Presence of antidrug antibodies correlates inversely with the plasma tumor necrosis factor (TNF-α) level and the efficacy of TNF-inhibitor therapy in psoriasis
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ABSTRACT

Antidrug antibodies have been shown to be associated with a loss of response during biologic therapy. Despite the potential association, there has been no report on the simultaneous monitoring of the following parameters in psoriasis: presence of neutralizing antibodies, plasma tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α concentration, TNFi concentration and disease activity. Plasma concentrations of adalimumab, infliximab, etanercept and their respective antidrug antibodies, as well as plasma concentrations of TNF-α were measured in 77 psoriasis patients receiving biologic therapy, and the values were correlated with the clinical activity of the skin disease. Antidrug antibodies were identified in the plasma of 25% of infliximab-treated patients and 29.6% of adalimumab-treated patients, but not in the etanercept group. Clinical severity scores were significantly higher in the antibody-positive patients. In patients receiving infliximab or adalimumab therapy, the presence of antidrug antibodies was directly associated with reduced plasma TNF-inhibitor concentration and elevated plasma TNF-α level.
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INTRODUCTION

Biologic therapies targeting tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α are widely used in the treatment of psoriasis. To date, three TNF inhibitors (TNFi) are registered for the treatment of plaque psoriasis: infliximab, adalimumab and etanercept. Infliximab, a mouse–human chimeric monoclonal IgG1 antibody, and adalimumab, a fully human monoclonal immunoglobulin (Ig)G1 antibody, are specific for TNF. Etanercept is a fusion protein of human TNF receptor-2 and human IgG1 Fc.1

It has been shown that administration of TNFi may lead to the formation of antidrug antibodies (ADA) and the development of an immune response.2 The presence of ADA has been associated with decreased plasma drug level and a partial or complete loss of response in psoriasis patients.3,4 Antibodies against etanercept have no apparent effects on clinical response,5,6 whereas antibodies against infliximab or adalimumab have been associated with diminished clinical response.3,7

Despite the apparent link between the presence of ADA, the plasma TNFi concentration and the clinical activity of the skin symptoms, there has been no report as yet on the simultaneous monitoring of these parameters together with the plasma TNF-α concentration in psoriasis patients. Therefore, we performed a cross-sectional study to determine the potential correlation between the plasma levels of TNF-α, the extent of antibody formation against adalimumab, infliximab and etanercept, and the plasma trough concentration of the TNFi in patients with plaque psoriasis.

