M1. *A sequence  $X$  converges  $\Lambda$ -strongly to a number  $x$  if and only if*

(i)  *$X$  converges to  $x$  in the ordinary sense, and*

(ii) 
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_{k-1} |x_k - x_{k-1}| = 0.$$

---

*Key words and phrases:*  $\Lambda$ -strong convergence,  $\Lambda^2$ -strong convergence, numerical sequence.  
*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 40A05.

LEMMA M2. *A sequence  $X$  converges  $\Lambda$ -strongly to a number  $x$  if and only if*

$$\sigma_n := \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) x_k$$

*converges to  $x$  in the ordinary sense and condition (ii) is satisfied.*

It is useful to note that  $\Lambda$ -strong convergence is an intermediate notion between bounded variation and ordinary convergence.

Now we focus on [1]. The definition of  $\Lambda^2$ -strong convergence was introduced. Let  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_k\}$  be a nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to  $\infty$  for which  $\lambda_k - 2\lambda_{k-1} + \lambda_{k-2} \geq 0$ . A sequence  $X = \{x_k\}$  of complex numbers converges  $\Lambda^2$ -strongly to a complex number  $x$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left| \lambda_k(x_k - x) - 2\lambda_{k-1}(x_{k-1} - x) + \lambda_{k-2}(x_{k-2} - x) \right| = 0$$

with the agreement  $\lambda_{-1} = \lambda_{-2} = x_{-1} = x_{-2} = 0$ .

The first result concerning this notion was

LEMMA BM1. *A sequence  $X$  converges  $\Lambda^2$ -strongly to a number  $x$  if and only if condition (i) is satisfied and*

$$(ii') \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_{k-1} |x_k - x_{k-1}| = 0.$$

However, the proof of Lemma BM1 is not complete in the way that only the sufficiency part was proved in [1]. The necessity part, i.e. the satisfactory of (i) and (ii') for a  $\Lambda^2$ -strongly convergent sequence  $X$  was not seen. In this paper, we show that the necessity part is not true. We give a counterexample here.

COUNTEREXAMPLE. Let  $x_k = \frac{1}{k+1}$  and  $\lambda_k = k + 1$ . It is obvious that  $\Lambda$  tends monotonically to  $\infty$  with  $\lambda_k - 2\lambda_{k-1} + \lambda_{k-2} \geq 0$  satisfied. Now  $X$  converges  $\Lambda^2$ -strongly to 0 since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(n+1) - n} \sum_{k=0}^n \left| (k+1) \frac{1}{k+1} - 2k \frac{1}{k} + (k-1) \frac{1}{k-1} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0,$$

but (ii') is not satisfied since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(n+1) - n} \sum_{k=1}^n k \left| \frac{1}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k+1} = \infty.$$

In [1], the main goal was to extend the concept of  $\Lambda$ -strong convergence, moreover to obtain similar results as in [2]. We saw above that the first result is incorrect. If we consider the relation between  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda^2$ -strong convergence, we have in [1]

PROPOSITION BM. *Let  $\sup_k \frac{\lambda_{k+1}}{\lambda_k} \leq K$ . If  $X$  converges  $\Lambda$ -strongly, then it converges  $\Lambda^2$ -strongly, but the converse is not true.*

However the first statement of the proposition is proved correctly, the example for the second part is incorrect. Example 1 was given as:  $x_k = \frac{2^k}{2^{k+1}+1}$  and  $\lambda_k = 2^k$ , and was stated to be  $\Lambda^2$ -strongly convergent but not  $\Lambda$ -strongly convergent. This is not the case, since  $X$  converges increasingly to  $\frac{1}{2}$ , which implies that  $X$  is of bounded variation, whence  $X$  is  $\Lambda$ -strongly convergent. It seems to be unresolved if there is a sequence  $X$  which is  $\Lambda^2$ -strongly convergent but not  $\Lambda$ -strongly convergent.

## 2. New results

The above observations show that we need to define  $\Lambda^2$ -strong convergence in a different way as in [1]. Here we give an appropriate definition. Let  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_k\}$  be a nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to  $\infty$ . A sequence  $X = \{x_k\}$  of complex numbers converges  $\Lambda^2$ -strongly to a complex number  $x$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n |\lambda_k(x_k - x) - \lambda_{k-2}(x_{k-2} - x)| = 0$$

with the agreement  $\lambda_{-1} = \lambda_{-2} = x_{-1} = x_{-2} = 0$ . It is easy to see that if  $X$  converges  $\Lambda$ -strongly, then it converges  $\Lambda^2$ -strongly, it is enough to consider

$$\sum_{k=0}^n |\lambda_k(x_k - x) - \lambda_{k-2}(x_{k-2} - x)| \leq 2 \sum_{k=0}^n |\lambda_k(x_k - x) - \lambda_{k-1}(x_{k-1} - x)|.$$

Moreover, if  $X$  converges  $\Lambda^2$ -strongly, then it converges in the ordinary sense since

$$x_k - x = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq n \\ 2|n-k}} (\lambda_k(x_k - x) - \lambda_{k-2}(x_{k-2} - x)).$$

Thus,  $\Lambda^2$ -strong convergence is an intermediate notion between  $\Lambda$ -strong convergence and ordinary convergence. We also give an example for a  $\Lambda^2$ -strongly convergent but not  $\Lambda$ -strongly convergent sequence.