METHODS

Subjects

This study was approved by the internal review board of the University of Szeged. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, and the study was conducted in full accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and biologic therapeutic guidelines. Caucasian psoriasis patients treated for at least 12 weeks with a TNFi (infliximab, adalimumab or etanercept) at the Department of Dermatology and Allergology of the University of Szeged were enrolled in the study between October 2011 and January 2012. To be eligible for biologic treatment in Hungary, patients must fulfill the Hungarian national guidelines criteria: diagnosis of psoriasis vulgaris; severe psoriasis documented as Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) of more than 15, Dermatology Life Quality Index of more than 10 or affected body surface area of more than 10%; lack of efficacy or intolerance to phototherapy or methotrexate, cyclosporin or acitretin treatments. Demographic and clinical data of the patients are presented in Table 1. PASI scores at
Table 1. Basic demographic and clinical data from the study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment groups</th>
<th>Etanercept (n = 22)</th>
<th>Infliximab (n = 28)</th>
<th>Adalimumab (n = 27)</th>
<th>Total (n = 77)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)†</td>
<td>46.6 ± 12.6 (21–73)</td>
<td>51.2 ± 12.7 (26–78)</td>
<td>48 ± 15.7 (23–76)</td>
<td>48.8 ± 13.7 (21–78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male patients (%)</td>
<td>13 (59.1)</td>
<td>17 (60.7)</td>
<td>17 (63)</td>
<td>46 (61.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bodyweight (kg)†</td>
<td>85.7 ± 24.1 (54–156)</td>
<td>93.7 ± 20.5 (52–143)</td>
<td>88.7 ± 18.8 (54–130)</td>
<td>89.7 ± 21.0 (52–156)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI†</td>
<td>27.7 ± 5 (20.2–38.5)</td>
<td>32.4 ± 7.3 (18.4–53.9)</td>
<td>30.1 ± 5.3 (20.8–42.2)</td>
<td>30.2 ± 6.3 (18.4–53.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with a history of smoking (%)</td>
<td>8 (36.4)</td>
<td>10 (35.7)</td>
<td>10 (37)</td>
<td>28 (36.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of current biologic therapy (months)†</td>
<td>28.4 ± 18.8 (5–66)</td>
<td>19.9 ± 16.4 (3–63)</td>
<td>17.8 ± 10.4 (3–39)</td>
<td>21.6 ± 15.8 (3–66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients receiving a different biologic therapy previously (%)</td>
<td>8 (36.4)</td>
<td>8 (28.6)</td>
<td>20 (74.1)</td>
<td>36 (46.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous biologic therapies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infliximab: 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adalimumab: 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etanercept: 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efalizumab: 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ustekinumab: 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adalimumab, etanercept: 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASI score at induction of first biologic therapy†</td>
<td>16.6 ± 4.6 (9.6–25.6)</td>
<td>18.4 ± 5.6 (9.3–32.7)</td>
<td>20.8 ± 9.2 (4.1–52.1)</td>
<td>18.7 ± 7.0 (4.1–52.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASI score at induction of current biologic therapy†</td>
<td>14.7 ± 5.7 (1.2–25.6)</td>
<td>17.9 ± 5.5 (9.3–32.7)</td>
<td>15.0 ± 5.0 (5.8–26)</td>
<td>16.0 ± 5.5 (1.2–32.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with psoriatic arthritis (%)</td>
<td>7 (31.8)</td>
<td>13 (46.4)</td>
<td>14 (51.9)</td>
<td>34 (44.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients receiving concomitant immunosuppressive therapy (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>8 (28.6)</td>
<td>1 (3.7)</td>
<td>9 (11.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Mean ± standard deviation. BMI, body mass index; PASI, Psoriasis Area and Severity Index.

the initiation of the patients’ first and current biologic therapy were retrieved from the patients’ records. PASI scores were also calculated at the time of study enrolment.

Measuring plasma TNF-α and TNFi trough concentrations and detecting ADA

Blood samples (5–10 mL) were obtained from patients on the days of scheduled infusion/injection. To ensure that the trough or residual plasma drug concentration was measured, blood was drawn a few hours before the scheduled administration of the TNFi. Plasma aliquots separated from the blood samples were frozen at –20°C. The plasma concentrations of TNF-α and the biologic therapeutic agent (infliximab, adalimumab or etanercept), and the presence of IgG-type ADA were determined by using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), in accordance with the manufacturer’s recommendations (Matriks Biotek Laboratories, Ankara, Turkey). For the detection of ADA (antibodies to infliximab/adalimumab/etanercept), indirect ELISA methodology was used. In these assays, infliximab/adalimumab/etanercept is coated on the wall of the microwell plates. During the first incubation period, antidrug antibodies are captured by binding to the drugs. After washing away the unbound components from samples, a peroxidase-labeled ADA-specific conjugate is added to each well and then incubated. The bound enzymatic activity is detected by addition of tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) chromogen substrate. For detecting plasma infliximab/adalimumab/etanercept, solid phase ELISA, based on the sandwich principle, were used. Standards and samples are incubated in the microwell plate coated with a monoclonal antibody or reactant specific for infliximab/adalimumab/etanercept. After incubation, the wells are washed. A horse radish peroxidase conjugated (in case of infliximab and adalimumab) or a biotin-labeled (in case of etanercept) tracer monoclonal antibody against the TNFi drug is added and binds to the TNFi captured by the first monoclonal antibody or reactant on the surface of the wells. Following incubation, wells are washed and then the bound enzymatic activity is detected by addition of chromogen substrate. The TNF-α determination kit was also based on double monoclonal antibody sandwich assay. TNF-α is captured by a monoclonal antibody to human TNF-α coated on the wall of the microwell wells, and detected by a second, peroxidase-labeled monoclonal antibody. The bound enzymatic activity is detected by addition of TMB chromogen substrate. According to the manufacturer, these assays detect only free plasma TNF-α, etanercept, infliximab, adalimumab or ADA levels, while TNFi-TNF-α or ADA-TNFi complexes are not measured.

Statistical analysis

The plasma concentrations of TNF-α, the trough concentrations of the TNFi and the presence of ADA were correlated with the patients’ epidemiological and clinical data. Data were evaluated with the MedCalc version 12.2.1.0 (MedCalc Software, Ostend, Belgium) and SPSS version 15.0 (SPSS, Chi-
RESULTS

Patient characteristics

Of the 77 patients, 22 (28.6%), 28 (36.4%) and 27 (35.1%) received etanercept, infliximab and adalimumab treatment, respectively (Table 1). The maintenance dose was 5 mg/kg i.v. every 8 weeks for infliximab, 40 mg s.c. every 2 weeks for adalimumab and 50 mg s.c. every week for etanercept. The groups receiving the three different TNFi treatments did not differ significantly in the following demographic and clinical characteristics: mean age, sex ratio, mean bodyweight, mean body mass index, proportion of smokers, mean duration of current biologic therapy, mean PASI score at induction of first biologic therapy, mean PASI score at induction of current biologic therapy and presence of psoriatic arthritis. Before the current biologic therapy, 30 patients received one, and six patients received two biologic agents. The patients in the adalimumab group had previously been treated significantly more frequently with biologic therapy than those in the other treatment groups (adalimumab vs etanercept, P < 0.05; adalimumab vs infliximab, P < 0.01), while no statistically significant difference was observed between the etanercept and infliximab groups (P = 0.76) in this regard. Of the 77 patients, nine received concomitant disease-modifying antirheumatic drug (DMARD) therapy (eight methotrexate and one leflunomide). Concomitant disease-modifying antirheumatic drug therapy was more frequent in the infliximab group than in the other two treatment groups.

ADA, plasma trough TNFi concentrations, plasma TNF-α levels and clinical response

Antidrug antibodies were detected in 15 of the 77 patients (19.5%): seven and eight in patients receiving infliximab and adalimumab, respectively (Table 2). ADA were not detected in the etanercept group. Interestingly, most of the ADA (11/15) were detected in patients who received their first biologic therapy during the study (bio-naive patients), and patients who previously had received biologic therapy (non-bio-naive patients) did not differ

| Table 2. Duration of the actual treatment, mean TNF-α plasma level, mean plasma TNFi level and PASI scores of patients at the time of sampling |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                 | Etanercept (n = 22) | Adalimumab – (n = 19) | Adalimumab + (n = 8) | Infliximab – (n = 21) | Infliximab + (n = 7) |
| No. of patients with ≤12 months of TNFi treatment | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| No. of patients with >12 months of TNFi treatment | 18 | 14 | 15 | 1 |
| TNF-α plasma level (pg/mL)† | 7.0 ± 6.3 | 1.0 ± 2.0 | 3.6 ± 6.3 | 2.5 ± 3.9 | 13.2 ± 12.9 |
| Plasma trough level of the TNFi (µg/mL)† | 7.6 ± 2.0 | 2.8 ± 2.3 | 1.5 ± 2.2 | 3.0 ± 0.8 | 2.3 ± 0.1 |
| PASI score at sampling† | 2.5 ± 2.5 | 2.4 ± 3.5 | 12.5 ± 8.6 | 1.6 ± 1.6 | 9.3 ± 11.2 |
| Change of PASI score from baseline (%)† | 83.8 ± 13.4 | 83.9 ± 19.1 | 34.0 ± 35.0 | 90.2 ± 11.1 | 53.1 ± 32.6 |

†Mean ± standard deviation. –/+, patients without/with antidrug antibodies (ADA). PASI, Psoriasis Area and Severity Index; TNFi, tumor necrosis factor inhibitor.
significantly in their clinical responses, extent of ADA-positivity and plasma TNF-α levels (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

The purpose of our cross-sectional study was to assess the presence of ADA against TNFi, the plasma trough concentrations of anti-TNF medications and the plasma TNF-α level during the biologic therapy of psoriasis, and their impact on the clinical efficacy.