EXAMPLE. Let  $x_k = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{1}{k+1}$  and  $\lambda_k = k + 1$ . Then  $x = \lim_k x_k = 0$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \left| (k+1)(-1)^{k+1} \frac{1}{k+1} - (k-1)(-1)^{k-1} \frac{1}{k-1} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0,$$

but

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n \left| (k+1)(-1)^{k+1} \frac{1}{k+1} - k(-1)^k \frac{1}{k} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2n}{n+1} = 2.$$

We formulate two results analogous to Lemma M1 and M2.

LEMMA 1. *A sequence  $X$  converges  $\Lambda^2$ -strongly to a number  $x$  if and only if condition (i) is satisfied and*

$$(II) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=2}^n \lambda_{k-2} |x_k - x_{k-2}| = 0.$$

PROOF. The representation

$$\lambda_k(x_k - x) - \lambda_{k-2}(x_{k-2} - x) = (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-2})(x_k - x) + \lambda_{k-2}(x_k - x_{k-2})$$

implies both

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \left| \lambda_k(x_k - x) - \lambda_{k-2}(x_{k-2} - x) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-2}) |x_k - x| + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=2}^n \lambda_{k-2} |x_k - x_{k-2}| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=2}^n \lambda_{k-2} |x_k - x_{k-2}| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \left| \lambda_k(x_k - x) - \lambda_{k-2}(x_{k-2} - x) \right| + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-2}) |x_k - x|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above inequalities together with the fact that for any  $x_k$  converging to  $x$  it is known that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-2}) |x_k - x| = 0,$$

we get the necessity and the sufficiency of the two conditions (i) and (II).  
□

LEMMA 2. A sequence  $X$  converges  $\Lambda^2$ -strongly to a number  $x$  if and only if

$$\sigma_n := \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq n \\ 2|n-k}} (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-2}) x_k$$

converges to  $x$  in the ordinary sense and condition (II) is satisfied.

PROOF. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} x_n - \sigma_n &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq n \\ 2|n-k}} (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-2})(x_n - x_k) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq n \\ 2|n-k}} (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-2}) \sum_{\substack{k+2 \leq j \leq n \\ 2|n-j}} (x_j - x_{j-2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{2 \leq j \leq n \\ 2|n-j}} (x_j - x_{j-2}) \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq j-2 \\ 2|n-k}} (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-2}) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{2 \leq j \leq n \\ 2|n-j}} \lambda_{j-2} (x_j - x_{j-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n - \sigma_n| \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=2}^n \lambda_{k-2} |x_k - x_{k-2}|.$$

According to Lemma 1, for the necessity part, it is enough to see that  $\lim_n \sigma_n = x$ , which comes from the above inequality, (II) and  $\lim_n x_n = x$ . For the sufficiency part, we only need  $\lim_n x_n = x$ , which comes from the above inequality, (II) and  $\lim_n \sigma_n = x$ . □

We remark that we can also define  $\Lambda^r$ -strong convergence for an arbitrary integer  $r \geq 3$ . We can say, that for a  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_k\}$  nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to  $\infty$ , a sequence  $X = \{x_k\}$  of complex numbers converges  $\Lambda^r$ -strongly to a complex number  $x$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=0}^n |\lambda_k (x_k - x) - \lambda_{k-r} (x_{k-r} - x)| = 0$$

with the agreement  $\lambda_{-1} = \dots = \lambda_{-r} = x_{-1} = \dots = x_{-r} = 0$ . One can easily show that these convergence notions are also intermediate notions between

$\Lambda$ -strong convergence and ordinary convergence. Moreover, the following two analogous results can be shown in a similar way as above.

PROPOSITION 1. *A sequence  $X$  converges  $\Lambda^r$ -strongly to a number  $x$  if and only if condition (i) is satisfied and*

$$(II') \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k=r}^n \lambda_{k-r} |x_k - x_{k-r}| = 0.$$

PROPOSITION 2. *A sequence  $X$  converges  $\Lambda^r$ -strongly to a number  $x$  if and only if*

$$\sigma_n := \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq n \\ r | n-k}} (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-r}) x_k$$

*converges to  $x$  in the ordinary sense and condition (II') is satisfied.*

**Acknowledgement.** The author thanks Professor Ferenc Móricz for his valuable comments and suggestions regarding this paper.

## References

- [1] N. L. Braha and T. Mansour, On  $\Lambda^2$ -strong convergence of numerical sequences and Fourier series, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **141** (2013), 113–126.
- [2] F. Móricz, On  $\Lambda$ -strong convergence of numerical sequences and Fourier series, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **54** (1989), 319–327.