Our results, that anti-infliximab or anti-adalimumab antibodies were detected in 25.0% and 28.6% of the respective patients, while ADA were not found against etanercept, are in agreement with previous reports.3–12 The clinical improvement
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**Figure 1.** Mean plasma trough concentrations of the tumor necrosis factor inhibitor (TNFi) in the antidrug antibodies (ADA)-negative and -positive patients receiving (a) infliximab or (b) adalimumab therapy. The mean residual infliximab plasma concentration was significantly lower (2.3 ± 0.1 μg/mL) in the patients with anti-infliximab antibodies than in the ADA-negative patients (3.0 ± 0.8 μg/mL). In the case of adalimumab, the plasma trough adalimumab concentration was higher in the ADA-negative patients (2.8 ± 2.3 vs 1.5 ± 2.2 μg/mL), but the difference was not statistically significant. *P < 0.05.
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**Figure 2.** Plasma tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α levels at the time of blood sampling in the etanercept and antidrug antibodies (ADA)-negative and -positive groups receiving adalimumab or infliximab. In the anti-infliximab antibody-negative patients (−) the mean TNF-α concentration was 2.5 ± 3.9 pg/mL (range, 0–14.4), while that in the anti-infliximab antibody-positive group (+) was 13.2 ± 12.9 pg/mL (range, 0–34.8). In the adalimumab-treated group, the mean TNF-α concentration was lower in the antibody-negative patients (−) than in the anti-adalimumab antibody-positive group (+) (1.0 ± 2.0 and 3.6 ± 6.3 pg/mL, respectively). *P < 0.05.
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**Figure 3.** Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) scores at the time of blood sampling in the etanercept and antidrug antibodies (ADA)-negative and -positive adalimumab and infliximab groups. The mean PASI score at the time of blood sampling was significantly lower in the ADA-negative patients in both the infliximab and adalimumab groups (infliximab, 1.6 ± 1.6 vs 9.3 ± 11.2; adalimumab, 2.4 ± 3.5 vs 12.5 ± 8.6 in the ADA-negative vs -positive patients, respectively). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

among ADA-positive patients was significantly lower than in the ADA-negative groups. Previous studies similarly demonstrated significantly lower clinical efficacy of infliximab and
adalimumab among ADA-positive patients, as well as a clear correlation between decreased plasma infliximab level and poorer therapeutic outcome. Our observation that the plasma trough TNFi concentrations are lower in ADA-positive patients treated with infliximab and adalimumab fully supports these previous findings. The structure of the biologic agent is one of the most important factors determining the immunogenicity and the binding of ADA. Even though we have not detected neutralizing antibodies against etanercept, non-neutralizing ADA have been shown to form in etanercept patients. As these antibodies bind to the fusion region of etanercept, they leave the TNF-binding site free, and, thus, the function of etanercept remains uncompromised. However, as these immune complexes can cause quicker drug elimination, their formation may result in lower plasma trough levels and diminished therapeutic efficacy.

Although the clinical activity of psoriasis was almost equal in the three treatment groups, the mean plasma TNF- concentration differed considerably. The lowest mean concentrations were measured in the adalimumab group and the highest in the etanercept treatment group. Interestingly, also describe this paradoxical phenomenon in a recent paper: they report that serum TNF- concentrations in psoriasis patients increased after 12 weeks of etanercept treatment. In their opinion, serum TNF- level after etanercept treatment reflected the summation of circulating TNF- and etanercept complexes with pretreatment free TNF- levels. This could be a plausible explanation to our finding as well, however, the ELISA kits used in our experiments (according to the manufacturer) only detect free TNF- complexes (TNF-TNF complexes are not measured). Obviously, either the ELISA kits after all detect both free and bound plasma TNF- or there are other, currently unknown mechanisms which lead to increased TNF levels during etanercept treatment. As we did not find any direct correlation between clinical activity (PASI score) and the measured plasma TNF- levels in our cohort of etanercept-treated patients, this latter explanation, however, seems more unlikely. The mean plasma TNF- concentration was significantly higher among the ADA-positive infliximab- and adalimumab-treated patients than among the ADA-negative patients. Notably, this study has not established a clear statistical correlation between the plasma TNF- level and the clinical activity of psoriasis during TNFi therapy. This result may be due in part to our relatively small study population, as patients who responded well tended to have lower TNF- levels. It must also be considered, however, that the plasma TNF- concentration is not necessarily associated directly with the efficacy of TNFi therapy. Other indicators (such as the TNF- concentration in the lesional psoriatic skin) may correlate more closely with the clinical activity of psoriasis during anti-TNF treatment. A recent report clearly showed that TNF blockers decrease the activity of multiple pro-inflammatory pathways in lesional skin, including the activation and maturation of dendritic cells, the activation of T lymphocytes, and the production of different cytokines, growth factors and chemokines.

The design of the present study obviously differed from those in previously reported investigations, and this must be taken into consideration while analyzing the data. Samples were not collected at standardized treatment points (e.g. at week 0, 12 or 52) as in most previously reported studies, but during the patients’ scheduled visits. While sample collection at standardized time points makes the data more homogeneous, it also involves certain methodical problems. As it would be unethical to continue the treatment of patients with a significant loss of response, these patients are often excluded from the analysis, and important data are therefore lost. Moreover, owing to their prospective nature, most of these investigations collect samples for only up to 52 weeks of treatment. The cross-sectional nature of the sample collection that we used, in contrast, allowed data collection even when the patients subsequently stopped the treatment due to the loss of efficacy. The sample homogeneity, of course, was not as high as in previous reports; however, as the patients appeared in random order for the appointments, the heterogeneity was balanced among the different analysis groups. Another advantage of our sample collection strategy was that the presence of ADA could be analyzed over a relatively long period of TNFi therapy (12-264 weeks). This allowed us to determine that antibody positivity is significantly more frequent among patients treated for less than 12 months. This observation suggests that the risk of ADA development is much higher during the first year of therapy. Consequently, the loss of therapeutic response after the first 12 months of TNFi therapy is likely to be caused by factors other than ADA. In these cases of late loss of efficacy, therefore, other possible factors (e.g. infection, non-adherence to treatment) should additionally be considered.

The main limitation of our cross-sectional approach is that patients recruited into this study might have represented a bias towards satisfactory clinical response to treatment. Patients with high antibody titers and consequent loss of response are likely to have a lower drug survival and are more likely to discontinue use of the drug. In contrast, patients using the biologic drug successfully for long periods of time are more likely to have undetectable levels of antibodies. By enrolling patients receiving treatment for at least 3 months, a positive selection bias might have been implemented.

Because of its ease of use, ELISA is the preferred method to measure the level of ADA. However, standard direct and indirect ELISA carry the disadvantage of producing non-specific binding and false-positive results. According to the published work, two assays stand out in terms of sensitivity and specificity: the bridging ELISA and the radioimmunoassay (RIA). RIA (and particularly its special format, the two-site assay) has very high specificity, and in addition, this method is less sensitive for drug interference than the bridging ELISA. Yet, a disadvantage is that the use of radioactivity hampers its broad application. Bridging ELISA reduce background readings by the requirement for two specific binding events for the target drug, which increases specificity of the assay.

In conclusion, this study has provided evidence that the development of ADA during infliximab or adalimumab treatment of psoriasis is directly associated with reduced plasma TNFi concentration and increased plasma TNF- level. A higher plasma TNF- concentration may consequently lead to a wors-
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